

Nonlinear Systems

Lyapunov Stability and some Morse Theory

Aykut C. Satici

March 30, 2021

Boise State University
Mechanical and Biomedical Engineering
Electrical and Computer Engineering

Outline

Introduction

Notations and Definitions

Lyapunov Stability Analysis on Euclidean Spaces

The Invariance Principle

Morse-Lyapunov Functions

Systems with Single Critical Points

Systems with Multiple Critical Points

Introduction

Lyapunov Stability – Introduction

- ▶ Introduced by Alexandr Mikhailovich Lyapunov.
- ▶ *The general problem of the stability of motion*, 1892.
- ▶ Doctoral thesis in Kharkov Mathematical Society.
- ▶ The most general theory for analyzing stability of (at least) ordinary differential equations.

Lyapunov Stability – Introduction

- ▶ Different notions of stability: input-output stability, periodic orbit stability, etc.
- ▶ Stability of equilibrium points usually characterized in the sense of Lyapunov.
 - ▶ An equilibrium point is **STABLE** if all solutions starting at nearby points stay nearby.
 - ▶ It is **ASYMPTOTICALLY STABLE** if all solutions starting at nearby points not only stay nearby, but also tend to the equilibrium point as time approaches infinity.
- ▶ For a linear system $\dot{x} = Ax$, the stability of $x = 0$ can be completely characterized by the eigenvalues of A .
- ▶ Stability of a nonlinear system sometimes can be characterized by the same method (through linearization).
- ▶ Lyapunov stability theorems give sufficient conditions for stability.

Notations and Definitions

Manifolds and Vector Fields

- ▶ \mathcal{M} (state-space) denotes a manifold of finite dimension n .
- ▶ $f \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a continuous vector field on \mathcal{M} .
- ▶ We assume that there exists a unique right maximally defined integral curve of f starting at x .
- ▶ We also assume that this integral curve is defined on $[0, \infty]$.

$$\varphi : [0, \infty] \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(0, x) &= x, \\ \varphi(t_1, \varphi(t_2, x)) &= \varphi(t_1 + t_2, x).\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The semiflow φ is the evolution function.

Invariant and Stable Sets

Definition

$\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is called an INVARIANT SET if for all $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, $\varphi(t, x) \in \Omega$. If $\Omega = \{p\}$ is a singleton, then Ω is called an EQUILIBRIUM POINT of the dynamical system (\mathcal{M}, φ) .

Definition

$\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is STABLE if for every open neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ of Ω , there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ of Ω such that $\varphi(t, \mathcal{V}) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

An invariant set Ω is asymptotically stable if

- ▶ Ω is stable,
- ▶ Ω is attractive, i.e., for all $x \in \Omega$, there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ of Ω such that for all $x \in \mathcal{N}$, $\varphi(t, x) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \Omega$.

Domain (Region) of Attraction

The domain of attraction is denoted by

$$\mathcal{A} = \{x \in \mathcal{M} : \varphi(t, x) \rightarrow \Omega \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

Ω is said to be GLOBALLY asymptotically stable if $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}$.

Definition (Lie derivative)

The LIE DERIVATIVE of $V : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ along $f \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M})$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_f V : \mathcal{M} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ p &\mapsto dV_p(f(p)).\end{aligned}$$

Lyapunov Function

Definition

Let \mathcal{K} be an invariant set of the dynamical system (\mathcal{M}, φ) . A continuous function $V : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is a LYAPUNOV FUNCTION if

- ▶ $V(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{K}$,
- ▶ $V(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{K}$,
- ▶ V is proper, i.e., $V^{-1}(B)$ is compact for all compact subsets $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$,
- ▶ V is strictly decreasing along orbits of φ , i.e.,

$$V \circ \varphi(t, x) < V(x),$$

for all $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{K}$.

If V is differentiable, this condition may be replaced by

$$\mathcal{L}_f V(x) < 0.$$

(Nondegenerate) Critical Points

Definition

Let $V : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function. A CRITICAL POINT, $p \in \mathcal{M}$, of V is a point where the differential

$$dV_p : T_p\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

has rank zero, i.e., in any local coordinate system $\{x_i\}_1^n$, one has $\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i}(p) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Definition

A critical point p is NONDEGENERATE if the Hessian $H_p(V)$ is a nondegenerate bilinear form, i.e., if any coordinate system, the Hessian matrix

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

is nondegenerate.

Nondegenerate Critical Points

Definition

The dimension of the subspace of $T_p\mathcal{M}$ on which $H_p(V)$ is negative definite is called the MORSE INDEX of V at p , denoted by $\text{ind}(V, p)$.

Definition

A C^2 function $V : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a MORSE FUNCTION if all its critical points are nondegenerate.

Definition

The (SUB)-LEVEL SETS of a function $V : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_a &= V^{-1}((-\infty, a]), \\ \mathcal{M}_{a,b} &= V^{-1}([a, b]).\end{aligned}$$

Topological Definitions

- ▶ A top. space is an n -CELL if it is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n .
- ▶ A top. space X is CONTRACTIBLE if it is *homotopy equivalent* to the one-point space.
- ▶ A subspace A of X is called a DEFORMATION RETRACT of X if there exists a continuous function $h : [0, 1] \times X \rightarrow X$ such that for all $x \in X, a \in A$,

$$h(0, x) = x,$$

$$h(1, x) \in A,$$

$$h(1, a) = a.$$

- ▶ The k^{th} BETTI NUMBER of \mathcal{M} , denoted by b_k is the rank of the k^{th} homology group $H^k(\mathcal{M})$.
- ▶ The EULER CHARACTERISTIC of \mathcal{M} is defined by

$$\chi(\mathcal{M}) = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i b_i.$$

Lyapunov Stability Analysis on Euclidean Spaces

Autonomous Systems

Consider the autonomous system

$$\dot{x} = f(x) \tag{1}$$

where $f : D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a locally Lipschitz map, with an equilibrium point at $x = 0$.

Definition

The equilibrium point $x = 0$ of the system (1) is

- ▶ *stable* if, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\|x(0)\| < \delta \Rightarrow \|x(t)\| < \epsilon, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

- ▶ *unstable* if it is not stable.
- ▶ *asymptotically stable* if it is stable and δ can be chosen s.t.

$$\|x(0)\| < \delta \Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0.$$

Example – Pendulum

The pendulum equation

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = -a \sin x_1 - bx_2$$

has two equilibrium points at $(x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0)$ and $(x_1 = \pi, x_2 = 0)$.

- ▶ If $b = 0$, trajectories in the nbhd. of the first equilibrium are closed orbits.
- ▶ By starting sufficiently close to the eq. point, trajectories are guaranteed to stay within any specified ball.
- ▶ The point is not asymptotically stable since trajectories don't tend to the eq. point.
- ▶ If $b > 0$, the origin becomes asymptotically stable.
- ▶ The second eq. point is a saddle point: the $\varepsilon - \delta$ requirement cannot be satisfied (for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a trajectory that will leave the ball B_ε even if $x(0)$ is arbitrarily close to $(\pi, 0)$).

Lyapunov Stability Theorem

Theorem

Let $x = 0 \in D$ be an equilibrium point for (1). Let $V : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously differentiable function such that

$$V(0) = 0 \text{ and } V(x) > 0 \text{ in } D - \{0\},$$
$$\dot{V}(x) \leq 0 \text{ in } D.$$

Then, $x = 0$ is stable. Moreover, if

$$\dot{V}(x) < 0 \text{ in } D - \{0\}$$

then $x = 0$ is asymptotically stable.

Lyapunov Stability Theorem

Proof of stability.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $0 < r \leq \varepsilon$ such that $B_r \subseteq D$. Let $\alpha = \min_{\|x\|=r} V(x)$. Then, $\alpha > 0$. Take $0 < \beta < \alpha$ and consider $\mathcal{M}_\beta = V^{-1}((0, \beta])$.

Claim: $\mathcal{M}_\beta \subseteq \mathring{B}_r$. Argue ad absurdum. Suppose $\mathcal{M}_\beta \cap \mathring{B}_r \neq \mathcal{M}_\beta$. Then $\exists p \in \mathcal{M}_\beta \cap \partial B_r$. Note, $V(p) \geq \alpha > \beta$, but $V(\mathcal{M}_\beta) \subseteq [0, \beta]$.

The set \mathcal{M}_β is invariant since

$$\dot{V}(x(t)) \leq 0 \Rightarrow V(x(t)) \leq V(x(0)) \leq \beta, \forall t \geq 0.$$

Because \mathcal{M}_β is compact (closed and bounded), we conclude that the ODE (1) has a unique solution $\forall t \geq 0$ whenever $x(0) \in \mathcal{M}_\beta$. Since V is continuous and $V(0) = 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$\|x\| \leq \delta \Rightarrow V(x) < \beta.$$



Lyapunov Stability Theorem

Proof of stability (cont'd).


Then,

$$B_\delta \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\beta \subseteq B_r$$

and

$$x(0) \in B_\delta \Rightarrow x(0) \in \mathcal{M}_\beta \Rightarrow x(t) \in \mathcal{M}_\beta \Rightarrow x(t) \in B_r,$$

proving stability. □



Lyapunov Stability Theorem

Proof of asymptotic stability.

Now assume $\dot{V}(x) < 0$ in $D - \{0\}$. We want to show that $x(t) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0$; i.e., $\forall a > 0, \exists T > 0$, s.t. $\|x(t)\| < a, \forall t > T$.

We know that $\forall a > 0$, we can choose $b > 0$ s.t. $\mathcal{M}_b \subseteq B_a$. Therefore, it is sufficient to show that $V(x(t)) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0$. Since V is monotonically decreasing and bounded from below by zero,


$$V(x(t)) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} c \geq 0.$$

Claim: $c = 0$. Argue ad absurdum. Suppose $c > 0$. By continuity of V , $\exists d > 0$ s.t. $B_d \subseteq \mathcal{M}_c$. The limit $V(x(t)) \rightarrow c > 0$ implies that $x(t) \notin B_d, \forall t \geq 0$. Define $\max_{d \leq \|x\| \leq r} \dot{V}(x) =: -\gamma < 0$. It follows that

$$V(x(t)) = V(x(0)) + \int_0^t \dot{V}(x(\tau)) d\tau \leq V(x(0)) - \gamma t.$$

The RHS will eventually become negative: contradiction ($c > 0$). \square

Lyapunov Stability: Intuition



- ▶ A continuously differentiable function V , satisfying the theorem's conditions is called a LYAPUNOV FUNCTION.
- ▶ When $\dot{V} < 0$, the trajectory moves from level set $\mathcal{M}_{c_3} = V^{-1}(c_3)$ to an inner level set $\mathcal{M}_{c_2} = V^{-1}(c_2)$ with a smaller c .
- ▶ $V^{-1}(c) \xrightarrow{c \downarrow 0} 0$. Hence the trajectory approaches the origin.
- ▶ If we only knew that $\dot{V} \leq 0$, we cannot be sure that the trajectory $x(t) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0$,¹ but we can conclude that the origin is stable.

¹See, however, Krasovskii-LaSalle's theorem.

Example: Undamped pendulum

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2,$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = -a \sin x_1.$$

Lyapunov function candidate

$$V(x) = a(1 - \cos x_1) + \frac{1}{2}x_2^2.$$

Analysis

Clearly, $V(0) = 0$ and $V(x) > 0$ if $x \neq (2k\pi, 0)$. Compute the Lie derivative of V along f :

$$\dot{V}(x) = \mathcal{L}_f V(x) = ax_2 \sin x_1 - ax_2 \sin x_1 = 0.$$

Thus, the origin is stable. Since $\dot{V}(x) \equiv 0$, we conclude that the origin is not asymptotically stable as solutions starting on the level set \mathcal{M}_c remain in that set.

Example: Damped pendulum

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2,$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = -a \sin x_1 - bx_2.$$

Lyapunov function candidate

$$V(x) = a(1 - \cos x_1) + \frac{1}{2}x^\top P x,$$

$$P = P^\top > 0.$$

The Lie derivative $\dot{V}(x)$ is given by

$$\dot{V}(x) = a(1 - p_{22})x_2 \sin x_1 - ap_{12}x_1 \sin x_1 + (p_{11} - p_{12}b)x_1x_2 + (p_{12} - p_{22}b)x_2^2.$$

- ▶ Take $p_{22} = 1$ and $p_{11} = bp_{12}$.
- ▶ We must choose $0 < p_{12} < b$ for V to be positive definite.
- ▶ Choose $p_{12} = \frac{b}{2}$.

$$\dot{V}(x) = -\frac{1}{2}abx_1 \sin x_1 - \frac{1}{2}bx_2^2.$$

This is negative definite for any $0 < |x_1| < \pi$.

Region of Attraction

Definition (Region of Attraction)

The REGION OF ATTRACTION is defined as the set of all points x such that $\phi(t; x)$ is defined for all $t \geq 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t; x) = 0$.

- ▶ Finding the exact RoA is usually difficult.
- ▶ Lyapunov fcns. can be used to estimate (inner approx.) the RoA.
- ▶ From the proof of the Lyapunov stability theorem, if there is a Lyapunov fcn. that satisfies asymptotic stability and if \mathcal{M}_c is bounded and contained in D , then \mathcal{M}_c is (positively) invariant.
- ▶ The estimate \mathcal{M}_c of the RoA may be conservative (inner approximation).
- ▶ QUESTION: Under what conditions is the RoA the whole space?
 - ▶ If so, the origin is said to be *globally asymptotically stable*.
 - ▶ The conditions of the Lyapunov theorem must clearly hold for $D = \mathbb{R}^n$. But is this sufficient?

Region of Attraction




Figure: Level sets of $V(x) = \frac{x_1^2}{1+x_1^2} + x_2^2$.

For \mathcal{M}_c to be bounded ($\mathcal{M}_c \subseteq \mathring{B}_r$, for some $r \geq 0$), $c < \inf_{\|x\| \geq r} V(x)$. If

$$l = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\|x\| \geq r} V(x) < \infty$$

then \mathcal{M}_c will be bounded only if $c < l$. Consider (see figure)

$$V(x) = \frac{x_1^2}{1+x_1^2} + x_2^2.$$

In this example,

$$l = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \min_{\|x\|=r} V(x) = 1.$$

Region of Attraction

For \mathcal{M}_c to be bounded ($\mathcal{M}_c \subseteq \mathring{B}_r$, for some $r \geq 0$), $c < \inf_{\|x\| \geq r} V(x)$. If

$$l = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\|x\| \geq r} V(x) < \infty$$

then \mathcal{M}_c will be bounded only if $c < l$. Consider (see figure)

$$V(x) = \frac{x_1^2}{1 + x_1^2} + x_2^2.$$

In this example,

$$l = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \min_{\|x\|=r} V(x) = 1.$$

An extra condition that ensures that \mathcal{M}_c is bounded for all $c > 0$ is

$$V(x) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } \|x\| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Homework

Show that a continuously differentiable map $V : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is radially unbounded if and only if it is proper (inverse images of compact sets under V are compact).

Theorem (Global Asymptotic Stability)

Let $V : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously differentiable function and the conditions of the Lyapunov stability theorem hold (asymptotic). If, in addition,

$$\|x\| \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow V(x) \rightarrow \infty$$

then $x = 0$ is globally asymptotically stable.

Remark

For $x = 0$ to be GAS, it must be the unique equilibrium point of the system (why?).

Chetaev's Instability Theorem

Theorem

Let $V : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously differentiable function such that $V(0) = 0$ and $V(x_0) > 0$ for some x_0 with arbitrarily small $\|x_0\|$. Let

$$U := \{x \in B_r : V(x) > 0\}$$

and suppose that $\dot{V}(U) > 0$. Then, $x = 0$ is unstable.

Proof.

$x_0 \in \overset{\circ}{U}$ and $V(x_0) = a > 0$. The trajectory $x(t)$ starting at $x(0) = x_0$ must leave U . Indeed, as long as $x(t) \in U$, $V(x(t)) \geq a$, since $\dot{V}(U) > 0$. Let $\min\{\dot{V}(x) : x \in U \text{ and } V(x) \geq a\} := \gamma > 0$. Then,

$$V(x(t)) = V(x_0) + \int_0^t \dot{V}(x(s)) \, ds \geq a + \int_0^t \gamma \, ds = a + \gamma t.$$

Hence, $x(t)$ will leave U because $V(x)$ is bounded on U . Now, $x(t)$ cannot leave U through $V(x) = 0$ since $V(x(t)) \geq a$. Hence it must leave U through the sphere \mathbb{S}_r . Note: $\|x_0\|$ was arbitrarily small. \square

The Invariance Principle

Intuition: Damped Pendulum

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2,$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = -a \sin x_1 - bx_2^2.$$

Lyapunov function candidate

$$V(x) = a(1 - \cos x_1) + \frac{1}{2}x_2^2.$$

$$\dot{V}(x) = -bx_2^2 \leq 0.$$

- ▶ $\dot{V}(x) < 0$ if and only if $x_2 \neq 0$.
- ▶ For the system to maintain $\dot{V}(x) = 0$, it has to stay on $x_2 = 0$.
- ▶ Unless $x_1 = 0$, this is impossible:

$$x_2(t) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow \dot{x}_2 \equiv 0 \Rightarrow \sin x_1(t) \equiv 0.$$

- ▶ Hence, on the segment $-\pi < x_1 < \pi$ of the $x_2 = 0$ line, the system can maintain $\dot{V}(x) = 0$ only at the origin $x = 0$.
- ▶ Therefore, $V(x(t))$ must decrease towards 0 and, consequently,

$$x(t) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Morse-Lyapunov Functions

Isolated Critical Points

Lemma

Suppose that x_e is an equilibrium points of the dynamical system (M, φ) . If $V : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable Lyapunov function then x_e is the only critical point of V .

Proof.

Suppose V has another critical point, x_c , in the domain of attraction. By the definition of a Lyapunov function, we must have $\mathcal{L}_f V(x_c) = 0$. This contradicts the fact that if $x \neq x_e$, $\mathcal{L}_f V(x) < 0$. \square

Morse Lemma

Theorem (Morse Lemma)

Let $p \in \mathcal{M}$ be a nondegenerate critical point of a smooth function $V : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. There exists a local coordinate system $\{x_i\}_1^n$ in a nbhd. $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ of p with $x_i(p) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that for $x \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$V(x) = V(p) - x_1^2 - \dots - x_i^2 + x_{i+1}^2 + \dots + x_n^2$$

where $i = \text{ind}(V, p)$.

Corollary

Let $p \in \mathcal{M}$ be an equilibrium point of (\mathcal{M}, φ) and $V : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ a Morse-Lyapunov function. There exists a local coordinate system $\{x_i\}_1^n$ around p such that V is locally the canonical quadratic Lyapunov function

$$V(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$$

with $\text{ind}(V, p) = 0$.

Level Sets of a Lyapunov Function

Theorem (Deformation Lemma)

Let $V : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function and $a, b \in V(\mathcal{M})$ such that $a < b$. If $\mathcal{M}_{a,b}$ is compact and does not contain critical points of V then \mathcal{M}_a is diffeomorphic to \mathcal{M}_b . Moreover, \mathcal{M}_a is a deformation retract of \mathcal{M}_b .

Corollary

Let \mathcal{M} be a smooth Riemannian manifold. If \mathcal{M} contains a closed invariant asymptotically stable set, then for all $a, b \in V(\mathcal{M})$, \mathcal{M}_a is diffeomorphic to \mathcal{M}_b and \mathcal{M}_a is a deformation retract of \mathcal{M}_b where V is a smooth Lyapunov function.

Systems with Single Critical Points

Theorem (Brown-Stallings Lemma)

Let \mathcal{M} be a paracompact manifold such that every compact subset is contained in an open set diffeomorphic to a Euclidean space. Then \mathcal{M} itself is diffeomorphic to a Euclidean space.

Corollary

Let \mathcal{M} be a paracompact manifold. The domain of attraction of an asymptotically stable equilibrium point is diffeomorphic to a Euclidean space.

Morse and Sontag Theorems

Theorem (Morse Theorem)

Let $V : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse function, p a critical point such that $\text{ind}(V, p) = i$ and $c = V(p)$. If there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathcal{M}_{c-\varepsilon, c+\varepsilon}$ is compact and does not contain other critical points p , then $\mathcal{M}_{c-\varepsilon} \cup e_i$ is a deformation retract of $\mathcal{M}_{c+\varepsilon}$ where e_i is an i -cell.

Theorem (Sontag Theorem)

Let us consider the dynamical system (\mathcal{M}, φ) with an equilibrium point $x_e \in \mathcal{M}$. Suppose that x_e is asymptotically stable. Then the domain of attraction of x_e , given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{M} : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t, x) = x_e \right\},$$

is contractible.

Systems with Multiple Critical Points

Morse Theorem – (Third Version)

Theorem (Morse Theorem)

If $V : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Morse function such that \mathcal{M}_a is compact for each $a \in \mathbb{R}$ then \mathcal{M} has the homotopy type of a CW-complex with one i -cell for each critical point of index i .

Corollary

Suppose that the dynamical system (\mathcal{M}, φ) has several equilibria (x_1, \dots, x_k) . If there exists a Morse-Lyapunov function $V : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ then $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ is a retract of the domain of attraction.

Proposition (Reeb Theorem)

Suppose that \mathcal{M} is compact without boundary. If $V : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function with only two critical points, then \mathcal{M} is homeomorphic to the n -sphere \mathbb{S}^n .

Morse Inequalities

Theorem (Morse Inequalities)

Let m_k be the number of critical points of a Morse function V with index k . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_k &\leq m_k, \quad \forall k, \\ \sum_{i=0}^j (-1)^{j-i} b_i &\leq \sum_{i=0}^j (-1)^{j-i} m_i \quad \forall j, \\ \chi(\mathcal{M}) &= \sum_k (-1)^k b_k = \sum_k (-1)^k m_k. \end{aligned}$$

The next corollary states a necessary condition for the existence of a Morse-Lyapunov function based on the Euler characteristic, which is a topological invariant.

Existence of Morse-Lyapunov Functions

Corollary

Consider the dynamical system (\mathcal{M}, φ) with several equilibria (x_1, \dots, x_k) . If there exists a Morse-Lyapunov function $V : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ then $\chi(\mathcal{M}) = k \geq b_0$.

Proof.

If there exists a Morse-Lyapunov function V , (x_1, \dots, x_k) are the only critical points with indices 0. Then, by the Morse inequalities, $\chi(\mathcal{M}) = m_0 = k$ and $b_0 \leq m_0 = k$. □

Remark

If $\chi(\mathcal{M}) \neq k$ then there is no Morse-Lyapunov function for the dynamical system.

