This folder contains implementations of objective quality measures
(Chapter 11):

MATLAB file	Description		Reference
comp_snr.m	Overall and segmental SNR		[1]
comp_wss.m	Weighted-spectral slope metric		[2]
comp_llr.m	Likelihood-ratio measure		[3]
comp_is.m	Itakura-Saito measure		[3]
comp_cep.m	Cepstral distance measure		[4]
comp_fwseg	Freq. weighted segm. SNR (fwSNRseg)		[5],Chap 11
comp_fwseg_variant	Frequency-variant fwSNRseg measure		Chap 11
comp_fwseg_mars	Frequency variant fwSNRseg measure based on MARS analysis		Chap 11
pesq.m	PESQ measure (narrowband)	ITU-T P.862	[6]
	PESQ measure (wideband)	ITU-T P.862.2	[7]
composite.m	A composite measure		[8]
addnoise_asl.m	Adds noise to the clean signal at specified SNR based on active speech level.		[9]

USAGE

```
>>   [snr_mean, segsnr_mean]= compSNR(cleanFile.wav, enhdFile.wav);
      where 'snr_mean' is the global overall SNR and 'segsnr_mean' is the
      segmental SNR.

>>   wss_mean = comp_wss(cleanFile.wav, enhancedFile.wav);

>>   llr_mean= comp_llr(cleanFile.wav, enhancedFile.wav);

>>    is_mean = comp_is(cleanFile.wav, enhancedFile.wav);

>>    cep_mean = comp_cep(cleanFile.wav, enhancedFile.wav);

>>    fwSNRseg = comp_fwseg(cleanFile.wav, enhancedFile.wav);

>>    [SIG,BAK,OVL]=comp_fwseg_variant(cleanFile.wav, enhancedFile.wav);
    where   'SIG' is the predicted rating of speech distortion,
        'BAK' is the predicted rating of background noise distortion,
        'OVL' is the predicted rating of overall quality.

>>    [SIG,BAK,OVL]=comp_fwseg_mars(cleanFile.wav, enhancedFile.wav);

>>    pesq_val = pesq(cleanFile.wav, enhancedFile.wav);
           Only sampling frequencies of 8000 Hz or 16000 Hz are supported.

>>    [Csig,Cbak,Covl]=composite(cleanFile.wav, enhancedFile.wav);
    where   'Csig' is the predicted rating of speech distortion,
        'Cbak' is the predicted rating of background noise distortion,
```

'Covl' is the predicted rating of overall quality.

```
>>  addnoise_asl(cleanfile.wav, noisefile.wav, outfile.wav, SNRlevel)
```

REFERENCES:

[1] Hansen, J. and Pellom, B. (1998). An effective quality evaluation protocol for speech enhancement algorithms. Inter. Conf. on Spoken Language Processing, 7(2819), 2822

[2] Klatt, D. (1982). Prediction of perceived phonetic distance from critical band spectra. Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust. , Speech, Signal Processing, 7, 1278-1281.

[3] Quackenbush, S., Barnwell, T., and Clements, M. (1988). Objective measures of speech quality. NJ: Prentice-Hall, Eaglewood Cliffs.

[4] Kitawaki, N., Nagabuchi, H., and Itoh, K. (1988). Objective quality evaluation for low bit-rate speech coding systems. IEEE J. Select. Areas in Comm., 6(2), 262-273.

[5] Tribolet, J., Noll, P., McDermott, B., and Crochiere, R. E. (1978). A study of complexity and quality of speech waveform coders. Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust. , Speech, Signal Processing, 586-590.

[6] ITU (2000). Perceptual evaluation of speech quality (PESQ), and objective method for end-to-end speech quality assessment of narrowband telephone networks and speech codecs. ITU-T Recommendation P.862

[7] ITU (2007). Wideband extension to Recommendation P.862 for the assessment of wideband telephone networks and speech codecs. ITU-T Recommendation P.862.2

[8] Hu, Y. and Loizou, P. (2006). Evaluation of objective measures for speech enhancement. Proc. Interspeech

[9] ITU-T (1993). Objective measurement of active speech level. ITU-T Recommendation P. 56

$Revision: 1.0 $ $Date: 05/14/2012 $
