```
---- TP 5 en TRC: Q3 et Q4
--- Q3
---Quelles routes (départ et destination) peuvent ^etre volées par tous les pilotes
---gagnant plus de 100 000 euros?
--- TRAVAIL A FAIRE:
----pour les premieres etapes, identifier la regle d'equivalence,
--- et marquer ou elle est appliquee (avant/apres avec deux couleurs differentes).
--- pour la derniere etape: ecrire la version finale de la formule
{ t | exists v in vols: v[dep]=t[dep] and v[arr]=t[arr] and
 --- un vol, tel que pour tous les employes
  forall e in employes:
         (e[salaire]>100000 and exists c in certifications: c[eid]=e[eid])
         -- si un employe est un pilote gagnant plus de 100kE,
         ---alors il existe pour lui
         implies
           exists a in avions, exists c2 in certifications:
            -- un avion pour lequel cet employe est certifie,
         ---de portee permettant de faire ce vol
           a[aid]=c2[aid] and c2[eid]=e[eid] and a[portee]>= v[distance]
------ regle d'equivalence <mark>ICI LA QUANTIFICATION UNIVERSELLE :</mark>
{ t | exists v in vols: v[dep]=t[dep] and v[arr]=t[arr] and
  not exists e in employes:
         not [
         (e[salaire]>100000 and exists c in certifications: c[eid]=e[eid])
         implies
           (exists a in avions, exists c2 in certifications:
           a[aid]=c2[aid] and c2[eid]=e[eid] and a[portee]>= v[distance])
 }
 ----- regle d'equivalence ICI L'IMPLICATION:
{ t | exists v in vols: v[dep]=t[dep] and v[arr]=t[arr] and
  not exists e in employes:
         not [
         not(e[salaire]>100000 and exists c in certifications: c[eid]=e[eid])
            (exists a in avions, exists c2 in certifications:
           a[aid]=c2[aid] and c2[eid]=e[eid] and a[portee]>= v[distance])
  }
```

```
----- derniere etape: LOIS DE MORGAN
{ t | exists v in vols: v[dep]=t[dep] and v[arr]=t[arr] and
  not exists e in employes:
       not [
         (e[salaire]>100000 and exists c in certifications: c[eid]=e[eid])
         not(exists a in avions, exists c2 in certifications:
           a[aid]=c2[aid] and c2[eid]=e[eid] and a[portee]>= v[distance])
}
---- en SQL
select v.dep, v.arr, v.distance
from vols v
where not exists (
(select eid from certifications c natural join employes e where salaire>100000 and c.eid=e.eid)
and not exists
(select porte in avions a natural join certifications c2 where a.aid=c2.aid and c2.eid=e.eid and
portee>distance)
---Q4
--Affichez les noms des pilotes qui sont uniquement certifies pour des avions avec une portee
superieure a 1500 km
{t | exists e in employes, c in certifications:
c[eid]=e[eid] and t[enom]=e[enom]
and forall c2 in certifications:
c2[eid]=e.eid implies
              (exists a in avions : c2[aid]=a2[aid] and a2[portee]>1500)
]
}
------Qle d'equivalence: Quantification universelle
{t | exists e in employes, c in certifications:
c[eid]=e[eid] and t[enom]=e[enom]
and not exists c2 in certifications:
not[
c2[eid]=e.eid implies
               (exists a in avions : c2[aid]=a2[aid] and a2[portee]>1500)
}
```

```
-----regle d'equivalence: implication
{t | exists e in employes, c in certifications:
c[eid]=e[eid] and t[enom]=e[enom]
and not exists c2 in certifications:
not(c2[eid]=e.eid)
       or
               (exists a in avions : c2[aid]=a2[aid] and a2[portee]>1500)
]
}
----regle d'equivalence: loi de Morgan
{t | exists e in employes, c in certifications:
c[eid]=e[eid] and t[enom]=e[enom]
and not exists c2 in certifications:
not
(c2[eid]=e.eid)
       and
              not(exists a in avions : c2[aid]=a2[aid] and a2[portee]>1500)
]
}
----en SQL
select e.enom
from employe e natural join certifications c
where not exists(
(select c2.eid from certifications c2 where c2.eid=e.eid) and not exists
(select portee from avions a where c2.aid=a.aid and a2.portee>1500)
```