

# Verification and Validation Report: Software Engineering

Team 2, SyntaxSentinals  
Mohammad Mohsin Khan  
Lucas Chen  
Dennis Fong  
Julian Cecchini  
Luigi Quattrociochi

March 8, 2025

# 1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
Date 1	1.0	Notes
Date 2	1.1	Notes

## 2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

symbol	description
T	Test

[symbols, abbreviations or acronyms – you can reference the SRS tables if needed —SS]

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Revision History</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Functional Requirements Evaluation</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation</b>	<b>1</b>
4.1	Usability . . . . .	1
4.2	Performance . . . . .	1
4.3	etc. . . . .	1
<b>5</b>	<b>Comparison to Existing Implementation</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Unit Testing</b>	<b>1</b>
6.1	AnalyzeFiles Component . . . . .	1
6.1.1	Renders the component . . . . .	1
6.1.2	Displays an alert when no file is uploaded . . . . .	2
6.1.3	Displays an alert when no analysis name is entered . . . . .	2
6.1.4	Calls uploadFiles and displays success message when form is submitted . . . . .	2
6.1.5	Handles file drop event . . . . .	3
6.2	UploadResults Component . . . . .	3
6.2.1	Renders the component . . . . .	3
6.2.2	Displays an error message when a non-ZIP file is uploaded . . . . .	4
6.2.3	displays an error message when no JSON file is found in the ZIP . . . . .	4
6.2.4	Displays a success message when a valid ZIP file is uploaded . . . . .	5
6.2.5	displays a warning message when trying to view results without uploading a file . . . . .	5
6.2.6	handles errors during ZIP file processing . . . . .	5
6.2.7	handles file drop event . . . . .	6
6.3	Index (Landing Page) Component . . . . .	6
6.3.1	Renders the component . . . . .	6
6.3.2	Displays loading spinner when loading . . . . .	7
6.3.3	Calls loginWithRedirect when Get Started button is clicked . . . . .	7

6.3.4	navigates to /home when authenticated . . . . .	8
6.3.5	displays features section . . . . .	8
6.3.6	displays how it works section . . . . .	8
6.3.7	displays footer . . . . .	9
6.4	Upload File Box Component . . . . .	9
6.4.1	renders the component . . . . .	9
6.4.2	uploads a valid file . . . . .	10
6.4.3	removes a file . . . . .	10
6.4.4	clears the file list when clearFiles is true . . . . .	10
6.5	NavBar Component . . . . .	11
6.5.1	renders the component . . . . .	11
6.5.2	displays the login button when not authenticated . . . .	11
6.5.3	displays the logout button when authenticated . . . . .	12
6.5.4	calls loginWithRedirect when Log In button is clicked .	12
<b>7</b>	<b>Changes Due to Testing</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Automated Testing</b>	<b>13</b>
8.1	Testing Workflow Configuration . . . . .	13
8.2	Testing Workflow Steps . . . . .	14
8.3	Test Coverage Workflow Configuration . . . . .	14
8.4	Test Coverage Workflow Steps . . . . .	15
<b>9</b>	<b>Trace to Requirements</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Trace to Modules</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Code Coverage Metrics</b>	<b>15</b>

## List of Tables

1	Test Coverage Breakdown by Directory . . . . .	16
---	--	----

## List of Figures

This document ...

### 3 Functional Requirements Evaluation

### 4 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation

#### 4.1 Usability

#### 4.2 Performance

#### 4.3 etc.

### 5 Comparison to Existing Implementation

This section will not be appropriate for every project.

### 6 Unit Testing

#### 6.1 AnalyzeFiles Component

##### 6.1.1 Renders the component

- **Description:** Ensures the `AnalyzeFiles` component renders correctly.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Render the `AnalyzeFiles` component.
  2. Check for the presence of specific text.
- **Expected result:** The component renders with the text "Analyze a Dataset" and "Upload a dataset to analyze for potential code plagiarism. Use a ZIP file containing your project files."

### 6.1.2 Displays an alert when no file is uploaded

- **Description:** Ensures an alert is displayed when no file is uploaded.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Render the `AnalyzeFiles` component.
  2. Click the "Analyze Dataset" button.
- **Expected result:** An alert with the message "Please upload a dataset file first." is displayed.

### 6.1.3 Displays an alert when no analysis name is entered

- **Description:** Ensures an alert is displayed when no analysis name is entered.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Render the `AnalyzeFiles` component.
  2. Upload a valid ZIP file.
  3. Click the "Analyze Dataset" button.
- **Expected result:** An alert with the message "Please enter an analysis name." is displayed.

### 6.1.4 Calls `uploadFiles` and displays success message when form is submitted

- **Description:** Ensures the `uploadFiles` function is called and a success message is displayed when the form is submitted.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional

- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Render the **AnalyzeFiles** component.
  2. Upload a valid ZIP file.
  3. Enter an analysis name.
  4. Click the "Analyze Dataset" button.
- **Expected result:** The **uploadFiles** function is called with the file and analysis name, and a success message "Analysis started successfully. You'll receive an email with the results once it's done!" is displayed.

#### 6.1.5 Handles file drop event

- **Description:** Ensures the file drop event is handled correctly when a file is dropped on the component.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Render the **AnalyzeFiles** component.
  2. Simulate a file drop event with a valid ZIP file.
- **Expected result:** The file is uploaded successfully.

## 6.2 UploadResults Component

### 6.2.1 Renders the component

- **Description:** Ensures the **UploadResults** component renders correctly.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None



- **Test case steps:**
  1. Render the `UploadResults` component.
  2. Check for the presence of specific text.
- **Expected result:** The component renders with the text "View Results" and "Upload your results ZIP file to view the analysis."

### 6.2.2 Displays an error message when a non-ZIP file is uploaded

- **Description:** Ensures an error message is displayed when a non-ZIP file is uploaded.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Render the `UploadResults` component.
  2. Upload a non-ZIP file.
- **Expected result:** An error message "Only ZIP files are allowed." is displayed.

### 6.2.3 displays an error message when no JSON file is found in the ZIP

- **Description:** Ensures an error message is displayed when no JSON file is found in the ZIP file.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Render the `UploadResults` component.
  2. Upload a ZIP file without a JSON file.
- **Expected result:** An error message "No JSON file found in the ZIP." is displayed.

#### 6.2.4 Displays a success message when a valid ZIP file is uploaded

- **Description:** Ensures a success message is displayed when a valid ZIP file is uploaded.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Render the `UploadResults` component.
  2. Upload a valid ZIP file.
- **Expected result:** A success message "Results file uploaded successfully." is displayed.

#### 6.2.5 displays a warning message when trying to view results without uploading a file

- **Description:** Ensures a warning message is displayed when trying to view results without uploading a file.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Render the `UploadResults` component.
  2. Click the "View Results" button.
- **Expected result:** A warning message "Please upload a results file first." is displayed.

#### 6.2.6 handles errors during ZIP file processing

- **Description:** Ensures errors during ZIP file processing are handled correctly and an error message is displayed to the user.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional

- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Render the `UploadResults` component.
  2. Upload a ZIP file that cannot be processed.
- **Expected result:** An error message "Invalid ZIP file or corrupt JSON." is logged into the console.

#### 6.2.7 handles file drop event

- **Description:** Ensures the file drop event is handled correctly when a file is dropped on the component.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Render the `UploadResults` component.
  2. Simulate a file drop event with a valid ZIP file.
- **Expected result:** The file is uploaded successfully.

### 6.3 Index (Landing Page) Component

#### 6.3.1 Renders the component

- **Description:** Ensures the `Index` component renders correctly.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Render the `Index` component.
  2. Check for the presence of specific text.

- **Expected result:** The component renders with the text "Verify Academic and Contest Submissions" and "Empower educators and contest administrators with advanced AI-powered plagiarism detection. Ensure the integrity of student work and competition submissions."

### 6.3.2 Displays loading spinner when loading

- **Description:** Ensures the loading spinner is displayed when the component is in the loading state.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** `isLoading` is true
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Mock the `useAuth0` hook to return `isLoading` as true.
  2. Render the `Index` component.
- **Expected result:** The text "Preparing your experience..." is displayed.

### 6.3.3 Calls `loginWithRedirect` when Get Started button is clicked

- **Description:** Ensures the `loginWithRedirect` function is called when the "Get Started" button is clicked.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Render the `Index` component.
  2. Click the "Get Started" button.
- **Expected result:** The `loginWithRedirect` function is called.

#### 6.3.4 navigates to /home when authenticated

- **Description:** Ensures the user is redirected to the Home page when authenticated.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Mock the `useAuth0` hook to return `isAuthenticated` as true.
  2. Render the `Index` component.
- **Expected result:** The user is redirected to the Home page.

#### 6.3.5 displays features section

- **Description:** Ensures the features section is displayed on the `Index` component.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Render the `Index` component.
- **Expected result:** The features section is displayed with the text "Why Choose SyntaxSentinals?" along with the features.

#### 6.3.6 displays how it works section

- **Description:** Ensures the "How It Works" section is displayed on the `Index` component.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**

1. Render the `Index` component.

- **Expected result:** The "How It Works" section is displayed along with the steps.

### 6.3.7 displays footer

- **Description:** Ensures the footer is displayed on the `Index` component.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**

1. Render the `Index` component.

- **Expected result:** The footer is displayed with the text "SyntaxSentials" and the links.

## 6.4 Upload File Box Component

### 6.4.1 renders the component

- **Description:** Ensures the `UploadFileBox` component renders correctly.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**

1. Render the `UploadFileBox` component.

- **Expected result:** The component renders with the text "Upload a ZIP file" and the file input.

#### 6.4.2 uploads a valid file

- **Description:** Ensures a valid file is uploaded using the `UploadFileBox` component.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Render the `UploadFileBox` component.
  2. Upload a valid ZIP file.
- **Expected result:** The file is uploaded successfully.

#### 6.4.3 removes a file

- **Description:** Ensures a file is removed using the `UploadFileBox` component.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** A file is uploaded
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Render the `UploadFileBox` component.
  2. Click the "Remove" button.
- **Expected result:** The file is removed.

#### 6.4.4 clears the file list when `clearFiles` is true

- **Description:** Ensures the file list is cleared when the `clearFiles` prop is true.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** A file is uploaded
- **Test case steps:**

1. Render the `UploadFileBox` component with the `clearFiles` prop set to `true`.

- **Expected result:** The file list is cleared.

## 6.5 NavBar Component

### 6.5.1 renders the component

- **Description:** Ensures the `NavBar` component renders correctly.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Render the `NavBar` component.
- **Expected result:** The component renders with the logo and navigation links.

### 6.5.2 displays the login button when not authenticated

- **Description:** Ensures the login button is displayed when the user is not authenticated.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Mock the `useAuth0` hook to return `isAuthenticated` as `false`.
  2. Render the `NavBar` component.
- **Expected result:** The login button is displayed.



### 6.5.3 displays the logout button when authenticated

- **Description:** Ensures the logout button is displayed when the user is authenticated.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Mock the `useAuth0` hook to return `isAuthenticated` as true.
  2. Render the `NavBar` component.
- **Expected result:** The logout button is displayed.

### 6.5.4 calls `loginWithRedirect` when Log In button is clicked

- **Description:** Ensures the `loginWithRedirect` function is called when the "Log In" button is clicked.
- **Type:** Automatic, Functional
- **Initial state:** None
- **Test case steps:**
  1. Mock the `useAuth0` hook to return `isAuthenticated` as false.
  2. Render the `NavBar` component.
  3. Click the "Log In" button.
- **Expected result:** The `loginWithRedirect` function is called.

## 7 Changes Due to Testing

[This section should highlight how feedback from the users and from the supervisor (when one exists) shaped the final product. In particular the feedback from the Rev 0 demo to the supervisor (or to potential users) should be highlighted. —SS]

## 8 Automated Testing

The tests mentioned in the **Unit Testing** section are automated using the Jest testing framework. The tests are run using the command `npm test`.

To ensure continuous integration and automated testing, we have set up a GitHub Actions workflow. The workflow is triggered on every push and pull request to the repository. It runs the tests on an Ubuntu environment with Node.js version 18.x.

### 8.1 Testing Workflow Configuration

The following is the configuration of the GitHub Actions workflow used for automated testing:

```
name: Run Frontend Tests

on: [push, pull_request]

jobs:
  test:
    runs-on: ubuntu-20.04

    strategy:
      matrix:
        node-version: [18.x]

    steps:
      - name: Checkout repository
        uses: actions/checkout@v4

      - name: Set up Node.js
        uses: actions/setup-node@v4
        with:
          node-version: ${ matrix.node-version }

      - name: Install dependencies
        run: npm install
        working-directory: ./src/frontend
```

```
- name: Run tests
  run: npm test
  working-directory: ./src/frontend
```

## 8.2 Testing Workflow Steps

- **Checkout repository:** This step uses the `actions/checkout@v4` action to check out the repository code.
- **Set up Node.js:** This step uses the `actions/setup-node@v4` action to set up Node.js with the specified version (18.x).
- **Install dependencies:** This step runs `npm install` to install the necessary dependencies for the frontend.
- **Run tests:** This step runs `npm test` to execute the Jest tests for the frontend.

By using this automated testing workflow, we ensure that our code is continuously tested and validated, providing immediate feedback on any issues that may arise from changes in the codebase.

## 8.3 Test Coverage Workflow Configuration

In addition to running unit tests, we have configured a separate GitHub Actions workflow to generate a test coverage report using Jest. This helps us monitor the extent to which our code is covered by automated tests.

The following is the configuration for the test coverage workflow:

```
name: Run Frontend Unit Tests

on: [push, pull_request]

jobs:
  coverage:
    runs-on: ubuntu-20.04

    steps:
```

```

- name: Checkout repository
  uses: actions/checkout@v3

- name: Run Jest Coverage Report
  uses: ArtiomTr/jest-coverage-report-action@v2
  with:
    working-directory: ./src/frontend
    github-token: ${{ secrets.GITHUB_TOKEN }}

```

## 8.4 Test Coverage Workflow Steps

- **Checkout repository:** This step uses the `actions/checkout@v3` action to check out the repository code.
- **Run Jest Coverage Report:** This step uses the `ArtiomTr/jest-coverage-report-action@v2` action to generate a coverage report for the Jest tests in the frontend directory.

By incorporating test coverage reporting into our workflow, we can ensure that our automated tests provide sufficient coverage of the codebase, identifying untested areas and improving software reliability.

## 9 Trace to Requirements

## 10 Trace to Modules

## 11 Code Coverage Metrics

The test coverage report provides the following key metrics:

- **Statements:** 94.35% (234/248)
- **Branches:** 81.03% (47/58)
- **Functions:** 86.79% (46/53)
- **Lines:** 96.18% (227/236)

Directory	Statements	Branches	Functions	Lines
Features.tsx	100%	100%	100%	100%
Navbar.tsx	100%	100%	100%	100%
UploadBox.tsx	96.42%	84.21%	93.33%	98.14%
GetStarted.tsx	100%	100%	100%	100%
Home.tsx	90.32%	69.56%	73.91%	93.16%
Button.tsx	100%	66.66%	100%	100%
utils.ts	100%	100%	100%	100%
AnalyzeFiles.tsx	91.52%	75%	75%	94.54%
UploadResults.tsx	89.23%	63.63%	72.72%	91.93%

Table 1: Test Coverage Breakdown by Directory

Below is a breakdown of coverage by individual files:  
Some uncovered lines include:

- **UploadBox.tsx**: Line 26.
- **button.tsx**: Line 44.
- **AnalyzeFiles.tsx**: Lines 22, 25, 76.
- **UploadResults.tsx**: Lines 20, 23, 50-51, 89.

These uncovered areas indicate potential gaps in test cases that should be addressed to achieve full coverage.

## References

## Appendix — Reflection

The information in this section will be used to evaluate the team members on the graduate attribute of Reflection.

The purpose of reflection questions is to give you a chance to assess your own learning and that of your group as a whole, and to find ways to improve in the future. Reflection is an important part of the learning process. Reflection is also an essential component of a successful software development process.

Reflections are most interesting and useful when they're honest, even if the stories they tell are imperfect. You will be marked based on your depth of thought and analysis, and not based on the content of the reflections themselves. Thus, for full marks we encourage you to answer openly and honestly and to avoid simply writing "what you think the evaluator wants to hear."

Please answer the following questions. Some questions can be answered on the team level, but where appropriate, each team member should write their own response:

1. What went well while writing this deliverable?
2. What pain points did you experience during this deliverable, and how did you resolve them?
3. Which parts of this document stemmed from speaking to your client(s) or a proxy (e.g. your peers)? Which ones were not, and why?
4. In what ways was the Verification and Validation (VnV) Plan different from the activities that were actually conducted for VnV? If there were differences, what changes required the modification in the plan? Why did these changes occur? Would you be able to anticipate these changes in future projects? If there weren't any differences, how was your team able to clearly predict a feasible amount of effort and the right tasks needed to build the evidence that demonstrates the required quality? (It is expected that most teams will have had to deviate from their original VnV Plan.)