

Remark 1.6 Let  $X = \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mu$  = counting measure on  $(\mathbb{N}, 2^\mathbb{N})$ , see Example 1.4, 1). Then defining  $A_k = \{k, k+1, \dots\}$  for  $k \geq 1$ , one has  $A_k \supset A_{k+1}$  for all  $k$ , and  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k = \emptyset$ , whence  $\infty = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_k) \neq \mu(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k) = 0$ . The condition  $\mu(A_1) < \infty$  is thus needed in (1.12).

## § I.2 Construction of measures

Let  $X \neq \emptyset$  be arbitrary. We now provide a tool to construct measures on  $X$ . This will roughly work as follows:

given:  $\tilde{\mu} : \text{a pre-measure} \xrightarrow[\text{"easy" to define}]{} \mu : \text{an outer measure} \xrightarrow[\text{Step 1}]{} \mu : \text{a measure}$

extend      \*      restrict

(see Theorem 1.13 below).

Definition 1.7 i) Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^X$  be an algebra. A function  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  satisfying (1.7) and (1.8)\* is called a pre-measure (on  $X$ ).  
ii) A function  $\mu : 2^X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  satisfying (1.7) and (1.13) with  $\mathcal{A} = 2^X$  is called an outer measure (on  $X$ ).

Step 1 in the above "construction" will be given by the next proposition

Definition 1.8 A family  $\mathcal{K} \subset 2^X$  is called a cover of  $X$  if

(1.14)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{K}$ , and

(1.15)  $\exists (K_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{K}$  s.t.  $X = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} K_m$ .

Example 1.9 1) The open "intervals"  $I = \prod_{k=1}^n (a_k, b_k) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : a_k < x_k < b_k \text{ for all } 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ , with  $a_k < b_k \in \mathbb{R}$ , form a cover

\* whenever  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in \mathcal{A}$

$X = \mathbb{R}^n$ ; similarly for closed intervals  $\bigcap_{k=1}^n [a_k, b_k]$  (require  $a_k < a_{k+1} < b_k$  instead) or the half-open intervals  $\bigcap_{k=1}^n (a_k, b_k]$  or  $\bigcap_{k=1}^n [a_k, b_k)$ .  
 2) Every algebra  $\mathcal{A} \subset 2^X$  is a cover of  $X$ , since  $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{A}$  by (1.1), (1.2).

Proposition 1.10 Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a cover of  $X$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a map with  $\tilde{\mu}(\emptyset) = 0$ . Then

$$(1.16) \quad \mu^*(A) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\mu}(K_j) : K_j \in \mathcal{K}, A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} K_j \right\}, \quad A \in 2^X$$

defines an outer measure on  $X$ .

Proof:  $\mu^*$  is well-defined, as the sequence  $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  supplied by (1.15) is always a valid choice on the right-hand side. Clearly  $\mu^*(A) \in [0, \infty]$  for any  $A \in 2^X$  and  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$  follows by choosing  $K_j = \emptyset$ , all  $j$ , and using  $\tilde{\mu}(\emptyset) = 0$ . Thus, (1.7) holds. It remains to show (1.13) (for  $\mu^*$  in place of  $\mu$  and with  $\mathcal{A} = 2^X$ ).

Let  $A_k \in 2^X$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by (1.16), we can find a sequence

$$(1.17) \quad (K_{k,j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{K} \text{ such that } A_k \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} K_{k,j} \text{ and} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\mu}(K_{k,j}) < \mu^*(A_k) + 2^{-k} \varepsilon.$$

Now assume  $A \in 2^X$ ,  $A \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$  (as in (1.13)). Then  $A \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} K_{k,j}$ , thus

$$\mu^*(A) \stackrel{(1.16)}{\leq} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\mu}(K_{k,j}) \stackrel{(1.17)}{<} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_k) + \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

We now highlight one key property of outer measures.

Lemma 1.11 If  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure on  $X$ , then

$$(1.18) \quad \Sigma \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \left\{ A \subset X : \mu^*(B) = \mu^*(B \cap A) + \mu^*(B \setminus A) \text{ for all } B \subset X \right\}$$

is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ .

Remark 1.12 By subadditivity of  $\mu$  (cf. (1.13)),  $\Sigma$  is equivalently defined by requiring  $\mu^*(B) \geq \mu^*(B \cap A) + \mu^*(B \setminus A)$  in (1.18).

11

We defer the proof of Lemma 1.8 and proceed to the main result of this section. Its usefulness will be witnessed in the next section.

Theorem 1.13 (Hahn - Carathéodory extension theorem)

Let  $X$  be an arbitrary set,  $\mathcal{A}$  an algebra over  $X$  and  $\tilde{\mu}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  a pre-measure on  $X$ . Then, defining  $\mu^*$  by (1.46) with  $K = \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\Sigma$  by (1.18) and  $\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mu^*|_{\Sigma}$  (i.e.  $\mu: \Sigma \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ ,  $\mu(A) = \mu^*(A)$  for all  $A \in \Sigma$ ), one has:

(1.18)  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a measure space.

(1.20)  $\mathcal{A} \subset \Sigma$ .

(1.21)  $\mu^*(A) = \tilde{\mu}(A)$ , for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Proof: next week.

□

We now supply the :

### Proof of Lemma 1.8.

We need to verify (1.1), (1.2), (1.3'). We omit the superscript \* from  $\mu^*$ .

(1.1):  $\mu(B \cap X) + \mu(B \setminus X) = \mu(B) + \mu(\emptyset) \stackrel{(1.7)}{=} \mu(B)$ ,  
for all  $B \subset X$ , hence  $X \in \Sigma$ .

(1.2): Suppose  $A \in \Sigma$ . Then, for all  $B \subset X$ , one has

$$\mu(B \cap A^c) + \mu(B|A^c) = \mu(B|A) + \mu(B \cap A) \stackrel{A \in \Sigma}{=} \mu(B)$$

hence  $A^c \in \Sigma$ .

(1.3): We first show (1.3) by induction over  $m \geq 1$ . The case  $m=1$  is trivial.

Assume (1.3) holds for  $m-1$ , for some integer  $m \geq 2$ . Let  $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \Sigma$ .

Define  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m-1} A_k$ . By induction assumption,  $A \in \Sigma$ . Now for arbitrary  $B \subset X$  in view of (1.14), we have

$$(1.26) \quad \mu(B) = \mu(B \cap A) + \mu(B|A) \quad (\text{since } A \in \Sigma)$$

$$(1.27) \quad \mu(B|A) = \mu((B|A) \cap A_m) + \mu((B|A)|A_m) \quad (\text{since } A_m \in \Sigma).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(B) &\stackrel{(1.26)(1.27)}{=} \mu(B \cap A) + \mu((B|A) \cap A_m) + \mu((B|A)|A_m) \\ &= \mu(B \cap (A \cup A_m)) + \mu(B|(A \cup A_m)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e. } A \cup A_m = \bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k \in \Sigma.$$

We now show (1.3). Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \Sigma$ . We need to show that  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in \Sigma$  and we may assume to that effect that  $A_k \cap A_l = \emptyset$ ,  $k \neq l$ . (Indeed, otherwise consider  $\tilde{A}_1 = A_1$ ,  $\tilde{A}_k = A_k \setminus \bigcup_{l=1}^{k-1} A_l$ , which satisfy  $\tilde{A}_k \in \Sigma$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , and observe that  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{A}_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$ .) Now, for all  $m \geq 1$ ,  $B \subset X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1.28) \quad \mu\left(B \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k\right)\right) &\stackrel{A_m \in \Sigma}{=} \mu\left((B \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k\right)) \cap A_m\right) + \mu\left((B \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k\right)) | A_m\right) \\ &\stackrel{A_k \text{ is disjoint}}{=} \mu(B \cap A_m) + \mu(B \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{m-1} A_k\right)) \\ &\stackrel{\text{iter}}{=} \dots = \sum_{k=1}^m \mu(B \cap A_k) \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.28) and the fact that  $\mu(B) \geq \mu(A)$  for all  $A, B \subset X$  with  $A \subset B$  (this follows immediately by considering  $A_1 = B$ ,  $A_k = \emptyset$ ,  $k \geq 2$  in (1.13) and using (1.7)) yields, for all  $B \subset X$ ,  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$\mu(B) = \mu\left(B \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k\right)\right) + \mu\left(B \setminus \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k\right)\right) \geq \sum_{k=1}^m \mu(B \cap A_k) + \mu(B \setminus \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right)).$$

Thus, letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we find that

$$\mu(B) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(B \cap A_k) + \mu(B \setminus (\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k)) \stackrel{(1.12)}{\geq} \mu(B \cap (\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k)) + \mu(B \setminus (\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k)).$$

On account of Remark 1.9, this implies that  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in \Sigma$ .  $\square$