Problem 1

Proof of NP:

For any possible solution denoted by G' = (V', E'), we show that we can check if G' is a clique with size exactly n/2 in ploynomial time.

This solution should provide us with a injective mapping f from V' to V where for any $v \in V'$, $f(v) \in V$.

Thus we only need to check whether $|V'| = n/2, |E'| = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ and check whether $\forall e = (u,v) \in E', (f(u),f(v)) \in E$. Clearly this verification process can be done in ploynomial time.

Proof of NP-Completeness:

We prove by showing that the k-clique problem is reducible to our probelm here.

For any k-clique problem on given graph G = (V, E) where |V| = n, we can construct a new graph G' in ploynomial time by the following rule:

If $k = \frac{n}{2}$, G' = G.

If $k < \frac{n}{2}$, we construct G' = (V', E') by adding n - 2k vertices which are mutually connected to each other and are also connected to all vertices in V.

If $k > \frac{n}{2}$, we construct G' = (V', E') by adding 2k - n vertices which are not connected to any other vertices in the new graph.

We now show that there is a k-clique in G if and only if there is a clique of size $\frac{|V'|}{2}$ in the new graph G'.

If $k = \frac{n}{2}$, trivally true.

If $k < \frac{n}{2}$, |V'| = 2n - 2k. If there is a k-clique in G, clearly there is a clique of size $k + n - 2k = n - k = \frac{|V'|}{2}$ in G', and vice versa.

If $k > \frac{n}{2}$, |V'| = 2k. If there is a k-clique in G, clearly there is a clique of size k in the new graph G', and vice versa.

So our k-clique probelm can be reduced to a $\frac{n}{2}$ -clique problem in ploynomial time. Since k-clique problem is an NP-Complete problem, our $\frac{n}{2}$ -clique problem is also NP-Complete.

Problem 2

Proof of NP:

For any possible solution denoted by $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}, s_i \in \{0, 1\}$ where $s_i = 1$ denotes picking item i and $s_i = 0$ denotes not picking, simply check whether $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i w_i \leq C$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i v_i \geq V$ at the same time. Clearly this verification process can be done in ploynomial time.

Proof of NP-Completeness:

We prove by showing that the Subset Sum problem is reducible to our problem here.

For a set $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$ and a sum B, the Subset Sum problem asks us whether there is a solution $S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n\}, s_i \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i a_i = B$, we can reduce it to a decision version of Knapsack problem by setting the capacity C and total value V to be C = V = B and set the weights $w_i = a_i$ and values $v_i = a_i$ respectively for all $i \in [n]$.

Clearly this process can be done in ploynomial time.

Also a solution S which satisfies the Subset Sum problem clearly also satisfies the reduced Knapsack problem and vice versa.

Problem 3

Proof of NP:

For any possible solution which provides a subgraph G' of G and a mapping f from G' to H, we can easily check whether G' and H are isomorphic to each other following the mapping f in ploynomial time.

Proof of NP-Completeness:

We prove by showing that the k-clique probelm can be reduced to our problem.

Actually, finding a k-clique of graph G is actually finding a subgraph which is also a **complete graph of size** k. This means that if a graph G has a complete subgraph of size k, this subgraph is also its k-clique and vice versa.

Since k-clique problem is an NP-Complete problem, our subgraph problem is also NP-Complete.

Comments

I assume the difficulties of these problems are in an ascending order, so for canvas submission of this homework assignment, I only chose the problems $1 \sim 3$.

For these three problems that I submitted, there are no collaborators and I did not spend too much time on them.

But I will spend more time on the rest of the problems(probably).