

# **LAB TASK 2:**

## **SUBMITTED BY:**

Syeda Fatima (DT-22008).

Q.1:

### **OUTPUT:**

Round Robin Scheduling:							
Pro	cess Bur	st Time	Waiting Time	Turnaround	Time		
P1	10	13	23				
P2	5	10	15				
Р3	8	13	21				
Average Waiting Time: 12.00 Average Turnaround Time: 19.67							
=== Code Execution Successful ===							

Q.2:

### **OUTPUT:**

Priority Scheduling:							
Pro	cess Bur	rst Time	Priorit	y	Waiting Time	Turnaround Time	
P2	1	1	0	1			
P5	5	2	1	6			
P1	10	3	6	16			
Р3	2	4	16	18			
P4	1	5	18	19			
Average Waiting Time: 8.20 Average Turnaround Time: 12.00							
=== Code Execution Successful ===							



Q.3:

#### **OUTPUTS**:

Given	Data:

PROCESS BURST TIME PRIORITY

P0 2 3 P1 6 1 P2 4 2

# FCFS Scheduling:

PROCESS BURST TIME WAITING TIME TURNAROUND TIME

P0 2 0 2 P1 6 2 8 P2 4 8 12

Average Waiting Time: 3.33
Average Turnaround Time: 7.33

# SJF Scheduling:

PROCESS BURST TIME WAITING TIME TURNAROUND TIME

P0 2 0 2 P2 4 2 6 P1 6 6 12

Average Waiting Time: 2.67
Average Turnaround Time: 6.67



Round	Robin	Scheduli	ng:
-------	-------	----------	-----

PROCESS	BURST	TIME	WAITING TIME	TURNAROUND TIME
P0	2	0	2	
P1	4	5	9	
P2	6	6	12	

Average Waiting Time: 3.67
Average Turnaround Time: 7.67

## Priority Scheduling:

PROCESS PRIORITY		Υ	BURST TIME	WAITING TIME	TURNAROUND	TIME
P1	1	4	0	4		
P2	2	6	4	10		
P0	3	2	10	12		

Average Waiting Time: 4.67 Average Turnaround Time: 8.67

=== Code Execution Successful ===

## **Observations:**

### First-Come, First-Served (FCFS):

Processes are executed in the order of arrival, irrespective of burst time or priority.

#### Result:

- High waiting time and turnaround time for longer processes (e.g., P1).
- Simple but inefficient when processes vary in burst times.

### **Shortest Job First (SJF):**

- Executes shortest burst times first, minimizing waiting and turnaround times.
- Result:
  - o Achieved the lowest average waiting time and turnaround time.
  - P1 suffered delays but benefited from prioritizing shorter jobs like P0 and P2.



# Round Robin (RR) (Quantum = 3):

- Processes are executed cyclically with equal CPU time slices.
- Result:
  - Balanced waiting times for all processes, ensuring fairness.
  - o Higher average turnaround time compared to SJF due to context switching.

## **Priority Scheduling:**

- Executes based on priority, with lower numerical values indicating higher priority.
- Result:
  - o P1 (highest priority) completed first, minimizing its waiting time.
  - o Starvation risk for lower-priority processes if longer.