

EECS402 Lecture 04

Andrew M. Morgan

No Reading From Texts
Software Engineering Principles

Andrew M Morgan

1

1

- "Testing" means a lot of things in software development
 - At the highest level, it means "making sure the code written does what it's supposed to do"
- Testing can be very tedious
 - Often, it's easy to come up with a "standard test case"
 - i.e. if you've written a factorial algorithm, and you want to test it, give it a value of 5 and see if it provides a result of 120
 - But there may be other things that don't jump out as obvious
 - i.e. Is the result correct for a value of 0? What if a negative input is provided? What if 100 is provided? Larger numbers? Odd numbers? Even numbers? etc. etc. etc.
 - You can't realistically test every possible input, so you need to develop a set of test cases that are likely to cover lots of possible situations
 - If you know that it works for an input of 5, does that mean it will work for an input of 6?

EECS
402

Andrew M Morgan

2

2

- Black-box testing
 - Test plans that are developed based on knowledge of what function is expected to do
 - Test plans can be developed prior to, or in parallel with implementation
- Develop a set of test cases that have a high likelihood of finding the most errors in a relatively short time
 - Consider basic cases that are the "normal" conditions
 - Consider cases at extreme ends of valid ranges
 - Consider special cases that will have to be specifically handled
 - Consider cases when user does not follow directions
- General rule: Assume user is "stupid", and test everything possible, no matter how ridiculous

EECS
402

Andrew M Morgan

3

3

- White-box testing
 - Test plans that are developed based on knowledge of specific details of actual implementation
 - Test plans can be developed after completion of implementation
- Develop a set of test cases that have a high likelihood of finding the most errors in a relatively short time
 - Consider cases that specific knowledge of implementation leads you to believe could cause problems
- Often white-box test cases are developed during implementation by the developer
- General rule: Assume user is "stupid", and test everything possible, no matter how ridiculous

EECS
402

Andrew M Morgan

4

4

- Each function prototype and algorithm is provided to developers
- Multiple developers may be used
 - Since prototypes, inputs, and outputs were designed earlier, complete system can be combined simply by combining all functions
 - Developers may *not* modify prototypes, as they are the interface other developers will be using
- Proper design allows for implementation to be done in parallel, lowering amount of calendar time required to complete
- Functions combined together, using agreed upon interface

EECS
402

Andrew M Morgan

5

5


- Unit testing tests individual functions or chunks of code
- It is very different from "System Testing" (next slide)
- Example:
 - If you're writing a space vehicle launch system, and in the process have written a computeFactorial function that is needed by the system:
 - Can (should) test the computeFactorial function with a large full-coverage suite of input values individually
 - No need to run the entire launch system to see if your factorial code worked
 - Full system test probably takes a lot longer
 - Often harder to ensure your full system tests exercise all the branches and logic in your computeFactorial code

EECS
402

Andrew M Morgan

6

6



System Testing


EECS 402

- During implementation, individual functions should be tested
- After combining functions to form system, full system must be tested as well
- Ensure interaction between functions works as expected
- Check that developers understood interface correctly
 - Example: What if a parameter to a function said:
 - float percentage //INPUT: Percentage to increase grade by for curve
 - One developer may have assumed the proper range was between 0 and 100, since it represent a percentage
 - The other developer may have assumed the proper range was between 0 and 1
- Beta Testing
 - Release software (not fully tested) to selected users
 - Users use software in actual situations, report bugs as they find them


EECS 402

Andrew M Morgan

7



7



Introduction To Debugging


EECS 402

- Debugging
 - The act of finding run-time semantic or logic errors, and implementing a fix to the problem
- Debugging is *not* finding or fixing compile-time errors
- Methods of finding bugs
 - Thinking really hard about it
 - Use your knowledge of the code and the kind of incorrect output you're getting to intuit what the cause is
 - Hand trace
 - Keep track of memory contents using paper and pencil by tracing the program step-by-step without the use of a computer
 - System trace
 - Use strategically placed print statements to determine contents of important variables throughout the execution of the program
 - Interactive debugger
 - Easiest and fastest method: Allows user to execute one statement at a time, view contents of memory, etc., without addition of any code
 - Interactive debugger is a stand-alone program that allows debugging of any program


EECS 402

Andrew M Morgan

8



8



Pros and Cons of Debugging Methods


EECS 402

- Thinking really hard about it
 - Not an actual technique, but sometimes works
 - If your output indicates a divide-by-zero error immediately after printing "Calculating the average now", you don't need a debugging technique to figure out the cause
- Hand Tracing
 - Cons:
 - Slow
 - Often leads to developer making incorrect assumptions since he/she knows "what they meant" as opposed to what they programmed
 - Pros:
 - Sometimes leads to discovery of logic errors before they are even reached, when something clicks part-way through trace
- System Tracing
 - Cons:
 - Addition of a lot of code to find one problem
 - Extra tracing statements need to be removed later
 - Often need to keep adding more and more tracing code, re-compiling, re-running
 - Pros:
 - Can be used to debug when no interactive debugger is available


EECS 402

Andrew M Morgan

9



9



Interactive Debugger


EECS 402

- Program that
 - Allows developer to execute one statement at a time
 - Allows developer to view contents of any memory location at any time
 - Displays which line of code resulted in a crash
 - Allows developer to set "breakpoints"
 - Program executes normally until breakpoint is reached
 - Program stops at breakpoint, allowing developer to view current status
 - Program can be continued from that point, stepped through line-by-line, etc.
- By far the most efficient and useful method of debugging
- In this course, you are expected to learn to use an interactive debugger and use it fully!!
 - We will use the freely available debugger named "gdb"
 - There's a bit of a learning curve, but in the end the time it takes to get comfortable with gdb will save you significant time!


EECS 402

Andrew M Morgan

10



10




Additional Reference Material

EECS 402


EECS 402

Andrew M Morgan

11



11



Designing Software


EECS 402

- Input to design: Requirements specification
- Result of design: Document that describes framework to be implemented to meet requirements
 - Determine structures, classes, and data structures to extent possible
 - Progresses to process flow diagrams
 - Progresses to information flow diagrams
 - Progresses to actual function prototypes
 - Some design software can do this step automatically
 - Add detailed algorithm design per function
 - Determine which developers will develop each function

EECS 402

Andrew M Morgan

12



12

Design: Process Flow Diagrams

Indicates significant steps of functionality, and the how they interact with one another

Blackjack Game: Process Flow

```

graph LR
    A((Get User Bet)) --> B((User Plays Hand))
    C((Shuffle Cards)) --> B
    B --> D((Dealer Plays Hand))
    D --> E((Determine Winner))
    E --> F((Determine Payout))
  
```

EECS 402 Andrew M Morgan 13

13

Design: Information Flow Diagrams

Input data and inter-process data determined and added to process flow diagram

Blackjack Game: Information Flow

```

graph LR
    subgraph DataStores
        direction TB
        US[User Name]
        BR[Bank Roll]
    end
    A((Get User Bet)) -- "bet amount" --> B((User Plays Hand))
    C((Shuffle Cards)) -- "deck" --> B
    B -- "bet amount, player result, deck" --> D((Dealer Plays Hand))
    D -- "bet amount, player result, dealer result" --> E((Determine Winner))
    E -- "bet amount, game result" --> F((Determine Payout))
    F --> BR
    BR --> A
    US --> A
  
```

EECS 402 Andrew M Morgan 14

14

Design: Developing Algorithms

Based on inputs and outputs, determine steps to solve sub-problems

Blackjack Game: Algorithm Development

```

graph LR
    subgraph DataStores
        direction TB
        US[User Name]
        BR[Bank Roll]
    end
    A((Get User Bet)) -- "bet amount" --> B((User Plays Hand))
    C((Shuffle Cards)) -- "deck" --> B
    B -- "bet amount, player result, deck" --> D((Dealer Plays Hand))
    D -- "bet amount, player result, dealer result" --> E((Determine Winner))
    E -- "bet amount, game result" --> F((Determine Payout))
    F --> BR
    BR --> A
    US --> A
  
```

EECS 402 Andrew M Morgan 15

15

Design: Developing C++ Framework

Translate information flow with algorithms into C++ function prototypes

If prior design was successful, this should be straight-forward

Portion of Information Flow Diagram with Algorithms

```

//Function: getUserBet
//Purpose: User enters bet amount, which is subtracted from his or her bank roll. Error checking is performed to ensure player has enough funds for bet requested.
//Return Value: The amount of the valid bet entered by player
int getUserBet()
{
    const string userName, //INPUT: Used to welcome user
    float &bankRoll //INPUT AND OUTPUT: Current money //available to player passed in. Bet //amount subtracted from bankRoll //after player chooses a valid bet //amount
    welcome user by name
    prompt for bet amount
    get user input
    check bank roll
    iterate until valid bet
    subtract bet from bank
}
  
```

EECS 402 Andrew M Morgan 16

16

Software Engineering

- Software engineering is a discipline
- IEEE Definition of **Software Engineering**
 - Software Engineering: (1) The application of a systematic, disciplined, quantifiable approach to the development, operation, and maintenance of software; that is, the application of engineering to software. (2) The study of approaches as in (1).
- Bottom line (i.e. my definition):
 - Software Engineering: A disciplined process leading to a high-quality program or programs that solve the problem that was posed
- Merriam-Webster Definition of **Process**
 - Gradual changes that lead toward a particular result

EECS 402 Andrew M Morgan 17

17

Software Development Steps

- Analysis**
 - Interaction with customer – determination of what they want
 - Development of requirements specification
- Design**
 - Process flow diagrams
 - Determination of functions, inputs and outputs, expected results
- Implementation**
 - Generation of code to implement functions from design
 - Including individual function testing during development
- Testing / Debugging**
 - Development and use of system test plan
 - Fixing discovered bugs
- Maintenance**
 - Updates to software, based on bug fixes, customer needs, upgrades, etc.

EECS 402 Andrew M Morgan 18

18

Linear Sequential Model Process

EECS 402

- Very simplistic model for software development
- Logical, but not really a complete process
- Linear sequential model flow

```

graph LR
    analysis --> design --> implementation --> testing --> maintenance
  
```

- Problems
 - Requires that customer can fully specify all requirements initially
 - Customer does not see program run until end of process
 - Initial design step is critical
 - Must be agreed upon at start of process
 - Can not be changed, unless agreed upon
 - Not inherently iterative

EECS 402 Andrew M Morgan 19

19

Prototyping Model Process

EECS 402

- Maximizes customer interaction
- Minimizes requirements changes resulting in major software updates in mid-process
- Prototype
 - System implemented quickly, demonstrating developers understanding of requirements
 - Customers run prototype and add requirements based on what they see

```

graph TD
    A[Customer Describes What They Want] --> B[Prototype Built To Description]
    B --> C[Customer Runs Prototype-Determines Updates]
    C --> A
  
```

EECS 402 Andrew M Morgan 20

20

Prototyping Model Problems

EECS 402

- Not a real "software process"
- Prototypes are not fully functional systems
 - May be a mock-up
 - May be a demonstration of interface
 - Etc.
- Result of prototyping model is an understanding of requirements
 - *Result is not a working high-quality program*
- Aims to prevent customer discovering new desires after seeing the original system at the end of a different process
- Focus is on development speed, not on correctness or design
 - When used as a requirements gathering process, this is not a problem

EECS 402 Andrew M Morgan 21

21

Incremental Model Process

EECS 402

- Uses linear sequential model multiple times
- Multiple software deliveries (versions)
- Allows customers to report desired additions, discovered bugs, etc
 - Unlike prototype model, additions are made to the real system, which is *always* more difficult than changing design to support addition

```

graph LR
    subgraph V1 [Delivery of version 1.0]
        direction LR
        A1[analysis] --> D1[design] --> I1[implementation] --> T1[testing]
    end
    T1 --> C1[Customer uses software  
Determines desired additions, based on use of current version]
    C1 -- "Report-to-developers" --> A2[analysis]
    subgraph V2 [Delivery of version 2.0]
        direction LR
        A2 --> D2[design] --> I2[implementation] --> T2[testing]
    end
    T2 --> C2[Customer uses software  
Determines desired additions, based on use of current version]
    C2 -- "Report-to-developers" --> A3[analysis]
    subgraph V3 [Delivery of version 3.0]
        direction LR
        A3 --> D3[design] --> I3[implementation] --> T3[testing]
    end
    T3 --> C3[Customer uses software  
Determines desired additions, based on use of current version]
    C3 -- "Report-to-developers" --> A4[analysis]
  
```

EECS 402 Andrew M Morgan 22

22

Spiral Model Process

EECS 402

- Spiral model is an attempt at combining benefits of linear sequential model and prototyping model
 - Develop a small, incomplete program
 - While not a complete program, it is a functional (non-prototype) system
 - Interact with customer, running system
 - Update system, based on customer interaction
 - Build on system towards a more complete system
 - Repeat process – system gets more complete each iteration => spirals out

```

graph TD
    Start((Start Position)) --> Analysis[Analysis]
    Analysis --> Design[Design]
    Design --> Implement[Update and Implement]
    Implement --> Debug[Debug and Test]
    Debug --> Interact[Interact With Customer]
    Interact --> Run[Customer Runs Current System]
    Run --> Start
  
```

EECS 402 Andrew M Morgan 23

23