

1

1.1

The idea is to scan the array from left to right and update the maximum revenue meanwhile. See algorithm below for details.

Algorithm 1 Max Revenue-1,1(\mathbf{a})

```
1: procedure MAX REVENUE-1,1( $\mathbf{a}$ ):                                 $\triangleright \mathbf{a} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n\}$ 
2:   result  $\leftarrow$  0, sum  $\leftarrow$  0,  $i \leftarrow$  1                 $\triangleright$  sum is our current optimal to maintain
3:   while  $i < n + 1$  do
4:     sum  $\leftarrow$  sum +  $a_i$ 
5:     if sum > result then
6:       result  $\leftarrow$  sum                                          $\triangleright$  Update the optimal subsequence
7:     if sum < 0 then
8:       sum  $\leftarrow$  0                                              $\triangleright$  Discard the bad subsequence we do not want
9:      $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
10:  return result
```

Since our algorithm only requires us to scan the array once, clearly the time complexity is $O(n)$.

1.2

The idea is still scanning the array from left to right once, but we will use an array $\mathbf{s}[n]$ to store the maximum revenue of a subsequence ending at position $i, i \in [n]$.

First we initialize $s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots, s_L$. Then for each element $a_i, i \in [n]$, we need to update $R - L + 1$ elements in $\mathbf{s}[n]$, which are $s_{i+L}, s_{i+L+1}, \dots, s_{i+R}$. The initialize rule and update rule are given in the algorithm below.

Algorithm 2 Max Revenue(L, R, \mathbf{a})

```
1: procedure MAX REVENUE( $L, R, \mathbf{a}$ ):▷  $\mathbf{a} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n\}$ 
2:   result  $\leftarrow 0, i \leftarrow 1, s_i \leftarrow 0, \forall i \in [n]$ ▷  $\mathbf{s}$  is described above
3:   while  $i < L + 1$  do
4:     if  $a_i > 0$  then
5:        $s_i \leftarrow a_i$ ▷ Initialization for the first  $L$  elements
6:     if  $a_i > \text{result}$  then
7:       result  $\leftarrow a_i$ ▷ Update the result
8:      $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
9:    $i \leftarrow 1$ 
10:  while  $i + L < n + 1$  do
11:    step  $\leftarrow L$ 
12:    while  $i + \text{step} < n + 1$  do
13:      if  $s_i + a[i + \text{step}] > s[i + \text{step}]$  then
14:         $s[i + \text{step}] = s_i + a[i + \text{step}]$ ▷ update the optimal result at  $i$ 
15:      if  $s[i + \text{step}] > \text{result}$  then
16:        result  $\leftarrow s[i + \text{step}]$ 
17:      step  $\leftarrow \text{step} + 1$ 
18:     $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
19:  return result
```

We need to do $R - L + 1$ updates at each round and there are n rounds in total, so the time complexity is $O((R - L + 1)n)$. So with the difference between L and R approaching n , our algorithm actually becomes $O(n^2)$.

1.3

We still need to scan the array from left to right once, but instead of updating $R - L + 1$ elements at each iteration, we use a better strategy.

For each a_i , we look for the largest $s_j, i - R \leq j \leq i - L$ and use this largest result to update s_i . Then by our algorithm in class, we can find all the largest s_j for our current a_i with only $O(n)$ time. A detailed algorithm is given below.

Algorithm 3 Max Revenue(L, R, \mathbf{a})

```

1: procedure MAX REVENUE( $L, R, \mathbf{a}$ ):                                 $\triangleright \mathbf{a} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n\}$ 
2:   result  $\leftarrow$  0,  $i \leftarrow 1$   $s_i \leftarrow 0, \forall i \in [n]$ 
3:   while  $i < L + 1$  do
4:     if  $a_i > 0$  then
5:        $s_i \leftarrow a_i$                                             $\triangleright$  Initialization is the same as algorithm 2
6:     if  $a_i > \text{result}$  then
7:       result  $\leftarrow a_i$                                           $\triangleright$  Update the result
8:      $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
9:    $i \leftarrow L + 1$ 
10:  while  $i < n + 1$  do
11:    max  $\leftarrow$  k-Largest( $\mathbf{s}, i - R, i - L$ )   $\triangleright$  Algorithm in class to find max in  $O(1)$  time
12:    if max +  $a_i > s_i$  then
13:       $s_i \leftarrow \text{max} + a_i$ 
14:    if  $s_i > \text{result}$  then
15:      result  $\leftarrow s_i$ 
16:     $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
17:  return result

```

The reason why this algorithm is faster is that by using the k-Largest algorithm, we do not have to update $R - L + 1$ times each round. We only need to look up the largest result before a certain element and the look up process is $O(1)$ for each element. As a result, the total running time of our algorithm can be reduced to $O(n)$.

2 Optimal Indexing for A Dictionary

Description of state transition equation:

In the algorithm below, we use $f(i, j)$, $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ to denote the minimum of total number of comparisons of the best binary search tree consisting of a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j .

We then use dynamic programming to calculate all the $f(i, j)$ and our optimal result is $f(1, n)$ after we finish the calculations.

Note that we define $f(i, j) = 0$ if $i > j$.

The algorithm can be described by the following state transition equation:

$$f(i, j) = \min_{i \leq r \leq j} \left\{ f(i, r-1) + f(r+1, j) + \sum_{k=i}^j w_k \right\} \quad 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$$

How we construct the optimal BST:

This equation only gives the criteria by which we pick the optimal BST each step, below is a detailed description of how the BST is constructed, for the completeness of our algorithm.

We can always let T_{ij} be a sub-BST generated upon the process we calculate $f(i, j)$.

For starters, $T_{ii}, i \in [n]$ denote a sub-BST with only one vertex a_i as the root.

Then as we calculate the state transition equation, by finding the minimum

$$\min_{i \leq r \leq j} \left\{ f(i, r-1) + f(r+1, j) + \sum_{k=i}^j w_k \right\}$$

What we are actually doing is to find a new root a_r to serve as the father of sub-BST $T_{i,r-1}$ and $T_{r+1,j}$. By the time we calculate $f(i, j)$ and construct T_{ij} , no matter which a_r we choose as the root, the left sub-BST $T_{i,r-1}$ and right sub-BST $T_{r+1,j}$ must have already been constructed. Also, their optimal value must already have been stored in $f(i, r-1)$ and $f(r+1, j)$. Although in some cases the sub-BST might be empty, these cases do not affect our process of construction.

To sum up, as our DP algorithm finished, an optimal binary search tree T_{1n} is generated by our description above and its minimal number of comparisons is given by $f(1, n)$ meanwhile.

3

Description of state transition equation:

In the algorithm below, we use $f(i, j), 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ to denote the maximum length of palindrome in the interval $[i, j]$ (not necessarily all elements in $[i, j]$ are used).

For starters, we initialize $f(i, i) = 1, \forall i \in [n]$ because all subsequence of length 1 are palindromes.

Then we calculate $f(i, j), 1 \leq i < j \leq n$ by the following state transition equation.

$$f(i, j) = \max \{2 \cdot \mathbb{1}(s_i = s_j) + f(i + 1, j - 1), f(i + 1, j), f(i, j - 1)\} \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n$$

where $\mathbf{s} = s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots, s_n$ is the given input string.

How the longest palindrome is given:

We use a_{ij} to denote the palindrome subsequence upon interval $[i, j]$ and describe how we update the sequence.

For starters, $a_{ii} = s_i, \forall i \in [n]$ as we initialize $f(i, i)$. Then when we calculate $f(i, j)$, when we choose the maximum of the three terms, we modify a_{ij} .

$\forall i, j$ such that $1 \leq i < j \leq n$

If

$$f(i, j) = 2 \cdot \mathbb{1}(s_i = s_j) + f(i + 1, j - 1)$$

then $a_{ij} = s_i a_{i+1, j-1} s_j$, i.e. we generate a nested palindrome subsequence a_{ij} by adding two letters at the beginning and at the end of $a_{i+1, j-1}$.

If

$$f(i, j) = f(i + 1, j)$$

then $a_{ij} = a_{i+1, j}$.

If

$$f(i, j) = f(i, j - 1)$$

then $a_{ij} = a_{i, j-1}$.

Finally the optimal palindrome subsequence is given by a_{1n} with length $f(1, n)$.

Running time:

Our algorithm requires us to fill in a table of $n * n$ where each calculation can be finished by comparing three numbers, which can be done in $O(1)$ time. So the total running time is $O(n^2)$.