Security Defense Access Rights Revocation Network Topology 2 types: Intrusion Detection (IDS), and Intrusion Prevention (IPS). Has different topologies, such as Fully Connected Network, Partially Access List: delete access rights from access list. Simple, immediate. Revocation of Access-Rights is implemented using an Access List. Ethernet (multiaccess bus): simple, reliable, cost effective; or Connected Network, Tree-Intrusion: signature-based detection of dangerous behavior Capability List: requires to locate the capability in the system before revoke Token Ring: deterministic, far distance, great throughput Structured, Star Network, or Ring patterns (need benchmark of normal behavior first, requires Hydra System under heavy load upgrade of signatures), anomaly detection. **Routing Strategies** Cons: Require special HW thus increase cost, and risk Fixed Routing: direct path between A-B is pre-Fixed set of access rights known and interpreted by the system. False Alarm = False Positive (must be low), Missed Intrusions = operations on Objects are through pre-defined procedures. WAN of losing token. False Negtive defined Cambridge CAP System Network firewall: DMZ - semitrusted domain. · Originated from Arpanet 1968, a packet switching Pros: shortest path can be chosen to minimize Simpler but powerful 3.1. Security Classification network cost, ensure ordering of messages Data Capability & software capability Base on US Department of Defense: D(minimal security), C(some . Or PPP (Point-to-Point) connection over modems. · Cons: unable to adapt load changes. Language-Based Protection protection), B(C+sensitivity labels), A(formal design, verification Communication Structure Virtual Circuit: a path from A to B is defined for the More flexible than the OS, but high overhead with access validation, techniques 5 basic problems to solve: Naming and name session duration. Same pros as Fixed Routing, and resolution, Routing strategies, connection 1.1. Distributed System: Compiler-Based enforcement: restriction is built in to compiler. improve the handling of load changes by specifying strategies, and Contention. Example: JVM (Untrusted Classes, Stack Inspection) Definition: Collection of Processors that do not share memory or the path avoiding congestion. Forms of misuse 5.1. Contention clock, communicating through networks. Dynamic Routing: path between A to B is chosen there are several forms of accidental or malicious misues: There are 2 methods to solve contention in · Processors: varies in size, functions; including all types of when a message is sent, by the router or hops. Breach of Confidentiality: unauthorized reading of data communication. computers. · Pros: adapt to load changes Breach of Integrity: unauthorized modification of data. . Site: location of a computer system. 1. Token Passing: circulates a token in the system. Breach of **Availability**: unauthorized destruction of data by causing **Hos**t: specific system at a site (e.g., server, client) · Cons: message may arrive out of order. 2. Message Slots (Ring structure): reserve slots for 3 Connection strategies (scheme): havoc or defacement communication Four reasons for Distributed Systems: resource sharing, Theft of Service: unauthorized use of resource 1. Circuit Switching - a permanent link is established computation speedup, reliability, and communication Denial of Service: preventing legitimate use of service throughout the communication session 1.2. Network-Based Operating Systems **Attacking Methods** (telephone/modem). There are 2 types of network based OSs. Masquarading: pretend to be s/o 2. Message Switching - a temporary link is established Replay Attack: keep system busy by repeat of valid data during one message tranfer. transmission 1.2.1. Network Operating Systems 3. Packet Switching - split the message into smaller Message Modification fixed-length packets and dispatching to the destination, User must know the techniques, or which resources to query, where to Man in the middle attack regardless of orders, routes. obtain the resources, i.e. command sets before hand. Example: Session Hijacking: MITM + intercepting an active session. Pros/Cons: Remote logging (telnet, ssh), Remote desktop (RDP), Data Transfer Program Threats · Circuit Switching requires more setup time, but less Trojan House: code segment misuses its env, login emulation, more reliable spyware. Attached to a program, but does not replicate overhead for each message, may waste bandwidth if Trap Door: leaving secret access point, backdoor 1.2.2. Distributed Operating Systems Logic Bomb: initiates a security incident under certain conditions · Message and Packet switching less setup time, but Stack and Buffer Overflow: overload the data until return address User does not need to have special knowledge about remote system, more overhead per message. is modified. Solution: SPARC and Solaris throws exception when just access them as local resources, all data migration, computation Communication Protocols the ISO Model exec from stack memory, Linux and Windows XP mark those page migration and process migration is taken care by the distributed OS. Application Layer combines the ISO as non-exec. Two techniques to move processes in network: by OS (transparent to a subject of the transparent to the trans Application/Presentation/Session distributed information services Virus: attach itself to a program, self replicate & infect other program, Specific to CPU arch, OS, apps, Why Windows has users), or by users' specific inputs. HTTP, DNS, Telnet, SMTP, FTP Characteristics of Client / Server Computing: ely on user-friendly app more virus? Linux/UNIX has separated users/roots, where as application layer Windows users usually have admin privilege, and Windows syste share services on server side, common DB server, high priority on Unix doesnot care outnumber UNIX/LINUS. Categories of virus: Macros, File, Boot about what is sector, Source Code, Polymorphic, Encrypted, Stealth, Tunneling, network management and secury transfer-syntax negotiation a-representation transformat here above tran Multipartite, Armored, 1.2.3. Client / Server Computing alog and synchronization trol for application entitle Worm: replicate functional copies of themselves but as separate layer -> Developm Key feature is allocation of tasks between Client & Server. ISO Protocol network-independent ssage-interchange serv this simplicity TCP/IP entities. Symmetric Encryption · Client / Server must share same protocol, support same app. Stack end-to-end message transfer nection management, error cont TCP -UDP Mostly base on Transformation · Easy to use GUI Design Issues DES: Most commonly used symmetric block-encryption twork routing, addressicall set-up and clearing ΙP To be considered when network layer Possible to have different OSes algorithm. 64-bit chunk value and 56-bit key. XORED previous designing a distributed data-link control ta transparency, error o Data Link link layer ciphertext before encrypt. Client/Server Classes system structures оргинева в вного в натура. Triple DES: improve version of DES with 3 times encryption using 2 There are 4 classes of Client/Server Computing: •Transparency •Fault Tolerance Physical Scalability physical connection to AES (Advanced Encryption Standard): key length 128, 192, 256, • Host-based: dumb terminal, traditional mainframe Copyright @ 2009 John Wiley & Sons Inc. and data chunk of 128-bit Server-based - aka Thin Client: server does all processing, Reconfiguration Twofish: variable key up to 256-bit, 128-bit chuk value. Ability to reconfigure & recover client only does presentation. Robustness on failure and resume operation RC4: Stream Cipher, when length of comm makes block cipher Able to detect link failure Cooperative (Fat Client): application logic is shared between DFS is a file system where multiple users share files & directories slow. Used in WEP, HTTPS site failure or message lost. client & server, complex to setup but greater user productivity which are physically dispersed among different distributed systems Asymmetric Encryption using network and low-level protocols. A DFS system consists of: & network effeciency. 2.1. Naming Structures Key difference with symmetric: the enc and dec keys are Service: is the certain type of functions provided by the Client-based (Fat Client): Most common model, all There are 2 types: Location Transparency - i.e. one can't determinte software entity, running on the systems, to the client processing done at client, only DB logic at server side. The server hosting the file by the filename, and Location Independence Mostly base on Maths Function, not Transformations. Server: is the computer system that consists of multiple filename can stay intact when file physical location is changed. Represented by Relational Database, example is SQL Comparison between Location Transparency and Location Independence Not for large amount of data services Client: is the process that invokes and consumes the service Used for small amount of data, authentication, confidentiality, key database provides db service to Client side. Location Transparency Location Independence from the server. distribution Fat Client v/s Thin Client RSA: block-cipher public key algo. Simple, and more desirable Convenient as user does not need · Client Interface for a file service is the form of primitive file Fat Client Thin Client for security (as user does to lookup where the file locates ever operations (Create/Delete/Read/Write), should be • Encryption algo is $E(k_e, N)(m) = m_e^k mod N$, where k_e not know where the file it's moved between physical disk migration path from transparent. Client Interface does not distinguish between satisfies $k_e k_d mod(p-1)(q-1)=1$; decryption is location is) drives less bottle neck at mainframe to local and remote files. 1 Ans: ... The client needs to know $D(k_d, N)(c) = c_d^k mod N$ Advantage server side distributed Static, does not support file about the protocol & structure & which server to connect to Dynamic, support file migration Authentication computing network migration Authentication is used to verify a msg or doc was authored by a Component Unit: smallest set of files that can be stored on a Movement of file names & difficult to Migration is done by software certain party, and not altered or modified, i.e. integrity verification. disk are manual system maintain, upgrade bottleneck at server . Each msg has an authenticator (generated by the sender) and more popular (implemented in AFS)FS Performance Measurement: 2 factors, (1) time to satisfy the (involving side due to high will be verified by the receiver. Andrew File System) Service Request, (2) Transparency (how comparable the DFS is to There are 2 types of auth algos: MAC (symm enc) and Digita conventional file system) better abstraction since logical data to Hemote Server mode. Signature (asym enc, key is inversed). Convenient to share remote inconsistency. Caching could be disabled for particular file while switching · Fewer computations, auth is shorter than msg, for nonfiles as similar as local files location (stateful as well). It records and resolve conflicts it it detects a potential Naming hierarchy separated from JenseS eqt kq petgijuli si yoeqo kipijiga eqoso: uoseodate petgijuli jenseS • Able to balance the Key Distribution: use CA to prove who owns the public key utilization of disks across first access, or © at fixed interval time. Application: SSL, HTTPS, IPSEC/VPN the system (session base, thus stateful). It checks (a) before every access, or (b) on **User Authentication** Client initiated approach: cache validity check is initiated by the Client In current trend, OS and Networking software are locally stored,

User Data and System utilities are remotely stored

Use password, symmetric, asymmetric enc, user identity (key, ca

One Time password: uses SecurID, S/K system

attribute, fingerprint, retina, etc)

There are 2 solutions:

CODAS

wain question about consistency: is Local cached data consistent with Master

2.3.3. Cache	Caching	Remote Service	NFS V4	AFS	ing the
Cache Scheme: only blocks, not entire file are copied from server to local client.	- · · · · · · · ·	Simplicity, Suitable for	 stateful, no mount protoco support open() and close 	OLHas 2 namespaces: local, and shared.	time stamp, to ken passing time stamp, to ken passing of p. the timestamp, to ken passing the storand defer going to CS.
LRU is used to contain cache size. Since copies of master file are scattered on Pros different systems, there will be Cache-Consistency Problem (keeping cached	Faster with local cache	diskless	Client local cache, file lock (by client to server)	(SShared: made up of several component un	s (volumes),
copies consistent with the master file).		small-memory-capacity		communicate to server through Vice, using f Vice. A fid contains:	e in the street in the property of the propert
Cache Size: Cache can be large, or small. Large caches increase hit and miss	-	systems	controls several mechanisms to	 Volume Number: access point to server, change. (there is mapping of VolumeID to IP) 	c (volumes), to identifies the thange if server demonstrate thange if server to defect right at the server to defect right at
ratio at the same time, have more data transferred) and increase consistency		Suitable for Stateless connection	ensure the Distributed	 Vnode Number: inodes offiles in single vo Uniquifier: unique identifier, allows reuse 	lume.
problems. Small caches are not useful with large block size. AFS cache size is 64KB, Unix block sizes are 4KB and 8KB.	Complicated, not so	Slow, high network	order.	same file path.	Inme. on the regular of the regular
Cons Cache Location: either in memory or on disk. Disk caches is more reliable and	effecient when Writes are	turnaround time and server	EventorderingMutual Exclusion	 Fid is location transparent, file moved on se fid. The Volume location is kepton Vice serv 	her thus Liq does not all a safe if the required the required to the required
does not require re-fetch after recovery. Main-memory caches is faster,	frequent than Read Stateful	operation overhead Stateless	Atomicity ConcurrencyControl	need to care about real location of the serve •Fundamental Architecture: Use whole file	caching.64KB Ei io de
performance speedup in larger memory, workstation can go diskless. 1			 Deadlock Handling 	cache size. •Open: Read cache from Vice	caching, 84KB Barranges and Interestinated Environmental (Its CS, it will as to CS and immediately).
	server tracks each file being ccessed by client	no knowledge about how file are used	Election Algorithms Reaching Agreement	•Close:write changes to Server.	hes:
NEO bath Orabine (resis seemed) and DDO Hawarenin Orbini Or	ile identifier is used through the	Fach request is self-		 Cache is assumed valid unless notified by \$ r policy (consistency of files are managed by 	
NEO M. D.I. I. II. DDO.	ession, memory space (for	contained. No requirement	requires results produced within cified deadline.	callback for notification of changes). > callba file is cached, the server makes a note of thi	ck_policy:when a so o o o o o
	aching on server side) is eclaimed when session ends or	to keep open files list in The memory. Take longer	ere are 3 types of realtime systems:	inform the client if the file is updated by some are discarded and must be re-established af	one else. Callbacks
 Write-through: immediately write to disk as soon as updates on cache. 	nactive	request time	Safety-Critical Systems: if miss	or network failure, including a time-out. Re-e	stablishing a callback
network latency can cause waiting). a.k.a. Read Cache - Write RPC. ²		slower processing, using	Weapon, ABS, Flight Control, etc.		Intrule Rectarge to the state of the state o
,	rediction of file access & loading	low level naming scheme,	Hard Real-time Systems: Guaranteed critical real-time (mus	•Security: si • No execution of client program on Vice Sy	mutual Exc Sing one of Event order Fits clocks t ff its clocks t ff its clocks t
Delayed-write: update cache first, then update master later. Good	ne content ahead	and may serve duplicate request.	completed within deadline)	Encrypted messages for communication	≥⊃ Ш <u>+ </u>
performance, fast turnaround, only last update is going to master, but poor reliability (lost unwritten data if user machine crashes).		almost unnoticeable after		·Implementation: at UNIX kernel with a set	
 Mechanism: Scan cache at regular intervals and flush the variation 	May lose all volatile state if crash	failure recovery	not forced).	include • path-name translation (volume, file, fid)	[
(difference since last scan) of modified blocks or removed blocks are	reemptive, Priority Based duling	2. Character	istics of	 Venus uses client's disk for data caching caching, it uses virtual memory. 	For file status
flush to server, result in good performance and client cache might not	nuling a MUST for Real-Time Systems to be pre	emptive Real-time Sy	rstem	the Venus (AFS interface) and server use instead of path name.	nodes for file access
Notice for a long time.	d real-time process should be assigned h	Single purpose, smal		Uses LRU algorithm for cache sizecontai	iment.
for frequently modified files or files open for long periods (less refresh, Pre	eemptive Soft Real-Time Systems: assig		es not always • CPI Laboror	I Share Scheduling s are divided proportionally to the VxWorks	does not distinguishbetween
Pre	heduling priority, for e.g. Solaris, Window: eemptive Hard Real-Time Systems: <u>guar</u>	s, Linux. provide all features suc	ch as standard the deadline,	, if deadline is short, more shares. User mode •Events are	and Kernel mode (only in v6).
NES uses delay-write, syncs metadata changes synchronously to the	rvice within deadline requirements. atency: time from arrival of interrupt to	desktop system.		Multimedia Timing Requirements	again
crashes. start of routi			m unit are executed until completion	 Multimedia data must be accessed within sp timing requirements (for e.g. 24-30 fps) 	icific e de d
AFS uses Write-on-close, delay closing process on client until file is Save st interrur		equires a Transaction Coordin		 Continuous media data is data with specific 	rate St. P. C.
4 Consumer of Control	ISR to handle the interrupt.	 sing two-phase commit protocol. Phase 1: Coordinator sends 	request for prepare to all sites and v		of Se ses bo twor ment ntto (
handlar	sed when kernel disables interrupt r.	responses from ALL sites. If t transactions will be aborted.	timeout or one "aborts", the whole	Streaming has 2 types: • Progressive Download: content stored on cli	quire quire yure oorta
	atency: time for scheduler to take	Phase 2: Coordinator makes	a decision to commit or abort and in	inform all computer (Youtube, ESPN, CNN)	ut's Bred and Industry
2	ess off CPU and switch to another. ptive Kernel keeps dispatch latency low.		ACK is required from each site. ide the state of T base on their log.	 Realtime Streaming: content not stored on cl computer (TV broadcast, Internet Radio, etc. 	
During	the conflict phase (i.e. preemption),	 If Site dies: it looks at it logs t 	to recover (commit->redo, abort->ur	ndo, Live Streaming	• MPEG-1: • Used for low-res video, 1.5Mbps.
	ot any process running in Kernel, and e resource of low-priority processes.		of QoS is provided by Admission	1. What is FALSE for distributed	MP3 audio Compression ratio 200:1
Pionete	times Priority Inversion is used to resolve ch Latency issue QoS		g Semaphores: simple admission co g Resource Managers: used for each	ontrol policy. systems? ch type of resourcesite separated for security.	•MPEG-2:
Cons. possible bottleneck, 3FOF.		o (CPU Scheduling: soft real-time, an	nd hard real-time 2. Which is not the behavior of	 Used for DVD and HDTV local play bac Higer level (res) and profile (quality) via
each handle different sets of resources issues:	Delay, o	delay of stream data delivery.	(requires critical process to be servi guaranteed period of time)	Distributed OS? Desktop migration used by OS to	compression, 1.5Mbpsto 15Mbps. •MPEG-3 (discontinued)
 Pros: reduces bottleneck. •Failed pr	rocess -> form a new Reliabil	lelays during playback.	Disk Scheduling	transfer GUI, etc.	•MPEG-4
 Cons: multisites complicate deadlock handling. Majority Protocol Approach: Lock Manager at each site, trx sends lock 4,1, Rate-M 	- and pro-	cessing of data.	 using EDF, i.e. Earliest Deadlir Shortest Seek Time First, orde 	ine First, similar to 3. Which is server based processing requests by Client responsible for GUI, server of	internet. Scalable quality.
request to more than half of sites where the data is stored, when majority	Ionotonic Scheduling Algorith rity is inversely assigned with their pe	radi ft od	deadline. EDF may have highe	er seek times. all the work. DF but grouping 4. FALSE statement about Token	Scalable quality. 1.What is the key security enabler?
reply, lock is obtained. The shorte	er the period, the higher the priority,	Effort: no.g Effort: no.g ditional OS. QoS: prioriz QoS: guara	and order requests with relative	vely close deadline ing?	Encryption. 2.Difference between Virus & Trojan Horse
 Pros: avoid central control, SPOF Cons: complicated to implement, 2(n/2+1) messages for lock req, and Higher price 	ority preempts the lower one.	<u> </u>	Batch reordering must ensure served within deadline.	5. What is FQDN address?	Trojan Horsedoes <u>not</u> replicate, while Vir
n/2+1 for unlock req. • Process m	ust execute on specific period p, and	0 → ⊃ 6	Network Management	sau @svuca.ed u 6. Which routing is more adaptabl	does. 3.Why Windows has more virus?
	ourst t during each period. otonic Scheduling can only shedule n	of rec traffic	Protocols: RTP/RSTP, HTTP (s PTSP/RTP: Use HTTP to	stateless) to load changes? transfer the meta Dynamic Routing.	 Windows is more popular, and Windows users usually have admin rights.
Pros: less overhead on read, but additional overhead on writes.	with no more CPU Utilization than no		file, and RTP/RTSP for co	ontent delivery. 7. Which message switching with	4.Difference between Virus and Worm?
	eral is 0.69.	ants, m ns, but	RTSP commands include PAUSE, TEARDOWN.	variable length can take different path in the network?	Worm replicate itself as virus, but differer entities (virus attaches itself to the host).
	od of 50 clocks, CPU burst of 20 clocks od of 100 clocks, CPU burst of 35 clocks	nostly u t still <u>no</u>	Methods: Unicast, Broadcast, a	and Multicast. Packet Switching.	5. Is it feasible to derive Dec from End in
Pros: simple implementation. P₁ and P₂ car P₁ has the hig	n begin executing at the same time gher priority, so it takes the CPU first	<u>o</u> used	Unicast is most common.	8. Which layer of ISO is not in TCP/IP?	Asymmetric, Symmetric? No , Yes.
5.1. Deadlock Prevention Part way thro	its processing, and then P ₂ starts rugh P ₂ 's CPU burst, P ₁ must execute a	2. Earliest Deadline F		Session layer. 9. Which behavior does NOT belor	 What are the key part in Authentication Authenticators, used to verify the
Main idea is to order the resource , to prevent Circular Wait to happen, using	and completes a CPU and completes its processing	EDF is theoretically opting	fline, i.e. the earlier the deadline the nal.	to Network OS? Need to know which resource to	authenticity of the messages. 7. Is Authentication Symmetric or
timestamp and/or priority. Required Completion:	P ₁ , P ₂ P ₁	P ₁ , P ₂ Algorithm	shes (and at the beginning), take th	lookfor.	Asymmetric?
Wait-Die Non-Preemptive Scheme: when a new resource request comes older process can wait for younger process to release its resource.	P ₁ P ₂ P ₁			ecute as the new current process	Asymmetric, computer holding verification method can't generate authenticator.
younger process will not wait for older process and thus rollback.	60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 160 170 180	100 200	s arrives, replace the current processTir		8. Howmany bits MD5 produces? 128 bits.
Hence in this scheme the younger ones may tend to roll back more. Pthread API Wound-Wait Preemptive Scheme: when a new resource request comes up-Pthread API	: d to 1 ti th	□ Priorities adjuste	ed to reflect Deadline of schedulable pr	process.	9. Howmany bits SHA-1/2 produces?
 older process will preempt the younger one for resource, forcing it to *SCHED_FIF 	O - for FCFS with a FIO queue, r	no time Deadlines	P. 80 P. 20	P. P. 1. Which is FALSE about	160 / 224 or 256 10. Which division of computer security is
roll-back. slicing. •SCHEDRR-	SCHED FIFO with time-slicing for	or equal	P1 P2 P2 P1	Happen Before? A->B, B->C and A does not ha	e highest/lowest in US Department of Defens
Share of CPO	time with same priority threads. HER:notsure.	0 10 20 30 40	50 60 70 80 90 100 110 12	20 130 140 150 160 relationship with C. 2. If a process receives a	Highest: A, Lowest: D
sing Wait-For Graph, both locally and remotely. Election Algorit		1 Which one i	s life threating hard realtime	message & about to enter its	False Statement about DFS? The client needs to know about the
Centralized Approach: uses a central deadlock-detection Coordinator maintaining global wait-for graphs. Election is used when the Coordinator Election Ele	ordinator fails. It promote active process	s with scheduling?	one uncating hara realisme	Check its timestamn &	protocol & structure & which service to connect to on the server.
 Cons: as a result of false cycles (unsync arrival of msg), unecessary There are 2 Flection algorithm		ABS.	Mem is not good for hard Re	compare before decide to rep	2. What is wrong for memory cache?
rolloacks may occur. Fully Distributed Approach: uses an algorithm developed by Chandy-Misra-Buily Algorithm: process P	discovers Coordinator is dead (no res	ponse after Translation Tir		3. Which algorithm uses	Memory cache is MORE reliable than disk cache.
Haas to circulate a probe message through out the systems with its process T time), and tries to elect its	elf to become the new coordinator. It se bers processes, if no response, it will for	5. WINCII D 110	t related to interrupt Latence	requests ironial process	3. Which one False about Write Through? It has poor performance in READ.
(after it was circulated) and sees its process ID in the blocked field, a cycle process with lower number to	to let it become the coordinator process		luler to schedule the highest latency)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4. Which one is TRUE for stateless?
must have appeared and the deadlock exists. It may commit suicide or use there is already an active co some algorithm to resolve this situation. Ring Algorithm: circulate the some algorithm is already an active continuous and in the solution of the solu	pordinator with lower number. the active process (with priority number)		s NOT associate with Dispate		Each request identifies the file and position in full.
as candidate for election. The	ne largest number in the active list is pro		errupt Service Routine. (this i	is interrupt Exclusive Protocol (no such thing)	5. In AFS, consistency of files is managed by
P _o P _o be the new Coordinator.		5. Which state	ment is FALSE with Rate Mo	onctonic? 5. Which one favors younger	whom? By server, relying on the callback provided
Both sites discovers cycle in local P_3 is less 1: $Pex o P2 o P3 o Pex$	Wait-For Graph:			(should be higher) process rolled back often?	by the client so the server can tell client
site S_1 site S_2 Stee S2: $Pex \rightarrow P3 \rightarrow P4 \rightarrow P2 \rightarrow P3$	→ Pex	6. Which one i SHED_NORM	s NOT the parameterfor Rea IAL	arume scrieduling?	when file got change. 6. What is the technique used by AFS?
■ The edge with Pex is P2 → Pex Deadlock-Detection Message.	$r \rightarrow P3 = ID(P2) < ID(P3)$. Send	_			Whole File Caching.