

UTRECHT UNIVERSITY

TOPOLOGY OF WEYL-SEMIMETALS

with non-orientable Brillouin zones

by

Thijs DOUWES

A THESIS

Submitted to the Department of Physics
in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of

Master of Science

under the joint supervision of

Project supervisor

Prof. Cristiane DE MORAIS SMITH
Department of Physics

Direct supervisor

Dr. Marcus STÅLHAMMAR
Department of Physics

October 2024

Abstract

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Main results	2
1.2	Overview	2
1.3	Prerequisites	2
1.4	Notational conventions	2
2	Topological states of matter	3
2.1	One-dimensional models	3
2.1.1	The Su–Schrieffer–Heeger model	3
2.1.2	The Kitaev chain	3
2.2	Two-dimensional models	3
2.2.1	The Kane–Mele model	3
2.2.2	Quantum Hall effect	3
3	Weyl semimetals	4
3.1	Physics perspective	4
3.2	Mathematics perspective	4
4	Non-orientable manifolds	5
4.1	Mathematical exploration	5
4.2	Physical implications	6
APPENDICES		
A	Homology and cohomology	7

Chapter 1

Introduction

Example citation.[Fon+24] Example expanded citation.[MT17, Remark 3.8]

1.1 Main results

1.2 Overview

1.3 Prerequisites

1.4 Notational conventions

Chapter 2

Topological states of matter & symmetries

Write intro when chapter is more complete

2.1 One-dimensional models

2.1.1 The Su–Schrieffer–Heeger model

2.1.2 The Kitaev chain

2.2 Two-dimensional models

2.2.1 The Kane–Mele model

2.2.2 Quantum Hall effect

Chapter 3

Weyl semimetals

3.1 Physics perspective

3.2 Mathematics perspective

Chapter 4

Non-orientable manifolds

4.1 Mathematical exploration

Concepts explored in personal notes so far:

- Calculations of (co)homology and semimetal MV sequence for manifolds in ≥ 2 dimensions:

All compact surfaces without boundary, i.e. the surfaces M_g and N_g

All spaces of the form $M = K^2 \times \mathbb{T}^{d-2}$

- The map $\Sigma : H^{d-1}(\bigsqcup_k S^{d-1}) \rightarrow H^d(M)$ in the semimetal MV has a clear interpretation in terms of total charge in the (orientable) $d = 3$ case. This would provide a clear picture of the total charge cancellation in the orientable case ($H^d(M) = \mathbb{Z}$ in general) vs. the mod 2 charge cancellation in the non-orientable case ($H^d(M) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ in general).
- However, Σ and the other maps in the MV sequence are difficult to interpret in the $\chi \neq 0$ case (maybe even generally for odd dimensions). Taking the oriented case as an example, the MV sequence ends as

$$H^{d-1}(M \setminus \Delta) \rightarrow H^{d-1}\left(\bigsqcup_k S^{d-1}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}^k \xrightarrow{\Sigma} H^d(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

so that the “charge configuration” in \mathbb{Z}^k must map to 0 by Σ in order to descend from the semimetal, regardless of whether $\chi = 0$.

- This may imply that the Bloch vector field carries more topological information about the total charge than the MV sequence (which makes sense since it generates *all* homology groups of the valence bundle, and all Betti numbers factor into χ). As a concrete example, consider $M = S^2$ with a single puncture of charge +2. The punctured sphere is topologically a disc, so that the valence bundle must be trivial, while the Bloch vector field is topologically non-trivial in the sense that it has an

index +2 singularity. In addition, all relevant $H_n(A) \oplus H_n(B)$ are zero, so that the semimetal MV reduces to the statement that $H_2(S^2) \cong H_1(S^1)$.

- It may even be the case that the valence bundle cannot be generated from the Bloch vector field in the $d = 2$ case; it's probably worth studying the $d \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ cases (pullback of some universal bundle) to learn more about this. The $d = 3$ case should be especially helpful in understanding how the valence bundle arises from the vector field.
- A complicating factor in the non-orientable case is that the homology groups are different from the cohomology groups, since the torsion moves up one dimension. This makes the homological semimetal MV different from the cohomological one (it's a short exact sequence in $d \geq 3!$), and this leads to additional challenges in interpretation.
- The map $H : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(2)$, $\vec{h} \mapsto \vec{h} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ is an isomorphism of Lie algebras, with the cross product as a Lie bracket on \mathbb{R}^3 . Still the vector field is discontinuous on a non-orientable manifold, while H is not. This suggests an alternative approach for constructing the valence bundle: consider h as a map $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ instead of an element of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$, and then pull back the universal bundle along the unit map $\hat{h} : M \setminus \Delta \rightarrow S^{d-1}$. That is, we detach \vec{h} from the tangent bundle and consider it a more abstract map. An added “benefit” of this is that we lose all coordinate dependence. However, this is also a downside in the sense that the map will not be subject to the same constraints (Poincaré–Hopf etc.) that the vector field is; for example, $S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, $x \mapsto (1, 0)$ is a perfectly valid map that would violate the hairy ball theorem as a vector field (and this is a result of being unable to cover S^2 by a single chart). At this point the question may become more about which description is more physical in nature, and the non-orientable Weyl point paper[Fon+24] seems to imply there may be more to the $h : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ story. It also seems to agree better with the intuition of an applied external potential removing all Weyl nodes – something that's impossible for $\chi \neq 0$ if charge corresponds to vector field index. It also explains how the valence bundle can be trivial on the once punctured S^2 .

4.2 Physical implications

Appendix A

Homology and cohomology

Bibliography

- [Fon+24] André Grossi Fonseca et al. “Weyl Points on Nonorientable Manifolds”. In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 132 (26 June 2024), p. 266601. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.132.266601. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.132.266601>.
- [MT17] Varghese Mathai and Guo Chuan Thiang. “Differential Topology of Semimetals”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 355.2 (July 2017), pp. 561–602. ISSN: 1432-0916. DOI: 10.1007/s00220-017-2965-z. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00220-017-2965-z>.