

# New box

Fernando Castaños

July 21, 2020

## 1 The Box

The SIR model is a keystone in our understanding of infectious diseases, capturing the most essential features of the epidemiological dynamics for the mitigation or eradication of epidemic outbreaks [?, ?].

The SIR model with interventions  $u(t) \in [0, u_{\max}]$  reducing disease transmission takes the form

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -(1-u)\beta SI, \quad \frac{dI}{dt} = (1-u)\beta SI - \gamma I, \quad \frac{dR}{dt} = \gamma I. \quad (1)$$

Here,  $S(t)$ ,  $I(t)$ , and  $R(t)$  are the proportion of the population that is susceptible, infected, or removed (i.e., recovered or dead) at time  $t \geq 0$ , respectively. Because  $S(t) + I(t) + R(t) = 1$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , the epidemic state can be characterized by  $(S, I) \in [0, 1]^2$ . We denote by  $(S_0, I_0)$  the initial state of the model at  $t = 0$ . The parameters of the model are the *contact rate*  $\beta \geq 0$  and the *mean residence time* of infected individuals  $\gamma \geq 0$  (in units of  $\text{day}^{-1}$ ). By assuming  $S_0 \approx 1$ , these two parameters yield the *basic reproduction number*  $R_0 = \beta/\gamma$ .

We are interested in reaching the set

$$\mathcal{T}_0 = \{(S, I) \mid I \leq \Phi_{R_0}(S)\},$$

which is the largest set with the following property: If, for any given time  $t_1$ , the state  $(S_1, I_1)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{T}_0$ , we can set  $u = 0$  henceforth and still have  $I(t) \leq I_{\max}$  for all  $t \geq t_1$ . That is, when  $\mathcal{T}_0$  is reached, we can terminate the intervention with the assurance that a possible rebound will not overwhelm the healthcare system.

Our goal is to steer an arbitrary initial state  $(S_0, I_0)$  to the *safe set*  $\mathcal{T}_0$  in minimal time without infringing the constraint  $I(t) \leq I_{\max}$ . We will say that an intervention achieving this goal is an *optimal intervention*.

In Supplementary Note S1, we define  $\Phi_R$  explicitly and prove that the existence of an optimal intervention is characterized by the *separating curve*  $\Phi_{R_c}$ :

- (1) An optimal intervention exists if and only if the initial state  $(S_0, I_0)$  lies below this separating curve (i.e.,  $I_0 \leq \Phi_{R_c}(S_0)$ ).

Moreover:

(2) If it exists, the optimal intervention  $u^*$  at the state  $(S, I)$  is

$$u^*(S, I) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (S, I) \in \mathcal{T}_0 \cup \mathcal{T}_1 \\ 1 - 1/R_c S & \text{if } I = \Phi_{R_c}(S) \text{ and } S^* < S < R_c^{-1} \\ u_{\max} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

with

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \{(S, I) \mid I < \Phi_{R_c}(S), S > \Psi(I)\} .$$

The curve  $S = \Psi(I)$  is also defined in Supplementary Note S1, while  $S^*$  takes place at the intersection of  $S = \Psi(I)$  and  $I = \Phi_{R_c}(S)$ . **(I'm redefining  $S^*$ )**

## 2 For the Supplementary Note S1

Let us start by defining

$$\Phi_R(S) = \begin{cases} I_{\max} & \text{if } S < R^{-1} \\ I_{\max} + R^{-1} (\ln(RS) + 1 - RS) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

Now, the curve  $S = \Psi(I)$  corresponds to the optimal switching curve for the optimization problem without the constraint  $I(t) \leq I_{\max}$ . It is best described using the change of coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(S, I) &= I - \frac{1}{R_0} \ln(S) + S \\ \nu(S, I) &= I - \frac{1}{R_c} \ln(S) + S \end{aligned}$$

with inverse

$$S(\nu, \mu) = \exp \left( \frac{R_0 R_c}{R_0 - R_c} (\mu - \nu) \right) \quad (3a)$$

$$I(\nu, \mu) = \frac{R_0}{R_0 - R_c} \mu - \frac{R_c}{R_0 - R_c} \nu - \exp \left( \frac{R_0 R_c}{R_0 - R_c} (\mu - \nu) \right) . \quad (3b)$$

Note that, given  $I = 0$ , we can uniquely map  $\mu$  to  $S \geq R_0^{-1}$ , which we will denote by  $S = \hat{S}_\mu(\mu)$ . Likewise, we can uniquely map  $\nu$  to  $S \geq R_c^{-1}$ , and we will denote it by  $S = \hat{S}_\nu(\nu)$ .

Our region of interest is the rectangle  $[\nu_{\min}, \nu_{\max}] \times [\mu_f, \mu_{\max}]$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\min} &= -\frac{1}{R_c} \ln(\hat{S}_\mu(\mu_f)) + \hat{S}_\mu(\mu_f) & \mu_f &= I_{\max} + \frac{\ln(R_0) + 1}{R_0} \\ \nu_{\max} &= I_{\max} + \frac{\ln(R_0)}{R_c} + \frac{1}{R_0} & \mu_{\max} &= -\frac{1}{R_0} \ln(\hat{S}_\nu(\nu_{\min})) + \hat{S}_\nu(\nu_{\min}) \end{aligned}$$

(see Fig. 1).



function is well defined:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^* : [\mu_f, \mu_{\max}] &\rightarrow [\nu_{\min}, \nu_{\max}] \\ \mu_0 &\mapsto \arg \min_{\nu_f} \varphi_{\mu_0}(\nu_f) . \end{aligned}$$

Simply put, an initial state  $(\nu_{\min}, \mu_0)$  is driven to the line  $\mu = \mu_f$  in minimal time by setting first  $u = 0$  and then switching to  $u = u_{\max}$  at the point  $(\nu^*(\mu_0), \mu_0)$ . This defines a curve parameterized by  $\mu_0$ . In the original coordinates (3), the switching curve takes the form

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(S, I) \mid S = S(\nu^*(\mu_0), \mu_0), I = I(\nu^*(\mu_0), \mu_0), \mu_0 \in [\mu_f, \mu_{\max}]\} .$$

**Lemma 2.** *If*

$$(S', I) \in \mathcal{S} \quad \text{and} \quad (S'', I) \in \mathcal{S} ,$$

*then*  $S' = S''$ .

Finally, let

$$\bar{I} = \max_{(S, I) \in \mathcal{S}} I .$$

The lemma implies the existence of a function  $\Psi$ , defined on  $[0, \bar{I}]$ , such that

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(S, I) \mid S = \Psi(I), I \in [0, \bar{I}]\} .$$