1 Doob's Martingale Inequality

Consider $\tau = \arg\min_{t < n} \{X_t \ge \alpha\}$ or $\tau = n$ if $\forall 0 \le t \le n, X_t < \alpha$.

Clearly τ is a stopping time because by our definition for any $t \geq 0$, $\mathbb{I}[\tau \leq t]$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable. Then denote event $X_{\tau} \geq \alpha$ by A, denote event $\max_{0 \leq t \leq n} X_t \geq \alpha$ by B. We have $B \subset A$ because by our definition of stopping time τ , if $\exists k, 0 \leq k \leq n$ such that $X_t \geq \alpha$, hence $\max_{0 \leq t \leq n} X_t \geq \alpha$, then it must follows that $X_{\tau} \geq \alpha$, We know that if $B \subset A$, then $\Pr(B) \leq \Pr(A)$. This means thats

$$\Pr\left[\max_{0 \le t \le n} X_t \ge \alpha\right] \le \Pr\left[X_\tau \ge \alpha\right]$$

Since $X_t \geq 0$, by applying the Markov Inequality we have

$$\Pr\left[X_{\tau} \geq \alpha\right] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[X_{\tau}\right]}{\alpha}$$

By our definition of τ we can easily see $\Pr[\tau \leq n] = 1$, which means that τ is bounded almost surely, satisfying the first condition for Optional Stopping Theorem. Then by OST we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{\tau}] = \mathbb{E}[X_0]$$

Adding up all the inequalities together we get

$$\Pr\left[\max_{0 \le t \le n} X_t \ge \alpha\right] \le \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[X_0\right]}{\alpha}$$

which completes our proof.

2 Biased One-dimensional Random Walk

2.1

$$\mathbb{E}(S_{t+1}|\overline{Z_{1,n}}) = \mathbb{E}(S_t + Z_{t+1} + 2p - 1|\overline{Z_{1,n}}) \tag{1}$$

$$= S_t + 2p - 1 + \mathbb{E}(Z_{t+1}|\overline{Z_{1,n}}) \tag{2}$$

$$= S_t + 2p - 1 + (-1) \cdot p + 1 \cdot (1 - p) \tag{3}$$

$$= S_t \tag{4}$$

So $\{S_t\}$ is a martingale.

2.2

$$\mathbb{E}(P_{t+1}|\overline{Z_{1,n}}) = \mathbb{E}((\frac{p}{1-p})^{X_t + Z_{t+1}}|\overline{Z_{1,n}})$$

$$\tag{5}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}((\frac{p}{1-p})^{X_t} \cdot (\frac{p}{1-p})^{Z_{t+1}} | \overline{Z_{1,n}})$$
 (6)

$$= P_t \cdot \mathbb{E}((\frac{p}{1-p})^{Z_{t+1}} | \overline{Z_{1,n}}) \tag{7}$$

$$= P_t \cdot \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \cdot (1-p) + \frac{1-p}{p} \cdot p\right) \tag{8}$$

$$= P_t \tag{9}$$

So $\{P_t\}$ is a martingale.

2.3

If $p = \frac{1}{2}$, we have shown in class that $\mathbb{E}(\tau) = ab$ in class. Here we only show the case where $p \neq \frac{1}{2}$.

Clearly, $\Pr(\tau < \infty)$ also holds when $p \neq \frac{1}{2}$. $|X_t|$ is bounded, so $|P_t|$ is bounded, indicating that $\{P_t\}$ satisfies the second condition of OST. Also, $\mathbb{E}(|S_{t+1} - S_t||\mathcal{F}_t) \leq 2p + 1$, indicating that $\{S_t\}$ satisfies the third condition of OST. So we can apply the Optional Stopping theorem on them and thus we have $\mathbb{E}(S_\tau) = \mathbb{E}(S_1)$ and $\mathbb{E}(P_\tau) = \mathbb{E}(P_1)$.

Denote Pr(ending at -a) by P_a , Pr(ending at b) by P_b . From $\mathbb{E}(S_\tau) = \mathbb{E}(S_1) = 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\tau) \cdot (2p - 1) = aP_a - bP_b$$

From $\mathbb{E}(P_{\tau}) = \mathbb{E}(P_1) = 1$ we have

$$\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^{-a}P_a + \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^b P_b = 1$$

By the latter equation we can calculate P_a and P_b ,

$$P_{a} = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^{b}}{\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^{-a} - \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^{b}} \qquad P_{b} = \frac{\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^{-a} - 1}{\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^{-a} - \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^{b}}$$

By putting these two results to the former equation we get

$$\mathbb{E}(\tau) = \frac{(a+b) - a\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^b - b\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^{-a}}{(2p-1)\left[\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^{-a} - \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)^b\right])} \quad (p \neq \frac{1}{2})$$

3 Longest Common Subsequence

3.1

Existence of c_1 :

We first find a c_1 for n=2 and then show that this c_1 works for general n.

With n=2, the string x, y can only take the form of 00,01,10,11. It is very easy for us to calculate $\mathbb{E}(X)$ directly. The result is $\mathbb{E}(X) = \frac{9}{8}$.

Then clearly there exists $\frac{1}{2} < c_1 < \frac{9}{16}$ such that with n = 2, $c_1 n < \mathbb{E}(X)$.

For a general n, for convenience we first assume n is even, we can slways treat two consecutive elements together and use the result with n=2. To be specific, with $x,y \in \{0,1\}^n$, we can cut them into $x_1x_2, x_3x_4, x_5x_6, \ldots, x_{n-1}x_n$ and $y_1y_2, y_3y_4, y_5y_6, \ldots, y_{n-1}y_n$. For any of those consecutive subsequences with length=2, the expectated length of their longest common subsequence is larger than $2c_1$. Then by linearity of expectations, $\mathbb{E}(X) > \frac{n}{2} \cdot 2c_1$, or equivalently, $\mathbb{E}(X) > c_1 n$ for the same c_1 as with case n=2.

For odd n, the analysis is the same with some trivial adjustment, say, putting the last three consecutive elements together and treat them individually.

Existence of c_2 :

Following the hint, we would like to estimate the probability that two sequences with length n has at least c_2n common (but not necessarily consecutive) elements.

This probability is smaller than

$$\frac{\binom{n}{c_2n}}{2c_2n}$$

where the numerator is picking such c_2n elements to form a common subsequence and the denominator is all possible situations for those c_2n elements.

We want to show there exists a c_2 where such a probability approaches 0 for sufficiently n. The probability can be expressed as

$$\frac{n!}{(c_2n)!(n-c_2n)!} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{c_2n}}$$

Then by applying the Stirling's Formula we get

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n c_2 (1 - c_2)} \left(\frac{1}{2c_2^{c_2} (1 - c_2)^{1 - c_2}}\right)^n}$$

For a sufficiently larger n, if c_2 approaches 1, clearly

$$\left(\frac{1}{2c_2^{c_2}(1-c_2)^{1-c_2}}\right)^n$$

approaches 0 with exponential speed (approximately $(\frac{1}{2})^n$).

Also, $\sqrt{2\pi nc_2(1-c_2)} \to +\infty$ with such a constant $c_2 < 1$.

Since out probability that two sequences with length n has at least c_2n common (but not necessarily consecutive) elements is smaller than such a probability, there exists a $c_2 < 1$ such that for sufficiently larger n, $\Pr[\text{the length of longest common ubsequence is larger than } c_2n]=0$. It then follows that the expectated length of the longest common subsequence is less than c_2n . So there exists $c_2 < 1$ such that $\mathbb{E}(X) < c_2n$.

3.2

We can treat each single bit of x and y as i.i.d. random variables uniformly chosen from 0 or 1, Then the function X is actually $X(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)$ where X calculates the length of longest common subsequence of two random strings $x = x_1 x_2 \ldots x_n$ and $y = y_1 y_2 \ldots y_n$. Clearly X is a 2-Lipschitz function because flipping any single bit of a sequence will make the length of longest common subsequence change for 2 at most.

Then by McDiarmid's Inequality, for such a function X on 2n variables satisfying 2-Lipschitz condition,

$$\Pr(|X - \mathbb{E}(X)| \ge t) \le e^{-\frac{2t^2}{2n \cdot 2^2}} = e^{-\frac{t^2}{4n}}$$

Thus X is well-concentrated around $\mathbb{E}(X)$.

4 Collaborators and Acknowledgements

For problem 3.1, the hint is too abstract for me. As a result, only reading the hint itself did not provide me the intuition for solving this problem.

I then resorted to Liyuan MAO for help. He taught me in detail the method of estimating such a probability and why this method would work. I cannot say that I fully understood this method, but at least I could perform some basic analysis at last.