Question 1

Consider a two-dimensional Cartesian coordinate system (x, y) with the infinitesimal line element $ds^2 = dx^2 + dy^2$. We then introduce new coordinates u and v, defined by $u = (x + y)/2$ and $v = (x - y)/2$. Find the components of the metric tensor in the new coordinates (u, v) using the transformation rule for a $(0, 2)$ tensor, which states that $g_{\mu'\nu'} = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial x^{\mu'}}\frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial x^{\nu'}}g_{\mu\nu}$. You should use this method exclusively, without relying on any alternative approaches.

In this question, we are examining a coordinate change in a two-dimensional space. We start from a standard Cartesian coordinate system (x, y) and move to a new coordinate system (u, v) defined by a linear transformation. The goal is to find the components of the metric tensor in the new coordinate system (u, v) using the transformation rule for a (0,2) tensor, i.e., a rank-2 covariant tensor. The transformation rule is given by $g_{\mu'\nu'} = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial x^{\mu'}}\frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial x^{\nu'}}g_{\mu\nu}$, where $g_{\mu\nu}$ are the components of the metric tensor in the original coordinates (x, y), and $g_{\mu'\nu'}$ are the components of the metric tensor in the new coordinates (u, v). This formula tells us how the components of the metric tensor change when we change the coordinate system. It is important to note that we must exclusively use this formula for the solution, without using shortcuts or alternative methods.

Solution

(i) Metric tensor in the original Cartesian coordinates (x, y). In the (x, y) coordinates, the line element is

$$ds^2 = dx^2 + dy^2.$$

This formula represents the infinitesimal line element in two dimensions using the Cartesian coordinates x and y. In a flat (Euclidean) space, the infinitesimal distance squared, ds^2, is given by the sum of the squares of the infinitesimal differences of the coordinates. Here, dx^2 and dy^2 represent the squares of the infinitesimal variations along the x and y axes, respectively. Essentially, this is the Pythagorean theorem applied to infinitesimal distances. The formula implies that the space is Euclidean and that the coordinates x and y are orthogonal, i.e., there is no cross term like dxdy, which means there is no skew or tilt between the axes.

Hence, the metric tensor components $g_{\mu\nu}$ in these coordinates are:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

This is the metric tensor in Cartesian coordinates for a two-dimensional Euclidean space. The metric is diagonal with components $g_{xx} = 1$ and $g_{yy} = 1$, and off-diagonal components $g_{xy} = g_{yx} = 0$. This metric tells us that the x and y coordinates are orthogonal (because the off-diagonal terms are zero) and that the scale along each axis is unity (because the diagonal terms are one). Geometrically, this means we are using a standard, undistorted, orthogonal coordinate system.

where $g_{xx} = 1$, $g_{yy} = 1$, $g_{xy} = g_{yx} = 0$. These are the specific components of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ in the Cartesian coordinates (x, y). $g_{xx} = 1$ indicates that the "length" or "scale" along the x-axis is unit, and similarly, $g_{yy} = 1$ indicates that the "length" or "scale" along the y-axis is unit. The terms $g_{xy} = g_{yx} = 0$ indicate that there is no correlation or "mixing" between the x and y coordinates, which is consistent with the fact that the Cartesian axes are orthogonal. In simpler terms, this tells us that we are using a standard, undistorted, orthogonal coordinate system.

(ii) Coordinates transformation to (u, v). We define:

$$u = \frac{x + y}{2}, \quad v = \frac{x - y}{2}.$$

Here we are defining the new coordinates u and v as linear combinations of the original coordinates x and y. The coordinate u is the average of x and y, while v is half the difference between x and y. This transformation corresponds to a 45-degree counterclockwise rotation, followed by a rescaling.

To apply the transformation rule for the metric, we need the inverse relations, which are:

$$x = u + v, \quad y = u - v.$$

These are the inverse transformations expressing the original coordinates x and y in terms of the new coordinates u and v. They were obtained by solving the previous system of equations for x and y. For example, adding the two equations gives $u + v = x$, and subtracting them gives $u - v = y$. These relations allow us to express the partial derivatives of x and y with respect to u and v, which are needed to apply the metric tensor transformation rule.

(iii) Calculating partial derivatives. We compute the partial derivatives of x and y with respect to the new coordinates (u, v):

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial v} = -1.$$

These equations compute the partial derivatives of x and y with respect to the new coordinates u and v. For instance, $\frac{\partial x}{\partial u} = 1$ means that x increases by 1 unit when u increases by 1 unit, keeping v constant. Similarly, $\frac{\partial y}{\partial v} = -1$ means that y decreases by 1 unit when v increases by 1 unit, keeping u constant. These partial derivatives are constant because the transformation between (x, y) and (u, v) is linear.

(iv) Applying the $(0,2)$ tensor transformation rule. Recall the rule:

$$g_{\mu'\nu'} = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial x^{\mu'}} \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial x^{\nu'}} g_{\mu\nu}.$$

This is the transformation rule for a rank-2 covariant tensor, such as the metric tensor. It tells us how the components of the metric tensor transform when we move from one coordinate system to another. In this formula, $g_{\mu'\nu'}$ are the components of the metric tensor in the new coordinate system, $g_{\mu\nu}$ are the components in the old system, and $\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial x^{\mu'}}$ are the partial derivatives of the old coordinates with respect to the new ones. In practice, we multiply the components of the old metric tensor by the appropriate partial derivatives to get the components in the new system.

Let μ, ν denote the old coordinates (x or y) and μ', ν' the new ones (u or v). Since the old metric components are $g_{xx} = 1$, $g_{yy} = 1$, $g_{xy} = 0$, $g_{yx} = 0$, each new metric component is computed as follows:

- g_{uu}:

$$g_{uu} = \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}\right)^2 g_{xx} + \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial u}\right)^2 g_{yy} = 1^3 + 1^3 = 2.$$

  This computes the g_{uu} component of the metric tensor in the new coordinates. Using the transformation rule, we sum the products of the partial derivatives multiplied by the corresponding components of the original metric tensor. Since $g_{xy} = g_{yx} = 0$, the mixed terms vanish, leaving only the sum of the squares of the partial derivatives of x and y with respect to u, multiplied by g_{xx} and g_{yy} respectively. The result is $g_{uu} = 1^2 \cdot 1 + 1^2 \cdot 1 = 2$. This tells us that the "length" or "scale" along the u-axis is 2.

- g_{uv}:

$$g_{uv} = \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}\right)\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial v}\right) g_{xx} + \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial u}\right)\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial v}\right) g_{yy} = (1)(1)(1) + (1)(-1)(1) = 0.$$

  This computes the g_{uv} component of the metric tensor. Again, we apply the transformation rule. The result is $g_{uv} = (1)(1) \cdot 1 + (1)(-1) \cdot 1 = 0$. This means that the u and v coordinates are orthogonal.

- g_{vu}:

$$g_{vu} = \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial v}\right)\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}\right) g_{xx} + \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial v}\right)\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial u}\right) g_{yy} = (1)(1)(1) + (-1)(1)(1) = 0.$$

  This computes the g_{vu} component. It is equal to g_{uv} due to the symmetry of the metric tensor, so it is also 0.

- g_{vv}:

$$g_{vv} = \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial v}\right)^2 g_{xx} + \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial v}\right)^2 g_{yy} = 1^3 + (-1)^2(1) = 2.$$

  This computes the g_{vv} component. Similar to g_{uu}, we find $g_{vv} = (1)^2 \cdot 1 + (-1)^2 \cdot 1 = 2$. This tells us that the "length" or "scale" along the v-axis is 2.

(v) Final components of the metric in (u, v).
Collecting these results, the new metric tensor is:

$$g_{\mu'\nu'} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{uu} & g_{uv} \\ g_{vu} & g_{vv} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is the metric tensor in the new coordinates (u, v). It is still diagonal, which means that u and v are orthogonal, but now it has diagonal components equal to 2. This indicates that the space in the (u, v) coordinates is still flat (Euclidean), but distances are rescaled by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ compared to the original Cartesian coordinates.

Physical interpretation: We see that the resulting metric is still diagonal (and represents the same flat space), but it is now scaled by a factor of 2 in both directions u and v. Hence, the line element in the new coordinates can be written as

$$ds^2 = 2\,du^2 + 2\,dv^2.$$

This is the physical interpretation of the metric tensor we have calculated. The fact that the metric tensor is still diagonal means that the coordinates u and v are orthogonal to each other. The factor of 2 in the diagonal components g_{uu} and g_{vv} indicates that distances measured in the u and v coordinates are rescaled by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ relative to distances in the original Cartesian coordinates. This is consistent with the geometric interpretation of the coordinate transformation as a 45-degree rotation followed by a rescaling.

Conclusion: Using exclusively the tensor transformation rule, we have correctly derived the metric components in the (u, v) coordinates:

$$g_{uu} = 2, \quad g_{uv} = 0, \quad g_{vv} = 2.$$

In conclusion, we have computed the components of the metric tensor in the new coordinates (u, v) by applying the tensor transformation rule. We found that $g_{uu} = 2$, $g_{uv} = g_{vu} = 0$, and $g_{vv} = 2$. This result confirms that the u and v coordinates are orthogonal and that distances in this new coordinate system are scaled by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ with respect to the original Cartesian coordinate system (x, y).

Question 2

Consider a two-dimensional plane in polar coordinates, where the infinitesimal line element is given by

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + r^2 \, d\phi^2.$$

(i) How many independent Christoffel symbols are there in total in two dimensions?
(ii) How many independent and non-vanishing Christoffel symbols are there in this particular case?
(iii) Compute the explicit form of one non-vanishing Christoffel symbol of your choice.

This exercise focuses on the Christoffel symbols in a two-dimensional plane described by polar coordinates (r, ϕ). Even though the plane itself is geometrically flat, the choice of polar coordinates introduces nontrivial metric components: $g_{rr} = 1$ and $g_{\phi\phi} = r^2$. We will explore how these affect the connection coefficients.

Solution

(i) Total number of Christoffel symbols in 2D. In two dimensions, each index μ, ν, λ of $\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda}$ can take 2 values (which we may denote by r and ϕ). If we ignore any symmetries, there are $2 \times 2 \times 2 = 8$ possible symbols.

However, for the Levi-Civita connection, we use the crucial symmetry

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda} = \Gamma^\mu_{\lambda\nu},$$

which states that interchanging the lower two indices does not produce a new or different symbol. Thus, the Γ are symmetric under $\nu \leftrightarrow \lambda$. Since we only consider distinct pairs (ν, λ) up to this symmetry, we effectively reduce the total count from 8 to 6. Hence, there are $\boxed{6}$ *independent Christoffel symbols in 2D.*

(ii) Non-vanishing Christoffel symbols in polar coordinates (r, ϕ). Given the 2D plane in polar coordinates:

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + r^2 \, d\phi^2,$$

we read off the metric and its inverse:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & r^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{r^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the metric is diagonal. In the formula for the Christoffel symbols,

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\rho} \left(\partial_\nu g_{\rho\lambda} + \partial_\lambda g_{\rho\nu} - \partial_\rho g_{\nu\lambda} \right),$$

a diagonal metric means that many terms vanish unless $\rho = \mu$. Concretely, if $\mu \neq \rho$, then $g^{\mu\rho}$ will be zero for a strictly diagonal metric. This helps eliminate many potential non-zero symbols.

In polar coordinates, the only non-zero partial derivative of the metric is:

$$\partial_r g_{\phi\phi} = 2 \, r,$$

while $\partial_\phi g_{rr}$, $\partial_r g_{rr}$, and $\partial_\phi g_{\phi\phi}$ vanish. Consequently, any Christoffel symbol that does not involve $\partial_r g_{\phi\phi}$ will be zero. Checking each possible combination systematically, one finds that the only non-zero symbols are:

$$\Gamma^r_{\phi\phi} = -r, \quad \Gamma^\phi_{r\phi} = \Gamma^\phi_{\phi r} = \frac{1}{r}.$$

All others vanish.

Even though the plane is flat, the curvilinear coordinates (r, ϕ) introduce these non-zero Christoffel symbols. If we switched to Cartesian coordinates, we would get zero for all Christoffel symbols because the metric becomes constant and diagonal with no dependence on x or y.

(iii) Explicit calculation of $\Gamma^r_{\phi\phi}$. *For illustration, let us compute $\Gamma^r_{\phi\phi}$. Substitute $\mu = r$, $\nu = \phi$, and $\lambda = \phi$ into the Levi-Civita connection formula:*

$$\Gamma^r_{\phi\phi} = \frac{1}{2} g^{r\rho} \left(\partial_\phi g_{\rho\phi} + \partial_\phi g_{\rho\phi} - \partial_\rho g_{\phi\phi} \right).$$

Since $g^{rr} = 1$ and $g^{r\phi} = 0$, the only relevant piece comes from $\rho = r$. We use:

$$\partial_r g_{\phi\phi} = 2 \, r, \quad \partial_\phi g_{\phi r} = 0,$$

thus

$$\left(\partial_\phi g_{r\phi} + \partial_\phi g_{r\phi} - \partial_r g_{\phi\phi} \right) = (0 + 0 - 2r) = -2r.$$

Multiplying by $g^{rr} = 1$ and then by $1/2$:

$$\Gamma^r_{\phi\phi} = \frac{1}{2}(-2r) = -r.$$

Hence, $\boxed{\Gamma^r_{\phi\phi} = -r}$.

This coefficient tells us how the basis vector in the r-direction changes when we vary ϕ. In a curvilinear coordinate system, such as polar coordinates, this accounts for the "circular arcs" nature of ϕ.

3

Question 3

Consider a two-dimensional spacetime where the infinitesimal line element is given by
$$ds^2 = -(1+x)^2 \, dt^2 + dx^2.$$

(i) How many independent Christoffel symbols are there in principle in two dimensions?
(ii) How many independent and non-vanishing Christoffel symbols are there for this example?
(iii) Compute the explicit form of Γ^t_{tx} for this example.

Here, we turn to a "(1+1)-dimensional" spacetime metric. The dependence of $g_{tt} = -(1+x)^2$ on the spatial coordinate x leads to interesting non-zero connection coefficients. This scenario illustrates how time can "flow differently" at different positions x.

Solution

(i) Number of independent Christoffel symbols in two dimensions. Just as in Question 2, we recognize that in 2D each index (μ, ν, λ) runs over $\{t, x\}$. Naively, there would be $2 \times 2 \times 2 = 8$ Christoffel symbols, but the symmetry
$$\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda} = \Gamma^\mu_{\lambda\nu}$$
cuts this count down to 6. Thus there are $\boxed{6}$ independent symbols.

The same logic applies: in 2D, for each upper index μ, there are 3 unique pairs (ν, λ) up to symmetry. Since μ can be t or x, we have $3 + 3 = 6$.

(ii) Number of independent and non-vanishing Christoffel symbols for this example. From
$$ds^2 = -(1+x)^2 \, dt^2 + dx^2,$$
we read off
$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -(1+x)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{(1+x)^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Again, the metric is diagonal. Therefore, in the Christoffel symbol formula, many off-diagonal terms disappear. Moreover, note that $g_{tt} = -(1+x)^2$ depends on x, while $g_{xx} = 1$ is constant.

Recall the connection formula:
$$\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\rho} \left(\partial_\nu g_{\rho\lambda} + \partial_\lambda g_{\rho\nu} - \partial_\rho g_{\nu\lambda} \right).$$

Since
$$\partial_x g_{tt} = -2(1+x), \quad \partial_x g_{xx} = 0, \quad \partial_t g_{\mu\nu} = 0,$$

the only non-zero derivative of the metric is $\partial_x g_{tt}$. Hence, any Christoffel symbol that does not involve $\partial_x g_{tt}$ in the sum will vanish. By matching indices in the connection formula, we find only three potential symbols could be non-zero: Γ^x_{tt}, $\Gamma^t_{tx} = \Gamma^t_{xt}$, and Γ^x_{xx}. A direct check confirms $\Gamma^x_{xx} = 0$. Thus the only non-zero Christoffel symbols are:
$$\Gamma^x_{tt} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma^t_{tx} = \Gamma^t_{xt}.$$

This precisely reflects the $(1+x)$-dependence in g_{tt}. If g_{tt} were constant, these symbols would vanish, signifying a trivial geometry.

(iii) Explicit form of Γ^t_{tx}. We compute:
$$\Gamma^t_{tx} = \frac{1}{2} g^{t\rho} \left(\partial_t g_{x\rho} + \partial_x g_{t\rho} - \partial_\rho g_{tx} \right).$$

Since $g^{tx} = 0$ (diagonal inverse metric) and $\partial_t g_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ (metric independent of t), the dominant term arises when $\rho = t$. That term involves $\partial_x g_{tt}$. Because the metric is diagonal, effectively we need $\mu = \rho$ in many sums to get a non-zero result.

Thus:
$$\Gamma^t_{tx} = \frac{1}{2} g^{tt} \, \partial_x g_{tt}.$$

We substitute:
$$g^{tt} = -\frac{1}{(1+x)^2}, \quad \partial_x g_{tt} = -2(1+x).$$

Hence:
$$\Gamma^t_{tx} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\tfrac{1}{(1+x)^2} \right) \left(-2(1+x) \right) = \frac{1}{1+x}.$$

Interpretation: $\Gamma^t_{tx} = \frac{1}{1+x}$ shows how the time basis vector changes in the x-direction. Because $(1+x)$ appears in the time component of the metric, the rate of time flow depends on x. Moving along x effectively shifts how clocks "tick" in this spacetime.

Final Remarks for Question 3. *One can check $\Gamma^x_{tt} = 1 + x$ and verify $\Gamma^x_{xx} = 0$. Together with $\Gamma^t_{tx} = \Gamma^t_{xt} = \frac{1}{1+x}$, these are the only non-zero symbols. They reflect the coordinate dependence of the metric and thus a non-trivial connection. In a 2D "spacetime" context, it tells us that an observer's notion of time changes with position x.*

4

Question 4

Consider a two-dimensional space whose infinitesimal line element is given by

$$ds^2 = (1 + x^2)\, dx^2 \; + \; (1 + y^2)\, dy^2.$$

We want to compute the Christoffel symbols Γ^x_{xx} and Γ^x_{yy}.

In this problem, we have a 2D space with metric:

$$ds^2 = (1 + x^2)\, dx^2 + (1 + y^2)\, dy^2.$$

The coordinates (x, y) are orthogonal, since there is no mixed term $dx\, dy$. Our goal is to compute two specific Christoffel symbols, Γ^x_{xx} and Γ^x_{yy}.

Solution (Question 4)

From the line element,

$$ds^2 \; = \; (1 + x^2)\, dx^2 \; + \; (1 + y^2)\, dy^2,$$

we identify the metric tensor in coordinates (x, y):

$$g_{\mu\nu} \; = \; \begin{pmatrix} 1 + x^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + y^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is a diagonal metric, with $g_{xx} = 1 + x^2$ and $g_{yy} = 1 + y^2$. Hence $g_{xy} = g_{yx} = 0$.

Its inverse is then

$$g^{\mu\nu} \; = \; \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1+x^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{1+y^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because the metric is diagonal, we simply invert each diagonal element: $g^{xx} = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$ and $g^{yy} = \frac{1}{1+y^2}$.

Christoffel Symbols. Recall the connection formula:

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}\, g^{\mu\rho} \Big(\partial_\nu g_{\rho\lambda} \; + \; \partial_\lambda g_{\rho\nu} \; - \; \partial_\rho g_{\nu\lambda} \Big).$$

Only $g_{xx} = 1 + x^2$ depends on x, and only $g_{yy} = 1 + y^2$ depends on y. Therefore,

$$\partial_x g_{xx} = 2x, \quad \partial_y g_{yy} = 2y,$$

while all other partial derivatives of $g_{\mu\nu}$ vanish (for example, $\partial_x g_{yy} = 0$ and $\partial_y g_{xx} = 0$).

(i) Calculation of Γ^x_{xx}. We set $\mu = x$, $\nu = x$, $\lambda = x$ in the formula. Hence,

$$\Gamma^x_{xx} = \frac{1}{2}\, g^{x\rho} \Big(\partial_x g_{\rho x} \; + \; \partial_x g_{x\rho} \; - \; \partial_\rho g_{xx} \Big).$$

Since the metric is diagonal, $g_{x\rho}$ is nonzero only if $\rho = x$. Therefore, $g^{x\rho}$ is also nonzero only for $\rho = x$. This leaves us with:

$$\Gamma^x_{xx} = \frac{1}{2}\, g^{xx} \Big(\partial_x g_{xx} + \partial_x g_{xx} - \partial_x g_{xx} \Big) = \frac{1}{2}\, g^{xx} \big(\partial_x g_{xx} \big).$$

Since $\partial_x g_{xx} = 2x$ and $g^{xx} = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$, we obtain

$$\Gamma^x_{xx} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + x^2} \cdot (2x) = \frac{x}{1 + x^2}.$$

(ii) Calculation of Γ^x_{yy}. We now set $\mu = x$, $\nu = y$, $\lambda = y$:

$$\Gamma^x_{yy} = \frac{1}{2}\, g^{x\rho} \Big(\partial_y g_{\rho y} \; + \; \partial_y g_{y\rho} \; - \; \partial_\rho g_{yy} \Big).$$

Here, $\partial_y g_{yy} = 2y$ is the only derivative that might contribute. However, it will appear with $\rho = y$, in which case the factor outside becomes g^{xy}. Since $g^{xy} = 0$ (diagonal inverse), that term vanishes. Alternatively, if $\rho = x$, then $\partial_x g_{yy} = 0$.

Hence,

$$\Gamma^x_{yy} = 0.$$

Final Results

$$\boxed{\Gamma^x_{xx} = \frac{x}{1 + x^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma^x_{yy} = 0.}$$

Because g_{xx} depends on x, Γ^x_{xx} is non-zero. Meanwhile, g_{yy} does not depend on x, so Γ^x_{yy} vanishes.

Unified Perspective and Key Observations

- **Diagonal Metric Simplification:** *As in other 2D examples, the metric is diagonal, so $g^{\mu\nu}$ is also diagonal. This annihilates many terms in the Christoffel sum (since $g^{xy} = 0$, etc.), making computations much simpler.*

- **Coordinate Dependence vs. Curvature:** *A non-zero Γ^x_{xx} can arise either from genuine curvature or simply from the coordinate dependence of the metric. Here, $g_{xx} = 1 + x^2$ depends on x, producing a nontrivial connection coefficient. Meanwhile, Γ^x_{yy} vanishes because g_{yy} has no x-dependence.*

- **Symmetry in 2D:** *The property $\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda} = \Gamma^\mu_{\lambda\nu}$ cuts the naive 8 symbols down to 6 independent ones. From there, only those involving non-zero derivatives of $g_{\mu\nu}$ can survive.*

- **Summation Index Matching:** *For a diagonal metric, $g^{\mu\rho}$ is nonzero only if $\mu = \rho$. Thus, when we compute Γ^x_{xx}, only the $\rho = x$ term matters. Similarly, for Γ^x_{yy}, the $\rho = y$ term appears multiplied by g^{xy}, which is zero, forcing the entire expression to vanish.*