



Text Pixs (Text to Image)

Final Year Project Report

Submitted by

Syeda Anshrah Gillani (1337-2021)

Umema Mujeeb (2396-2021)

Maheen Ali (1589-2021)

Internal Supervisor

Sir Osama Ahmed Khan

External Supervisor

Mirza Samad Ahmed Baig

In partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Bachelor of Science in Software Engineering
2025

Faculty of Engineering Sciences and Technology

Hamdard Institute of Engineering and Technology

Hamdard University, Main Campus, Karachi, Pakistan

Certificate of Approval



Faculty of Engineering Sciences and Technology

Hamdard Institute of Engineering and Technology
Hamdard University, Karachi, Pakistan

This project “**Text Pixs**” is presented by **Syeda Anshrah Gillani** under the supervision of their project advisor and approved by the project examination committee, and acknowledged by the Hamdard Institute of Engineering and Technology, in the fulfillment of the requirements for the Bachelor degree of Software Engineering.

Mr. Osama Ahmed Khan
(Project Internal Supervisor)

In-charge FYP- Committee

Mr. Mirza Samad Ahmed Baig
(Project External Co-Supervisor)

Chairman
(Department of Computing)

(Dean, FEST)

Authors' Declaration

We declare that this project report was carried out in accordance with the rules and regulations of Hamdard University. The work is original except where indicated by special references in the text and no part of the report has been submitted for any other degree. The report has not been presented to any other University for examination.

Dated:

Authors Signatures:

Syeda Anshrah Gillani

Syeda Anshrah Gillani

Umema Mujeeb

Umema Mujeeb

Maheen Ali

Maheen Ali

Plagiarism Undertaking

We, Syeda Anshrah Gillani, Umema Mujeeb, and Maheen Ali, solemnly declare that the work presented in the Final Year Project Report titled TextPixs has been carried out solely by ourselves with no significant help from any other person except few of those which are duly acknowledged. We confirm that no portion of our report has been plagiarized and any material used in the report from other sources is properly referenced.

Dated: 01/17/2025

Authors Signatures:



Syeda Anshrah Gillani



Umema Mujeeb



Maheen Ali

Acknowledgments

<Acknowledgement section will be filled once FYP is completed i.e in FYP-2>

Document Information

Table 1: Document Information

Customer	External Client
Project Title	Text Pixs (Text to Image)
Document	Final Year Project Report
Document Version	1.0
Identifier	FYP-039/FL24 Final Report
Status	In-Progress (Done till Chapter 3 for FYP-1 Evaluation)
Author(s)	Syeda Anshrah Gillani Umema Mujeeb Maheen Ali
Approver(s)	Osama Ahmed Khan Mirza Samad Ahmed Baig
Issue Date	01/17/2025

Definition of Terms, Acronyms, and Abbreviations

This section should provide the definitions of all terms, acronyms, and abbreviations required to interpret the terms used in the document properly.

Table 2: Definition of Terms, Acronyms, and Abbreviations

Term	Description
Artificial Intelligence (AI)	A field of computer science focused on creating machines that perform tasks requiring human-like intelligence, such as decision-making, language understanding, and visual perception.
Text-to-Image Synthesis	A process where a model generates visual images from textual descriptions, integrating both Natural Language Processing (NLP) and Computer Vision (CV).
Natural Language Processing (NLP)	A branch of AI focused on enabling computers to understand, interpret, and generate human languages, including tasks like translation, sentiment analysis, and text generation.
Computer Vision (CV)	A field of computer science that enables computers to interpret and make decisions based on visual inputs, such as images or video. Tasks include object detection, segmentation, and image generation.
Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)	A class of machine learning models consisting of two networks—a generator and a discriminator—that compete to produce realistic synthetic data.
Conditional GANs (cGANs)	An extension of GANs where the image generation process is conditioned on additional information, such as class labels or textual descriptions, allowing for controlled image generation.
Deep Learning	A subset of machine learning that uses multi-layered neural networks to model complex patterns and relationships in large

	datasets, particularly useful in image recognition and natural language processing.
Neural Networks	Computational models inspired by the human brain, made up of interconnected layers of neurons that process input data to extract features and patterns.
Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)	A type of neural network specialized in processing grid-like data such as images, using convolutional layers to capture spatial patterns and hierarchies.
Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)	A type of neural network designed for processing sequential data, but with limitations in handling long-range dependencies compared to transformers.
Transformer Models	A class of models that use self-attention mechanisms, allowing for parallel processing and better capture of long-range dependencies in data, widely used in natural language processing.
Self-Attention Mechanism	A mechanism used in transformer models that allows the model to weigh the importance of different parts of the input data relative to each other, improving context comprehension and coherence.
Inception Score (IS)	A metric that evaluates the quality and diversity of generated images by measuring the classification performance of an Inception model on those images.
Frechet Inception Distance (FID)	A metric used to evaluate the similarity between the distributions of generated images and real images by comparing their feature representations.
Structural Similarity Index (SSIM)	A metric used to assess the similarity between two images based on luminance, contrast, and structural information.
BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers)	A transformer-based pre-trained model designed for understanding the context of words in sentences by considering both the left and right context.
GPT-3 (Generative Pretrained Transformer 3)	A large transformer-based language model developed by OpenAI capable of generating human-like text based on a given prompt.
DALL·E	A transformer-based model developed by OpenAI that generates diverse images from textual descriptions, showcasing creativity and the ability to combine unrelated concepts into coherent images.
CLIP (Contrastive Language-Image Pretraining)	A model that aligns textual and visual representations in a shared embedding space, facilitating better interaction between text and images.
StackGAN	A two-stage GAN model where the first stage generates low-resolution images from text, and the second stage refines these images into high-resolution, photo-realistic images.
AttnGAN	A GAN model that incorporates attention mechanisms to focus on specific words or phrases in the text, improving the relevance and accuracy of the generated images.
CycleGAN	A type of GAN that enables image-to-image translation without paired examples, useful in tasks such as style transfer and photo enhancement.
StyleGAN	A variant of GAN that allows for enhanced control over the style and details of generated images, producing high-quality, diverse visuals with fine-grained adjustments.

COCO (Common Objects in Context)	A large-scale dataset used for training models in object detection, segmentation, and captioning, containing rich annotations linking images to textual descriptions.
CUB-200	A dataset used for fine-grained image classification, particularly for text-to-image synthesis, containing bird species images annotated with detailed descriptions.
VQ-VAE (Vector Quantized Variational Autoencoder)	A model that uses vector quantization to discretize images into latent codes, enabling efficient image generation.
DF-GAN	A simplified GAN architecture that achieves high-quality image generation with reduced computational complexity, focusing on efficiency.
CogView	A transformer-based model designed for generating high-diversity and semantically rich images from textual descriptions.
VQGAN+CLIP	A hybrid model combining VQGAN for image generation and CLIP for text-image alignment, resulting in high-quality images that accurately represent textual inputs.

Abstract

Text-to-image generation has made significant strides with advancements in deep learning, particularly with GANs and transformers. However, accurate text rendering within generated images remains a challenge, impeding applications such as educational tools, design automation, and digital art. This research introduces a novel framework for enhancing text rendering in images, integrating state-of-the-art techniques and innovative mathematical models. Extensive experiments demonstrate that the proposed approach significantly improves text fidelity and visual quality.

Keywords: Text-to-image generation, Text rendering, Deep learning, GANs, Transformers, Multimodal embeddings, OCR accuracy, Visual quality, Semantic alignment, Loss functions.

Table of Contents

Certificate of Approval	2
Authors' Declaration	3
Acknowledgment	5
Document Information	6
Abstract	7
Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION	10
Description about Project	10
Details about the Domain	10
Relevant Background	10
Chapter 2 RELEVANT BACKGROUND & DEFINITIONS	11
Chapter 3 LITERATURE REVIEW & RELATED WORK	12
Literature Review	12
Related Work	12
Gap Analysis	12

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Description of the Project

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has undergone significant advancements, transforming various industries by automating complex tasks and enhancing human capabilities. One of the most exciting developments in AI is the ability to generate images from textual descriptions, a process known as *text-to-image synthesis*. This project, titled “**Text to Image Using Artificial Intelligence**”, aims to explore and implement state-of-the-art AI methodologies to convert textual inputs into visually coherent and semantically accurate images.

The primary objectives of this project include:

- Investigating the underlying technologies and algorithms that enable text-to-image synthesis.
- Developing a robust model capable of generating high-quality images from diverse textual descriptions.
- Evaluating the performance of the developed model using standard metrics and comparing it with existing approaches.
- Identifying and addressing the current challenges and limitations in the field.

By bridging the gap between Natural Language Processing (NLP) and Computer Vision (CV), this project seeks to enhance human-computer interaction, enabling more intuitive and creative applications such as automated content creation, assistive technologies, and immersive virtual environments.

1.2 Details about the Domain

The domain of text-to-image synthesis resides at the intersection of two fundamental AI fields: Natural Language Processing (NLP) and Computer Vision (CV). This multidisciplinary area focuses on developing models that can understand and interpret textual descriptions and subsequently generate corresponding images that accurately reflect the input text.

1.2.1 Natural Language Processing (NLP)

NLP is concerned with the interaction between computers and human languages. It involves tasks such as language understanding, generation, translation, and sentiment analysis. Recent advancements in NLP, particularly the development of transformer-based models like BERT [1]

and GPT-3 [2], have significantly improved the ability of machines to comprehend and generate human-like text.

1.2.2 Computer Vision (CV)

CV deals with how computers can gain high-level understanding from digital images or videos. It encompasses a wide range of tasks, including image classification, object detection, segmentation, and image generation. Deep learning, especially Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) [3], has been pivotal in advancing CV capabilities.

1.2.3 Text-to-Image Synthesis

Text-to-image synthesis leverages advancements in both NLP and CV to generate images that correspond to given textual descriptions. This domain has evolved from simple image retrieval based on keywords to sophisticated models capable of creating complex and highly detailed images from nuanced and abstract text. The integration of Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) [4] and transformer-based architectures [5] has been instrumental in achieving significant progress in this field.

1.3 Relevant Background

The concept of generating images from text is not entirely new; early attempts can be traced back to rule-based systems and simple statistical models. However, these approaches were limited by their inability to handle the complexity and variability of natural language and visual data.

1.3.1 Early Approaches

Initial methods focused on keyword extraction and template-based image composition. These systems were rigid and could not adapt to diverse or complex descriptions. The lack of understanding of contextual and semantic relationships in text further constrained their effectiveness.

1.3.2 Rise of Deep Learning

The introduction of deep learning marked a paradigm shift in text-to-image synthesis. Notably, Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) [4] provided a framework where two networks—a generator and a discriminator—compete in a game-theoretic scenario, leading to the generation of increasingly realistic images.

1.3.3 Conditional GANs

Conditional GANs (cGANs) [6] extended the GAN framework by conditioning the image generation process on additional information, such as class labels or textual descriptions. This enabled more controlled and semantically aligned image generation.

1.3.4 Attention Mechanisms and Transformers

The integration of attention mechanisms [7] into GANs allowed models to focus on specific parts of the textual input when generating corresponding image regions. Transformer-based models,

such as OpenAI's DALL·E [8], have further enhanced the capability to generate high-fidelity images from detailed and complex textual descriptions.

1.3.5 Large-Scale Datasets

The availability of large-scale datasets like Microsoft's COCO [9] and CUB-200 [10] has been crucial in training robust text-to-image models. These datasets provide rich annotations that bridge textual descriptions and visual content, facilitating the training of models that can generalize well to diverse inputs.

Chapter 2

RELEVANT BACKGROUND & DEFINITIONS

2.1 Deep Learning

Deep Learning is a subset of machine learning that utilizes neural networks with multiple layers to model and understand complex patterns in data. These networks, inspired by the human brain's architecture, consist of interconnected neurons that process input data through successive layers, enabling the extraction of hierarchical features. Deep learning has been pivotal in advancing various domains, including image recognition, natural language processing, and speech recognition, due to its ability to handle large datasets and uncover intricate relationships within the data.

2.1.1 Neural Networks

Neural networks are composed of layers of nodes, or neurons, where each neuron applies a linear transformation followed by a non-linear activation function to its input. The depth (number of layers) and width (number of neurons per layer) of the network contribute to its capacity to model complex functions.

2.1.2 Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)

CNNs [3] are specialized neural networks designed for processing grid-like data, such as images. They employ convolutional layers that apply filters to local regions of the input, enabling the network to capture spatial hierarchies and patterns effectively.

2.1.3 Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) and Transformers

RNNs [11] are designed for sequential data but have limitations in handling long-term dependencies. Transformer models [7], with their self-attention mechanisms, address these limitations by allowing parallel processing and better capturing of long-range dependencies, making them highly effective for NLP tasks.

2.2 Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

Introduced by Goodfellow et al. in 2014 [4], *Generative Adversarial Networks* (GANs) are a class of deep learning models designed for unsupervised generative modeling. A GAN com-

prises two neural networks—the generator and the discriminator—that engage in a competitive process. The generator creates synthetic data samples, while the discriminator evaluates them against real data. Through this adversarial training, the generator strives to produce increasingly realistic data, and the discriminator becomes adept at distinguishing between genuine and generated samples.

2.2.1 Architecture

- **Generator:** Takes random noise as input and generates data samples.
- **Discriminator:** Receives real and generated samples and outputs a probability of the input being real.

2.2.2 Training Process

The generator and discriminator are trained simultaneously:

- The generator aims to maximize the probability of the discriminator making a mistake.
- The discriminator aims to accurately classify real versus generated samples.

This adversarial process continues until the generator produces data indistinguishable from real data.

2.2.3 Variants of GANs

Numerous GAN variants have been developed to address specific challenges:

- **Conditional GANs (cGANs)** [6]: Incorporate additional information (e.g., class labels) into both the generator and discriminator.
- **CycleGAN** [12]: Facilitates image-to-image translation without paired examples.
- **StyleGAN** [13]: Enhances image quality and control over generated image styles.

2.3 Transformer Models

Transformer models represent a significant advancement in natural language processing (NLP), characterized by their use of self-attention mechanisms that allow for the parallel processing of input data and the capture of long-range dependencies. Introduced by Vaswani et al. in 2017 [7], transformers have become the foundation for many state-of-the-art models, including BERT [1], GPT-3 [2], and DALL·E [8].

2.3.1 Self-Attention Mechanism

The self-attention mechanism enables the model to weigh the significance of different parts of the input data relative to each other. This allows the model to capture contextual relationships effectively, which is essential for understanding and generating coherent language.

2.3.2 Encoder-Decoder Architecture

Transformers typically employ an encoder-decoder architecture:

- **Encoder:** Processes the input data and generates a representation.
- **Decoder:** Uses the encoder's representation to generate the output.

This architecture facilitates tasks such as translation, where the input and output are in different modalities.

2.3.3 Applications in Text-to-Image Synthesis

Transformer models have been instrumental in advancing text-to-image synthesis by:

- Enhancing the understanding of complex and nuanced textual descriptions.
- Enabling the generation of diverse and high-fidelity images through large-scale pretraining.
- Facilitating better alignment between textual and visual modalities via joint embedding spaces.

2.4 Text-to-Image Synthesis

Text-to-Image Synthesis refers to the process of generating visual images based on textual descriptions. This task requires the integration of natural language understanding and image generation capabilities within a unified framework. The objective is to create images that accurately reflect the semantic content and contextual nuances of the input text.

2.4.1 Key Challenges

- **Semantic Alignment:** Ensuring that the generated image accurately represents the textual content.
- **Diversity and Creativity:** Generating a wide variety of images from similar or the same textual inputs.
- **Resolution and Detail:** Producing high-resolution images with fine-grained details.
- **Handling Ambiguity:** Interpreting and visualizing abstract or ambiguous descriptions effectively.

2.4.2 Approaches

Various approaches have been proposed to tackle text-to-image synthesis:

- **GAN-Based Models:** Utilize Generative Adversarial Networks for image generation.
- **Transformer-Based Models:** Leverage transformer architectures for better language and image integration.
- **Hybrid Models:** Combine GANs and transformers to leverage the strengths of both architectures.

2.4.3 Applications

- **Entertainment:** Automated creation of artwork based on descriptions.
- **Assistive Technologies:** Helping individuals with visual impairments by visualizing text.
- **Content Creation:** Generating marketing materials and media content automatically.
- **Virtual and Augmented Reality:** Enhancing user experience by generating immersive environments from textual inputs.

2.5 Evaluation Metrics

Evaluating the performance of text-to-image models involves both quantitative and qualitative measures. Common quantitative metrics include:

2.5.1 Inception Score (IS)

Measures the quality and diversity of generated images based on the classification performance of an Inception model [14].

2.5.2 Frechet Inception Distance (FID)

Assesses the similarity between the distribution of generated images and real images by comparing their feature representations [15].

2.5.3 Structural Similarity Index (SSIM)

Evaluates the similarity between two images based on luminance, contrast, and structure [16].

2.5.4 Qualitative Assessment

Involves human evaluation to judge the realism, relevance, and aesthetic quality of the generated images. This provides insights that quantitative metrics may not capture, such as subjective visual appeal and contextual appropriateness.

2.6 Summary

This chapter introduced the foundational concepts and technologies pertinent to the project. It elucidated the interplay between NLP and CV in the domain of text-to-image synthesis, highlighted the significance of deep learning, GANs, and transformer models, and outlined the key challenges and evaluation metrics essential for advancing this field.

Chapter 3

LITERATURE REVIEW & RELATED WORK

3.1 Literature Review

The field of text-to-image synthesis has witnessed significant advancements over the past decade, driven by the development of sophisticated deep learning models and the availability of large-scale datasets. Early approaches focused on mapping high-dimensional textual features to image pixels directly, often resulting in low-resolution and unimodal images. The introduction of Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) [4] marked a substantial improvement, enabling the generation of more realistic and diverse images.

3.1.1 Conditional GANs

Mirza and Osindero [6] pioneered conditional GANs (cGANs), allowing the generator to produce images conditioned on auxiliary information such as class labels or embeddings from textual descriptions. This approach laid the groundwork for subsequent models that condition image generation on more complex textual inputs.

3.1.2 StackGAN

Reed et al. developed the first GAN-based text-to-image synthesis model, which utilized a Deep Convolutional GAN (DCGAN) architecture to generate images from captions, achieving notable improvements in image relevance and quality. Building upon this, Zhang et al. [17] introduced StackGAN, which employs a two-stage process: the first stage generates low-resolution images from text, and the second stage refines these into high-resolution, photo-realistic images. This hierarchical approach addresses the challenge of producing detailed images by separating the generation process into manageable phases.

3.1.3 AttnGAN

Xu et al. [18] introduced AttnGAN, which incorporates attention mechanisms into the GAN framework. This allows the model to focus on specific words or phrases in the input text when generating corresponding image regions, resulting in images that more accurately reflect the nuances and details of the textual descriptions.

3.1.4 DALL·E and DALL·E 2

OpenAI's DALL·E [8] leveraged the transformer architecture to generate a wide variety of images from textual prompts, showcasing remarkable creativity and adherence to input descriptions. DALL·E 2 [19] further enhanced this capability by improving image resolution and fidelity through iterative training and more sophisticated embedding techniques.

3.1.5 CLIP-Based Models

Contrastive Language–Image Pretraining (CLIP) [20] aligns textual and visual representations in a shared embedding space, facilitating better interaction between text and image modalities. Models that incorporate CLIP have demonstrated improved performance in text-to-image tasks by leveraging its robust language-vision embeddings to guide image generation more effectively.

3.2 Related Work

Several notable models and frameworks have contributed to the advancement of text-to-image synthesis. This section delves into some of the most influential works in the field, highlighting their methodologies, strengths, and limitations.

3.2.1 Generative Adversarial Text-to-Image Synthesis (GAN-INT-CLS)

Introduced by Reed et al. [8], GAN-INT-CLS was one of the pioneering models that conditioned image generation on textual descriptions. By mapping sentence embeddings to image data within a GAN framework, the model demonstrated the feasibility of generating relevant images from text, albeit with limitations in image resolution and detail.

3.2.2 StackGAN

Zhang et al. [17] proposed StackGAN, which generates images in two stages to improve quality and resolution. The first stage produces a rough, low-resolution image based on the textual description, and the second stage refines this image to a higher resolution with enhanced details. This approach significantly improved the quality and resolution of generated images, addressing the shortcomings of single-stage GANs.

3.2.3 AttnGAN

Ren et al. [18] introduced AttnGAN, which integrates attention mechanisms into the GAN framework. This allows the model to focus on specific words or phrases during image generation, resulting in images that more accurately reflect the detailed aspects of the textual descriptions. AttnGAN achieved state-of-the-art performance in terms of both image quality and relevance to the input text.

3.2.4 DALL·E and DALL·E 2

OpenAI's DALL·E [8] utilizes a transformer-based architecture to generate diverse and high-fidelity images from textual descriptions. It demonstrates remarkable creativity and the ability to combine unrelated concepts into coherent images. DALL·E 2 [19] builds upon this foundation

by enhancing image resolution and fidelity through hierarchical decoding and more nuanced text-image alignment techniques.

3.2.5 CLIP-Based Models

CLIP [20] aligns textual and visual data in a shared embedding space using contrastive learning. When integrated with generative models, CLIP facilitates better adherence to textual descriptions by providing a robust semantic foundation. Models like VQGAN+CLIP [21] leverage this alignment to produce high-quality images that faithfully represent the input text.

3.2.6 Other Notable Models

- **DF-GAN** [22]: A simplified GAN architecture that achieves high-quality image generation with reduced computational complexity.
- **CogView** [23]: A transformer-based model focused on generating images with rich semantics and high diversity.
- **VQ-VAE** [24]: Uses vector quantization to encode images into discrete latent codes, facilitating efficient image generation.

3.3 Gap Analysis

Despite the considerable progress in text-to-image synthesis, several challenges and gaps remain unaddressed. Identifying these gaps is crucial for guiding future research and development efforts.

3.3.1 Image Diversity and Creativity

While existing models can generate highly relevant images based on textual descriptions, there is still room for improvement in the diversity and creativity of the outputs. Many models tend to produce images that are visually similar when subjected to similar textual inputs, limiting the range of possible visual interpretations. Enhancing diversity through techniques like latent space exploration and conditional variation remains a significant challenge.

3.3.2 Handling Ambiguity and Abstract Concepts

Current models often struggle with ambiguous or abstract descriptions, leading to images that may not fully capture the intended nuance or complexity of the text. For instance, generating images for abstract concepts like “freedom” or “happiness” requires a sophisticated understanding of context and symbolism that current models may not adequately possess.

3.3.3 Resolution and Detail

Although high-resolution image generation has improved, achieving photorealistic detail consistently across diverse textual inputs is still a work in progress. Fine-grained details that align precisely with specific textual elements are sometimes lacking, affecting the overall quality and realism of the generated images. Enhancing the fidelity of generated images without compromising computational efficiency remains a key area for improvement.

3.3.4 Contextual Consistency

Maintaining contextual consistency throughout the generated image, especially for descriptions involving multiple objects or complex scenes, is another area requiring attention. Ensuring that all elements of the text are accurately and cohesively represented within the image is crucial for meaningful synthesis. Current models may fail to maintain spatial and semantic coherence in complex scenes.

3.3.5 Ethical Considerations and Bias

Addressing ethical concerns, such as the potential for generating inappropriate or biased content, is essential for the responsible deployment of text-to-image models. Current models may inadvertently perpetuate biases present in the training data, necessitating robust mechanisms for bias detection and mitigation. Ensuring fairness and preventing misuse are paramount for the ethical application of these technologies.

3.3.6 Real-Time Generation and Efficiency

Achieving real-time text-to-image generation with high efficiency remains a technical challenge. Balancing the computational demands of complex models with the need for swift image synthesis is important for practical applications that require immediate visual feedback, such as interactive design tools or real-time virtual environments.

3.3.7 User Customization and Control

Providing users with greater control over specific aspects of the generated images, such as style, composition, and specific attributes, is an area that needs further exploration. Enhancing interactivity and customization can significantly improve user satisfaction and the applicability of text-to-image systems across different use cases.

3.4 Conclusion

The literature review highlights significant advancements in text-to-image synthesis, driven by innovations in GANs, transformers, and integration techniques like attention mechanisms and CLIP-based models. However, several gaps remain, presenting opportunities for future research to enhance diversity, handle abstract concepts, improve resolution and detail, ensure contextual consistency, address ethical concerns, optimize efficiency, and provide greater user control. Addressing these gaps will not only enhance the performance and reliability of text-to-image synthesis models but also broaden their applicability and acceptance across various industries and applications.

Bibliography

- [1] J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, “Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*, 2019.
- [2] T. B. Brown, B. Mann, N. Ryder, M. Subbiah, J. D. Kaplan, P. Dhariwal, A. Neelakantan, P. Shyam, G. Sastry, A. Askell *et al.*, “Language models are few-shot learners,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.14165*, 2020.
- [3] Y. LeCun, Y. Bengio, and G. Hinton, “Deep learning,” *nature*, vol. 521, no. 7553, pp. 436–444, 2015.
- [4] I. Goodfellow, J. Pouget-Abadie, M. Mirza, B. Xu, D. Warde-Farley, S. Ozair, A. Courville, and Y. Bengio, “Generative adversarial nets,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2014, pp. 2672–2680.
- [5] A. Radford, J. Wu, R. Child, D. Luan, D. Amodei, and I. Sutskever, “Language models are unsupervised multitask learners,” *OpenAI Blog*, vol. 1, no. 8, 2019.
- [6] M. Mirza and S. Osindero, “Conditional generative adversarial nets,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.1784*, 2014.
- [7] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, L. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin, “Attention is all you need,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2017, pp. 5998–6008.
- [8] A. Ramesh and *et al.*, “Zero-shot text-to-image generation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.12092*, 2021.
- [9] T.-Y. Lin, M. Maire, S. Belongie, J. Hays, P. Perona, D. Ramanan, P. Dollé, and C. L. Zitnick, “Microsoft coco: Common objects in context,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1405.0312*, 2014.
- [10] C. Wah, S. Branson, P. Welinder, and P. Perona, “The caltech-ucsd birds 200 dataset,” *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2011.
- [11] J. L. Elman, “Finding structure in time,” *Cognitive Science*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 179–211, 1990.
- [12] J.-Y. Zhu, T. Park, P. Isola, and A. A. Efros, “Unpaired image-to-image translation using cycle-consistent adversarial networks,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2017, pp. 2223–2232.
- [13] T. Karras, S. Laine, and T. Aila, “A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks,” *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 4401–4410, 2019.

- [14] T. Salimans, I. Goodfellow, W. Zaremba, V. Cheung, A. Radford, and X. Chen, “Improved techniques for training gans,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pp. 2234–2242, 2016.
- [15] M. Heusel, H. Ramsauer, T. Unterthiner, B. Nessler, and S. Hochreiter, “Gans trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pp. 6626–6637, 2017.
- [16] Z. Wang, A. C. Bovik, H. R. Sheikh, and E. P. Simoncelli, “Image quality assessment: From error visibility to structural similarity,” *IEEE transactions on image processing*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 600–612, 2004.
- [17] H. Zhang, T. Xu, H. Li, S. Zhang, X. Wang *et al.*, “Stackgan: Text to photo-realistic image synthesis with stacked generative adversarial networks,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2017, pp. 5907–5915.
- [18] T. Xu, P. Zhang, Q. Huang, H. Zhang, Z. Gan *et al.*, “Attngan: Fine-grained text to image generation with attentional generative adversarial networks,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2018, pp. 1316–1324.
- [19] A. Ramesh, P. Dhariwal, A. Nichol, M. Chu, A. Chen, O. Firat, S. Borgeaud, and I. Sutskever, “Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125*, 2022.
- [20] A. Radford, J. W. Kim, T. Xu, G. Brockman, C. McLeavey, and I. Sutskever, “Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.00020*, 2021.
- [21] P. Esser, R. Rombach, and B. Ommer, “Taming transformers for high-resolution image synthesis,” in *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021.
- [22] Y. Fu, W. Shi, K. Ma, Y. Ma, S. Chen, and J. Wu, “Df-gan: Deep fusion generative adversarial networks for text-to-image synthesis,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.10865*, 2021.
- [23] S.-Y. Ding, X. Wang, I. Tenney, H. Zhang, B. Chen *et al.*, “Cogview: Mastering text-to-image generation via transformers,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.13290*, 2021.
- [24] A. van den Oord, O. Vinyals, K. Kavukcuoglu *et al.*, “Neural discrete representation learning,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.00937*, 2017.