Statistical Learning

Lecture 07a - Missing Data

ANU - RSFAS

Last Updated: Mon Apr 18 18:52:29 2022

Missing Data

- Notes based on Linear Models with R by Julian Faraway Chapter 13.
- For a fuller discussion see Statistical Analysis with Missing Data by Roderick Little and Donald Rubin.
- Another nice discussion: https://data.library.virginia.edu/getting-started-with-multiple-imputation-in-r/
- Types of missing data:
 - **Missing cases**: Sometimes we fail to observe a complete case (x_i, y_i) . Indeed, when we draw a sample from a population, we do not observe the unsampled cases.
 - Incomplete values: Suppose we run an experiment to study the lifetimes of light bulbs. We might run out of time waiting for all the light-bulbs to die and decide to end the experiment. This leads to censored data.
 - **Missing values**: Sometimes we observe some components of a case but not others. We might observe the values of some predictors but not others. Perhaps the predictors are observed but not the response.

Why are the Data Missing?

- Missing Completely at Random (MCAR): The probability that a
 value is missing is the same for all cases. If we simply delete all cases
 with missing values from the analysis, we will cause no bias, although
 we may lose some information.
- Missing at Random (MAR): The probability of a value being missing depends on a known mechanism. For example, in social surveys, certain groups are less likely to provide information than others. As long as we know the group membership of the individual being sampled, then this is an example of MAR.
- Missing not at Random (MNAR): The probability that a value is missing depends on some unobserved variable or, more seriously, on what value would have been observed.

Some Missing Data - Chicago Insurance Data

Data from a 1970's study on the relationship between insurance redlining in Chicago and racial composition, fire and theft rates, age of housing and income in 47 zip codes. Missing values have been randomly added.

- race: racial composition in percent minority
- fire: fires per 100 housing units
- theft: theft per 1000 population
- age: percent of housing units built before 1939
- income: median family income in thousands of dollars
- involact: new FAIR plan policies and renewals per 100 housing units

```
library(faraway)
data(chmiss)
head(chmiss)
```

##		race	fire	theft	age	involact	income
##	60626	10.0	6.2	29	60.4	NA	11.744
##	60640	22.2	9.5	44	76.5	0.1	9.323
##	60613	19.6	10.5	36	NA	1.2	9.948
##	60657	17.3	7.7	37	NA	0.5	10.656
##	60614	24.5	8.6	53	81.4	0.7	9.730
##	60610	54.0	34.1	68	52.6	0.3	8.231

summary(chmiss)

##	race	fire	theft
##	Min. : 1.00	Min. : 2.00	Min. : 3.00
##	1st Qu.: 3.75	1st Qu.: 5.60	1st Qu.: 22.00
##	Median :24.50	Median: 9.50	Median : 29.00
##	Mean :35.61	Mean :11.42	Mean : 32.65
##	3rd Qu.:57.65	3rd Qu.:15.10	3rd Qu.: 38.00
##	Max. :99.70	Max. :36.20	Max. :147.00
##	NA's :4	NA's :2	NA's :4
##	age	involact	income
## ##	age Min. : 2.00	involact Min. :0.0000	income Min. : 5.583
##	•		Min. : 5.583
##	Min. : 2.00	Min. :0.0000	Min. : 5.583
## ##	Min. : 2.00 1st Qu.:48.30	Min. :0.0000 1st Qu.:0.0000	Min. : 5.583 1st Qu.: 8.564
## ## ##	Min. : 2.00 1st Qu.:48.30 Median :64.40	Min. :0.0000 1st Qu.:0.0000 Median :0.5000	Min. : 5.583 1st Qu.: 8.564 Median :10.694
## ## ## ##	Min. : 2.00 1st Qu.:48.30 Median :64.40 Mean :59.97	Min. :0.0000 1st Qu.:0.0000 Median :0.5000 Mean :0.6477	Min. : 5.583 1st Qu.: 8.564 Median :10.694 Mean :10.736

We can see missing values in all variables. It is also helpful to see how many missing values appear in each case.

```
rowSums(is.na(chmiss))
```

```
## 60626 60640 60613 60657 60614 60610 60611 60625 60618 60647
## 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1
## 60622 60631 60646 60656 60630 60634 60641 60635 60639 60651
## 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1
## 60644 60624 60612 60607 60623 60608 60616 60632 60609 60653
## 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0
## 60615 60638 60629 60636 60621 60637 60652 60620 60619 60649
## 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1
## 60617 60655 60643 60628 60627 60633 60645
## 1 0 0 1 0 0 1
```

Not good - there is a missing value for almost every row!

```
axis(2, at = 0:5/5, labels = colnames(chmiss))
axis(1, at = 0:46/46, labels = row.names(chmiss),
    las = 2)
income
involact
age
heft
fire
ace
```

image(is.na(chmiss), axes = FALSE, col = gray(1:0))

Deletion Strategy

Using the full data which does not have the missing data, let's fit a regression model.

```
data(chredlin, package = "faraway")
modfull <- lm(involact ~ race + fire +
    theft + age + income, chredlin)
sumary(modfull)</pre>
```

```
## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -0.6089790 0.4952601 -1.2296 0.2258512
## race 0.0091325 0.0023158 3.9435 0.0003067
## fire 0.0388166 0.0084355 4.6015 4e-05
## theft -0.0102976 0.0028529 -3.6096 0.0008269
## age 0.0082707 0.0027815 2.9734 0.0049143
## income 0.0245001 0.0316965 0.7730 0.4439816
##
## n = 47, p = 6, Residual SE = 0.33513, R-Squared = 0.75
```

Deletion Strategy

```
modmiss <- lm(involact ~ race + fire +
    theft + age + income, chmiss)
sumary(modmiss)</pre>
```

 Note that in this case we still get the right sign, but the standard errors are inflated.

Single Imputation

A simple solution to the problem is to fill in or impute the missing values. For example, we can fill in the missing values by the variable means:

```
cmeans <- colMeans(chmiss, na.rm = TRUE)
mchm <- chmiss
for (i in c(1:4, 6)) {
    mchm[is.na(chmiss[, i]), i] <- cmeans[i]
}</pre>
```

 Here we are only imputing the covariates, as we can naturally use our model:

$$y \sim x$$

to impute y.

• What if we have a categorical covariate?

Single Imputation

```
imod <- lm(involact ~ race + fire +</pre>
   theft + age + income, mchm)
sumary(imod)
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
## (Intercept) 0.0708021 0.5094531 0.1390 0.890203
       0.0071173 0.0027057 2.6305 0.012245
## race
## fire 0.0287418 0.0093855 3.0624 0.004021
## theft -0.0030590 0.0027457 -1.1141 0.272242
## age 0.0060795 0.0032079 1.8952 0.065695
## income -0.0270917 0.0316782 -0.8552 0.397791
##
## n = 44, p = 6, Residual SE = 0.38412, R-Squared = 0.68
```

Single Imputation

Compared to the complete data fit:

- theft and age are no longer significant.
- Estimated coefficients are biased toward zero. This is similar to the errors in variables situation.
- The bias introduced by the fill-in method can be substantial and may not be compensated by the attendant reduction in variance. For this reason, mean imputation is not recommended except where the fraction of filled values is small.

Single Imputation - Regression Methods

- A more sophisticated approach to imputation is through regression methods.
- Let's try to fill in the missing race values.

```
lmodr <- lm(race ~ fire + theft + age +
    income + involact, chmiss)
chmiss[is.na(chmiss$race), ]
predict(lmodr, chmiss[is.na(chmiss$race),
    ])</pre>
```

Single Imputation - Regression Methods

```
## race fire theft age involact income
## 60646 NA 5.7 11 27.9 0.0 16.250
## 60651 NA 15.1 30 89.8 0.8 10.510
## 60616 NA 12.2 46 48.0 0.6 8.212
## 60617 NA 10.8 34 58.0 0.9 11.156
## 60646 60651 60616 60617
## -21.75110 26.10017 78.52071 49.75061
```

- Notice that we get a negative value for the percentage.
- One trick that can be applied when the response is bounded between zero and one is the logit transformation:

$$y \rightarrow log(y/(1-y))$$

This transformation maps the interval to the whole real line.

Single Imputation - Regression Methods

```
lmodr <- lm(logit(race/100) ~ fire +
    theft + age + income + involact,
    chmiss)
ilogit(predict(lmodr, chmiss[is.na(chmiss$race),
    ])) * 100</pre>
```

```
## 60646 60651 60616 60617
## 0.3248019 14.2932964 90.0765080 43.8484035
```

• We can see how our predicted values compare to the actual values:

```
chredlin$race[is.na(chmiss$race)]
```

```
## [1] 1.0 13.4 62.3 36.4
```

 Like the mean fill-in method, the regression fill-in method will also introduce a bias toward zero in the coefficients while tending to reduce the variance.

- The single imputation methods described above cause bias while deletion causes a loss of information from the data.
- Multiple imputation is a way to reduce the bias.
- The problem with single imputation is that the imputed value, be it a mean or a regression-predicted value, tends to be less variable than the value we would have seen because the imputed value does not include the error variation that would normally be seen in observed data.

- Multiple imputation is implemented in the *Amelia* package of Honaker, King, and Blackwell (2011).
- A basic assumption is that the data is multivariate normal.
- The methodology is quite robust to this assumption but we need to make modifications in some cases. Heavily skewed variables are best log-transformed before imputation and categorical variables need to be declared for special treatment.

```
library(Amelia)
set.seed(123)
am.imp <- amelia(chmiss, m = 25, p2s = 0)</pre>
```

• We can now fit our linear model to **each** of the 25 data sets.

Combining the Results

$$\hat{eta}_j = rac{1}{m} \sum_i \hat{eta}_{ij}$$
 $\mathrm{se}_j^2 = rac{1}{m} \sum_i \mathrm{se}_{ij}^2 + \mathit{Var}(\hat{eta}_j) (1 + 1/m)$

Where $Var(\hat{\beta}_j)$ is the sample variance over the imputed $\hat{\beta}_{ij}$ s.

Combining the Results

```
output <- mi.meld(q = betas, se = ses)
output
## $q.mi
## (Intercept) race fire theft
## [1,] -0.5303132 0.008587492 0.03748539 -0.009591052
##
            age income
## [1,] 0.00803049 0.02030737
##
## $se.mi
##
       (Intercept) race fire theft
## [1.] 0.6224611 0.002776556 0.009757678 0.005905341
##
             age
                    income
## [1.] 0.003256562 0.04372946
```

Combining the Results

• Compute our observed *t*-statistics:

```
output$q.mi/output$se.mi
```

```
## (Intercept) race fire theft age
## [1,] -0.851962 3.092857 3.84163 -1.624132 2.465941
## income
## [1,] 0.4643864
```