ZPC-22 solutions

Farhan, Raunak, Ishaan, Mudit

July 21, 2022

PROBLEM 1 1

We know that $a_i = f(i)$, now let $q(x) = f(x) - x^2$. Now, clearly q(x) has roots 1, 2, 3 and because f(x) is a cubic polynomial, so q(x) is also cubic.

$$\Rightarrow q(x) = a(x-1)(x-2)(x-3)$$
 for some $a \neq 0$.

$$\Rightarrow f(x) = a(x-1)(x-2)(x-3) + x^2$$

Substitute
$$x = 4$$
, to get $f(4) = \lambda = 16 + 6a \Rightarrow a = \frac{\lambda - 16}{6}$
 $\Rightarrow f(x) = \frac{\lambda - 16}{6}(x - 1)(x - 2)(x - 3) + x^2$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) = \frac{\lambda - 16}{6}(x - 1)(x - 2)(x - 3) + x^2$$

Suppose $\exists x_0$ such that $f(x_0) = 0$

 $\implies \frac{1}{(f(x_0))^2}$ is not defined.

But this is a contradiction as a polynomial is defined for all real values of the input.

- $\implies \forall x f(x) \neq 0 \in \mathbf{R}$
- \implies f(x) can not be the zero polynomial

Suppose $deg(f) = n \ge 1$ (1)

Now, consider $\frac{1}{f(x)^2}$,

Clearly, $\frac{1}{f(x)^2} \neq 0$, So $\frac{1}{f^2}$ is not the zero polynomial

$$\implies \deg\left(\frac{1}{f^2}\right) = m \ge 0$$

 $\therefore \deg(f_1 f_2) = \deg(f_1) + \deg(f_2),$

Using (1),

- $\implies \deg(f^2) = 2n$
- $\Longrightarrow \deg\left(f^2 \times \frac{1}{f^2}\right) = \deg(f^2) + \deg\left(\frac{1}{f^2}\right) = 2n + m \ge 2 + 0 = 2,$

but since f is never 0, $f^2 \times \frac{1}{f^2} = 1$, and $\deg(1) = 0$

- \implies 1 is contradicted!
- \implies deg(f)<1, and since f is not the zero polynomial \implies deg(f) = 0

Proved

By the quadratic formula, the roots of a quadratic equation $ax^2+bx+c=0$ are given as: $x_{1,2}=\frac{-b\pm\sqrt{b^2-4ac}}{2a}$

If one root is rational, say equal to rational number k, then:

(suppose the plus case is rational)

$$\frac{-b+\sqrt{b^2-4ac}}{2a} = k \implies \sqrt{b^2-4ac} = 2a \cdot k + b$$

: 2, a, b and k are rationals, 2ak+b is also a rational

$$\implies \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}$$
 is rational.

$$\implies -b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}$$
 is rational $\implies \frac{-b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$ is rational.

The minus case can be proved similarly

This means, if one root of a quadratic equation is rational, then both of them must be rational.

Now, suppose for a generic polynomial $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$, x=1 is a root,

 \therefore 1 is rational, the other root must also be rational. As 1 is a root, a+b+c=0, so any set of coefficients a,b,c such that

a+b+c=0 and $a,b,c\neq 0$ (to preserve quadratic nature in case of rearrangement), are valid answers.

Five such sets are:

$$\{1, 1, -2\}$$
, $\{1, 2, -3\}$, $\{1, 5, -6\}$, $\{3, -1, -2\}$, $\{11, 11, -22\}$



Let p be an integer and let $S = \{x : gcd(x, p) = 1\}$ (set of all positive integers coprime to p).

Claim 1: S contains infinitely many elements

Proof: All integers z = np + 1 where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are in S, so S has infinitely many elements. Now, let g(x) = f(x) - p

Claim 2: g(x) has infintely many roots

Proof: Note that for any integer $q \in S$, $g\left(\frac{p^2}{q^2}\right) = f\left(\frac{p^2}{q^2}\right) - p = p - p = 0$. Since, there are infinitely many integers in S, so g(x) has infinitely many roots.

Since g(x) has infinitely many roots, so it must be a constant function otherwise it will have infinite degree. So, $f(x) = p \ \forall x$, but $f(q^2/p^2) = q$. This contradicts the given facts. So, there is no such polynomial f(x). Hence, disproved.



Let $f(x) = P(x) - 5 \Rightarrow a$, b, c, d are the roots of f(x) $\Rightarrow P(x) = g(x)(x-a)(x-b)(x-c)(x-d) + 5$ for some polynomial g(x). Substituting x = k we get, P(k) = g(k)(k-a)(k-b)(k-c)(k-d) + 5 $\Rightarrow 8 = g(k)(k-a)(k-b)(k-c)(k-d) + 5 \Rightarrow 3 = g(k)(k-a)(k-b)(k-c)(k-d)$ Now, P(x) has only integer coefficients and all of g(k), (k-a), (k-b), (k-c), (k-d)are integers. So, one of them will be equal to ± 3 and rest all will be ± 1 . Now, this is noly possible if at least two factors of the form k-x are equal to either 1 or -1. Without loss of generality let us assume $k-a=k-b \Rightarrow a=b$. But this would be a contradiction as a, b, c, d are all distinct. So, there is no such integer k.

Let $f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 \Rightarrow f(1) = a_n + a_{n-1} + \dots + a_1 + a_0$ $f(f(n) + 1) = a_n (f(n) + 1)^n + a_{n-1} (f(n) + 1)^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 (f(n) + 1) + a_0$ $\Rightarrow f(f(n) + 1) = k f(n) + a_n + a_{n-1} + \dots + a_1 + a_0 = k f(n) + f(1) \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}$ $\Rightarrow f(f(n) + 1) = f(1) \mod f(n).$ So, for f(n) to divide f(f(n) + 1), f(n) must divide f(1).

For n = 1, f(n) = f(1). So, f(n) divides f(f(n) + 1) in this case.

Now, if f(n) divides $f(f(n) + 1) \Rightarrow f(n)$ divides f(1). Also, note that because f(x) has all positive coefficients, so $f(n) > f(1) \, \forall \, n > 1$. Thus for any n > 1, $f(n) > f(1) \Rightarrow f(n)$ cannot divide f(1).

Thus, f(n) divides $f(f(n) + 1) \Rightarrow n = 1$.



Note that all such polynomials f(x) will have a positive leading coefficient because if f(x) has a negative leading coefficient then $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) + f'(x) < 0$.

Also note that all such f(x) will have even degree because if f(x) has odd degree then $\lim_{x\to-\infty} f(x) + f'(x) < 0$.

Since, f(x) has even degree, so it must have even number of real roots. Let α , β , ... be the real roots of $f(x) \Rightarrow f'(\alpha) > 0$ and $f'(\beta) > 0$ and so on (because $f(\alpha) = f(\beta) = \cdots = 0$). But this implies that for every real zero of f(x), f(x) goes from negative to positive value, but to go from negative to positive value, we first need to go to some negative value. Now, this is a contradiction as this implies that at some zero, we will be going from positive to negative value.

Thus, f(x) must have no real roots.

Now, $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) > 0$ and f(x) has no real roots, so, f(x) is always positive(always above the x-axis).

