

# Estimation of the Mass and Damping of a Second-Order Linear System

Aykut C. Satici, Member, IEEE

**Abstract**—We study the estimation of the mass and the damping of a second-order linear ODE system using an adaptation law.

Index Terms— Adaptive control, estimation

### I. INTRODUCTION

We provide a Lyapunov analysis [1] to prove that our control and adaptation laws will stabilize the system to a reference trajectory while the estimates of the mass and the damping tend to their correct values.

# II. ANALYSIS

We have a linear translational mechanical system with viscous damping, whose motion is governed by the ODE

$$m\ddot{x} + b\dot{x} = u(x, \dot{x}),\tag{1}$$

where  $u(x, \dot{x})$  is a control input that is to be determined for tracking. We are uncertain of the true values m and b so we denote our guesses for them by  $\hat{m}$  and  $\hat{b}$ , respectively. Let us introduce the errors in x, m and b to be

$$\tilde{x} = x - x_r, \quad \tilde{m} = m - \hat{m}, \quad \tilde{b} = b - \hat{b},$$

where  $x_r$  is a reference signal for the motion of the mechanical system. We will postulate update rules for  $\hat{m}$  and  $\hat{b}$  and a control law for the mechanical system (1). The combined system has the dynamics

$$m\ddot{x} = u(x, \dot{x}) - m\ddot{x}_r - b(\dot{x}_r + \dot{x})$$

$$\dot{\hat{m}} = f(x, \dot{x}) \Rightarrow \dot{\tilde{m}} = -f(x, \dot{x})$$

$$\dot{\hat{b}} = g(x, \dot{x}) \Rightarrow \dot{\tilde{b}} = -g(x, \dot{x})$$
(2)

Let us finally introduce the auxiliary variable  $r := \tilde{x} + \lambda \tilde{x}$ , where  $\lambda > 0$  is a constant whose value is to be determined. Its dynamics is therefore given by

$$m\dot{r} = m\left(\ddot{x} + \lambda\dot{x}\right) = u - m(\ddot{x}_r - \lambda\dot{x}) - b(\dot{x}_r + \dot{x}).$$

Consider the Lyapunov function candidate

$$V(\tilde{x},\dot{\tilde{x}},\tilde{m},\tilde{b}) = \frac{1}{2}mr^2 + k\lambda\tilde{x}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{m}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{b}^2,$$

where k is another constant to be determined. This is a positive definite function over the space of  $(\tilde{x}, \dot{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{m}, \tilde{b})$ . We

Not submitted for review in February 2023.

A. C. Satici is with the Mechanical and Biomedical Engineering Department, Boise State University, Boise, ID 83706 USA (e-mail: aykutsatici@boisestate.edu).

take the time derivative of the Lyapunov function candidate and substitute from the system dynamics (2). We suppress its functional dependence for brevity.

$$\begin{split} \dot{V} &= r\dot{r} + 2k\lambda\tilde{x}\dot{\tilde{x}} + \tilde{m}\dot{\tilde{m}} + \tilde{b}\dot{\tilde{b}}, \\ &= r\left(u - m\left(\ddot{x}_r - \lambda\dot{\tilde{x}}\right) - b\left(\dot{x}_r + \dot{\tilde{x}}\right)\right) + 2k\lambda\tilde{x}\dot{\tilde{x}} \\ &- \tilde{m}f - \tilde{b}g. \end{split}$$

This expression informs the selection of the control law as

$$u(x,\dot{x}) = \hat{m} \left( \ddot{x}_r - \lambda \dot{\tilde{x}} \right) + \hat{b} \left( \dot{x}_r + \dot{\tilde{x}} \right) - kr.$$
 (3)

Substituting this controller into the expression for  $\dot{V}$  gives

$$\dot{V} = r \left( -\tilde{m} \left( \ddot{x}_r - \lambda \dot{\tilde{x}} \right) - \tilde{b} \left( \dot{x}_r + \dot{\tilde{x}} \right) \right) - kr^2 + 2k\lambda \tilde{x}\dot{\tilde{x}}$$

$$- \tilde{m}f - \tilde{b}g,$$

$$= -k\lambda^2 \tilde{x}^2 - k\dot{\tilde{x}}^2 - \tilde{m} \left( r \left( \ddot{x}_r - \lambda \dot{\tilde{x}} \right) + f \right)$$

$$- \tilde{b} \left( r \left( \dot{x}_r + \dot{\tilde{x}} \right) + g \right).$$

Since we do not know the sign of  $\tilde{m}$  or  $\tilde{b}$ , this expression informs the choices of the adaptation laws as

$$f(x,\dot{x}) = -r\left(\ddot{x}_r - \lambda \dot{\tilde{x}}\right), \quad g(x,\dot{x}) = -r\left(\dot{x}_r + \dot{\tilde{x}}\right). \quad (4)$$

yielding a negative semidefinite  $\dot{V}$ :

$$\dot{V} = -k\lambda^2 \tilde{x}^2 - k\dot{\tilde{x}}^2 < 0.$$

Hence the set  $\Omega_c = \{(\tilde{x},\dot{\tilde{x}},\tilde{m},\tilde{b}): V(\tilde{x},\dot{\tilde{x}},\tilde{m},\tilde{b}) \leq c\}$  is positively invariant for any c>0. Moreover,  $\tilde{x},\dot{\tilde{x}},r\to 0$  as  $t\to\infty$ . This means  $u(x,\dot{x})\to\hat{m}\ddot{x}_r+\hat{b}\dot{x}_r$  and  $f(x,\dot{x}),g(x,\dot{x})\to 0$ . We identify  $S=\{(\tilde{x},\dot{\tilde{x}},\tilde{m},\tilde{b}):(\tilde{x},\dot{\tilde{x}})=0\}$  as the set of all points in  $\Omega_c$  where  $\dot{V}=0$ . We now show that for a specific choice of the reference signal  $x_r(t)$ , no solution can stay identically in S other than the trivial solution  $(\tilde{x},\dot{\tilde{x}},\tilde{m},\tilde{b})=(0,0,0,0)$  and invoke Corollary 4.1 of [1] proving that the errors converge to zero.

To this end, for any solution that belongs identically to S, the system dynamics yields

$$\tilde{m}\ddot{x}_r + \tilde{b}\dot{x}_r \equiv 0, \qquad \dot{\tilde{m}} \equiv \dot{\tilde{b}} \equiv 0.$$

Now, choose  $x_r(t) = A \sin(\omega t + \varphi)$  for some constants  $A, \omega > 0$ , and  $\varphi$  (or any time function with a nontrivial second derivative). With  $\theta(t) = \omega t + \varphi$ , we have

$$\tilde{m}\omega\sin\theta(t) - \tilde{b}\cos\theta(t) = \begin{bmatrix}\sin\theta(t) & -\cos\theta(t)\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\tilde{m}\omega\\ \tilde{b}\end{bmatrix} \equiv 0,$$

for all t>0. This implies that  $\tilde{m}, \tilde{b}\equiv 0$  because  $\tilde{m}$  and  $\tilde{b}$  must be constants within S. Thus the origin of  $(\tilde{x}, \dot{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{m}, \tilde{b})$  is globally asymptotically stable.




Fig. 1: Simulation showing that the system and estimation errors converge to zero.

## III. RESULTS

In Figure 1, we plot the response of the system to the control and adaptation laws (3, 4) with  $(A, \omega, \varphi) = (1/2, \pi, 60^\circ)$  and  $(k, \lambda) = (1, 1)$ . The real mass and damping of the system is (m, b) = (0.7, 0.5) and their estimates start at  $(\hat{m}, \hat{b}) = (-1/2, -1/4)$ .

# IV. CONCLUSION

We have shown that our control and adaptation laws for the linear second-order mechanical system guide the estimates of the mass and the damping coefficient to tend to their correct values while tracking a judiciously chosen reference signal.

### REFERENCES

[1] H. Khalil, Nonlinear Control. Always Learning, Pearson, 2015.