

1

1.1

Assume the first customer arrives at time $T - s + t$, $0 \leq t \leq s$. Since customers arrive according to a Poisson process of rate λ per hour, the time it takes for the first customer to arrive follows Exponential distribution with parameter λ . Then the time it takes for the second customer to arrive also follows a same distribution. So the probability for achieving his goal is

$$\int_0^s \lambda e^{-\lambda t} e^{-\lambda(s-t)} dt$$

which is

$$\int_0^s \lambda e^{-\lambda s} dt$$

which is $\lambda s e^{-\lambda s}$.

1.2

To achieve the best probability, simply take the derivative and the result is

$$(1 - \lambda s) \lambda e^{-\lambda s}$$

So the optimal value of s is $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ and the corresponding success probability is $\frac{1}{e}$.

2

2.1

We prove this by brutal calculations.

To prove that

$$\Pr(X = \lambda + k) \geq \Pr(X = \lambda - k - 1)$$

We only need to show

$$e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{\lambda+k}}{(\lambda+k)!} \geq e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{\lambda-k-1}}{(\lambda-k-1)!}$$

This entails

$$\lambda^{2k+1} \geq \frac{(\lambda+k)!}{(\lambda-k-1)!}$$

or equivalently

$$\lambda^{2k+1} \geq (\lambda + k)(\lambda + k - 1) \cdots (\lambda - k)$$

But it is obvious that $\lambda^2 \geq \lambda^2 - a^2$ for any a . So that inequality holds for any $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \lambda-1$. So for any $k = 0, 1, \dots, \lambda-1$, it holds that $\Pr(X = \lambda+k) \geq \Pr(X = \lambda-k-1)$. It then follows that $\Pr(X \geq \lambda) \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

2.2

As shown in the lecture notes,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[f(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) \middle| \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = k \right] \Pr(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = k)$$

In the lecture notes, to derive an inequality, we discard all the other terms with only $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = m$ left. This is too loose. Here to prove this inequality we only discard the terms from $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = 0$ to $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = m-1$, this gives us

$$\mathbb{E}[f(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)] \geq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[f(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) \middle| \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = k \right] \Pr(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = k)$$

Since $\mathbb{E}[f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)]$ is monotonically increasing in m ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[f(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) \middle| \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = k \right] \geq \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)], \forall k \geq m$$

Then the inequality becomes

$$\mathbb{E}[f(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)] \geq \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)] \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \Pr(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = k)$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \sim \text{Poisson}(m)$, from problem 2.1 we know

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \Pr(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = k) = \Pr(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \geq m) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

So

$$\mathbb{E}[f(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)] \geq \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)] \frac{1}{2}$$

Or equivalently,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)] \leq 2 \cdot \mathbb{E}[f(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)]$$

2.3

Here we can simulate this problem by throwing 50 identical balls into 365 bins.

For $i \in [365]$, let X_i be the number of balls in the i -th bin. Then the distribution of (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) is the same as that of (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) on condition that $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = 50$.

We define

$$f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \mathbb{1} [\exists i, X_i \geq 4]$$

then clearly

$$\mathbb{E} [f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)] = \Pr [\exists i, X_i \geq 4]$$

It is also clear that such an expectation $\mathbb{E} [f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)]$ is indeed monotonically increasing in the number of balls, because the more balls there are, the more likely there is going to be a bin which contains at least four balls.

Then by result in problem 2.2 we conclude that

$$\Pr [\exists i, X_i \geq 4] = \mathbb{E} [f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)] \leq 2 \cdot \mathbb{E} [f(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)] = 2 \cdot \Pr [\exists i, Y_i \geq 4]$$

Now we can estimate the probability by calculating $\Pr [\exists i, Y_i \geq 4]$.

Since $Y_i, i \in [n]$ are independent Poisson random variables with rate $\lambda = \frac{50}{365}$, $\Pr [\exists i, Y_i \geq 4] = 1 - \Pr [\forall i, Y_i \leq 3]$. Then such a probability can be expressed as

$$1 - \Pr [\forall i, Y_i \leq 3] = 1 - \left(\frac{\lambda^3 + 3\lambda^2 + 6\lambda + 6}{6} e^{-\lambda} \right)^{365}$$

The results from computer shows that

$$1 - \Pr [\forall i, Y_i \leq 3] = 0.4789\%$$

So our probability to estimate is

$$\Pr [\exists i, X_i \geq 4] \leq 0.9578\%$$

which is less than 1%.