Behavioral Economics Exercise 4 Time Preferences

経済学研究科 23A18014 Reio TANJI 丹治伶峰

Question 1 (a) Solve by backward induction.

• Period 2

By $\beta = \delta = 1$, t = 2 agent's intertemporal utility is :

$$-c(e_2) + B(e_1, e_2)$$
 where e_1 is given

Then, the best-response of the t=2 agent, depending on the parameters is derived as follows:

If
$$B \ge kc \Leftrightarrow c \le \frac{B}{k}$$

$$BR(e_1) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } e_1 = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 2 \end{cases}$$

and if $kc > B > 2c \Leftrightarrow c > \frac{B}{k}$

$$BR(e_1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 0, 2 \end{cases}$$

• Period 1

Now by $\beta = \delta = 1$, t = 1 agent's intertemporal utility is:

$$-c(e_1) - c(BR(e_1)) + B(e_1, BR(e_1))$$

By the assumption kc > 2c and B > 2c and the derived best-response of the t = 2 agent, e_1 is the dominant strategy for each case of the parameters.

Therefore, the perception-perfect equilibria is :

If
$$c \le \frac{B}{k}$$

$$(e_1^*, e_2^*) = (1, e_2)$$
where $e_2 = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } e_1 = 0\\ 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1\\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 2 \end{cases}$

If
$$c > \frac{B}{k}$$

$$(e_1^*, e_2^*) = (1, e_2)$$
where $e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 0, 2 \end{cases}$

.....

(b) • Period 2

The intertemporal utility is:

$$-c(e_2) + \beta B(e_1, e_2)$$

- When $e_1 = 0$

For t = 2 agent, $e_2 = 1$ is strictly dominated by $e_2 = 0$, since both of them result in $B(0, e_2) = 0$.

Then, the agent prefer $e_2 = 2$ iff

$$-kc + \beta B \ge 0$$
$$c \le \frac{\beta B}{k}$$

Otherwise, $e_2 = 0$ is chosen.

- When $e_1 = 1$

 $e_2 = 2$ is strictly dominated by $e_2 = 1$, since $e_2 = 1$ is enough to satisfy B(.,.) = B. The agent prefer $e_2 = 1$ iff

$$-c + \beta B \ge 0$$
$$c \le \beta B$$

• Period 1

- When
$$c \le \frac{\beta B}{k}$$

Even if $e_1 = 0$, $t = 2$ agent choose $e_2 = 2$ and so $B(e_1, e_2) = B$ realizes.
Then, $t = 1$ agent prefer $e_1 = 2$ to $e_1 = 0$ iff

$$(1-\beta)kc \leq 0$$

which never hold since β < 1, so e_1 = 2 is strictly dominated by e_1 = 0. Then, $e_1 = 1$ is preferred iff

$$-c - \beta c + \beta B \ge -kc + \beta B$$
$$(1 + \beta - \beta k)c \le 0$$
which holds iff $k \ge \frac{1 + \beta}{\beta}$

Otherwise,
$$e_1=0$$
 is chosen.
- When $\frac{\beta B}{k} < c \le \beta B$
 $e_2=2$ is never chosen, which implies that if $e_1=0$, $B(.,.)=0$.
 $t=1$ agent prefer $e_1=2$ to $e_1=0$ iff

$$-kc + \beta B \ge 0$$
$$c \le \frac{\beta B}{k}$$

which never holds, by $c>\frac{\beta B}{k}$. $e_1=1$ is preferred to $e_1=0$ iff

$$-c - \beta c + \beta B \ge 0$$
$$c \le \frac{\beta B}{1 + \beta}$$

Note that $\left(\frac{\beta B}{k}, \frac{\beta B}{1+\beta}\right]$ is not empty interval.

$$-\beta B < c$$

Both t = 1, 2 agent choose $e_t = 0$, rather than any positive e_t .

Therefore, the perception-perfect equilibria is:

$$(e_1^*, e_2^*) = (e_1, e_2)$$

If
$$(c \leq \frac{\beta B}{k}) \wedge (k \geq \frac{1+\beta}{\beta})$$
,

$$e_1 = 1$$
 $e_2 = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } e_1 = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

If
$$(c \leq \frac{\beta B}{k}) \wedge (k < \frac{1+\beta}{\beta})$$
,

$$e_1 = 0$$

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } e_1 = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If
$$c \in (\frac{\beta B}{k}, \frac{\beta B}{1+\beta})$$
,

$$e_1 = 1$$

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If $\beta B < c$,

$$e_1 = 0$$
$$e_2 = 0$$

......

(c) t=2 agent decides her/his behavior with $\beta<1$, while t=1 agent predicts it with $\hat{\beta}=1$. Then, the agent's prediction and the acual strategy depending on the parameters are summerized as follows:

Parametrical condition					
Belief	Actual choice	e_1	$BR(e_1)$		
$c \leq \frac{B}{k}$	$c \le \frac{\beta B}{k}$	0	2		
		1	1		
		2	0		
$\frac{B}{k} < c \le B$	$\frac{\beta B}{k} < c \le \beta B$	1	1		
,,		0,2	0		
B < c	$\beta B < c$	any	0		

If $k \leq \frac{1}{\beta}$ holds, then $\frac{B}{k} \leq \beta B$; otherwise, $\frac{B}{k} \leq \beta B$.

•
$$k \ge \frac{1}{\beta}$$

i.
$$c \leq \frac{\beta}{k}$$

Same as (b), t=1 agent choose $e_1=1$ with belief that t=2 agent choose the following strategy

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } e_1 = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 2 \end{cases}$$

iff

$$k \ge \frac{1+\beta}{\beta}$$

and by $c \leq \frac{\beta}{k}$, the strategy above is realized as perception-perfect equilibrium.

If $c > \frac{\beta}{k}$, then t = 1 agent choose $e_1 = 0$, and the strategy of t = 2 agent is same, and this is perception-perfect equilibrium.

ii.
$$\frac{\beta B}{k} < c \le \frac{B}{k}$$

By $c < \frac{B}{k}$, t = 1 agent predicts that t = 2 agent choose the following strategy:

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } e_1 = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 2 \end{cases}$$

The realized strategy of t = 2 agent, however, is below:

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1\\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 0, 2 \end{cases}$$

Thus, there does not exist any perception-perfect equilibrium.

iii.
$$\frac{B}{k} < c \le \beta B$$

iii. $\frac{B}{k} < c \le \beta B$ t=1 agent predicts t=2 agent's strategy as follows, and it will be realized.

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1\\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 0, 2 \end{cases}$$

 $(e_1 = 0) \succsim (e_1 = 2)$, since

$$0 \ge -kc + \beta B$$
$$c \ge \frac{\beta B}{k}$$

and
$$\frac{B}{k} > \frac{\beta B}{k}$$
.

and $\frac{B}{k} > \frac{\beta B}{k}$. t=1 agent prefer $e_1=1$ to $e_1=0$ iff

$$-c - \beta c + B \ge 0$$
$$c \le \frac{B}{1+B}$$

$$\frac{B}{1+\beta} < \beta B \text{ if } \frac{1}{1+\beta} < \beta.$$

A.
$$\frac{1}{1+\beta} < \beta$$

A. $\frac{1}{1+\beta}<\beta$ The perception-perfect equilibrium depends on the parameter. If $\frac{B}{k}< c \leq \frac{B}{1+\beta}$,

If
$$\frac{B}{k} < c \le \frac{B}{1+\beta}$$
,

$$t = 1$$
 agent choose $e_1 = 1$, and $e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 0, 2 \end{cases}$.

Then, if
$$\frac{B}{1+\beta} < c \le \beta B$$
,

$$e_1 = 0$$
 and $e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 0, 2 \end{cases}$.

B.
$$\frac{1}{1+\beta} \ge \beta$$

Then, for
$$\frac{B}{k} < c \le \beta B$$
,

$$t = 1$$
 agent choose $e_1 = 1$, and $e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 0, 2 \end{cases}$.

iv.
$$\beta B < c \le \frac{B}{2}$$

 $t = 1$ agent predicts $t = 2$ strategy as:

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1\\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 0, 2 \end{cases}$$

While the realized strategy is $e_2 = 0$ for any e_1 . Thus, there is no perception-perfect equilibrium.

equilibrium.
$$\bullet \ k < \frac{1}{\beta}$$
 i. $c \leq \frac{\beta B}{k}$ $t = 1$ agent's belief :

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } e_1 = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 2 \end{cases}$$

which realizes in period 2. Then, the perception-perfect equilibrium is :

$$e_1 = 1 \text{ and } e_2 = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } e_1 = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \text{. if } k \ge \frac{1+\beta}{\beta} \end{cases}$$

$$e_1 = 0 \text{ and } e_2 = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } e_1 = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 2 \end{cases}$$

$$e_1 = 0 \text{ and } e_2 = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } e_1 = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \text{. if } k < \frac{1+\beta}{\beta} \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 2 \end{cases}$$

ii.
$$\frac{\beta B}{k} < c \le \beta B$$

 $t = 1$ agent's belief is

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } e_1 = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 2 \end{cases}$$

while t = 2 agent's strategy is

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1\\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 0, 2 \end{cases}$$

Thus, there is no perception-perfect equilibrium.

iii.
$$\beta B < c \le \frac{\beta B}{k}$$

iii. $\beta B < c \leq \frac{\beta B}{k}$ t=1 agent predicts t=2 agent's strategy:

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } e_1 = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 2 \end{cases}$$

t = 2 agent's strategy is, however,

$$e_2 = 0$$
 for any e_1

Again, no perception-perfect equilibrium occurs. iv. $\frac{\beta B}{k} < c \leq \frac{B}{2}$ t=1 agent's belief :

iv.
$$\frac{\beta B}{k} < c \le \frac{B}{2}$$

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1\\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 0, 2 \end{cases}$$

while $e_2 = 0$ for any e_1 in period 2, which indicates there is no perception-perfect equilibrium.

......

(d) t=2 agent decides her/his behavior with $\beta<1$, while t=1 agent predicts it with $\hat{\beta}\in$ $(\beta, 1)$. Then, the agent's prediction and the acual strategy depending on the parameters are summerized as follows:

Parametrical condition					
Belief	Actual choice	e_1	$BR(e_1)$		
$c \le \frac{\hat{\beta}B}{k}$	$c \le \frac{\beta B}{k}$	0	2		
		1	1		
		2	0		
$\frac{\hat{\beta}B}{k} < c \le \hat{\beta}B$	$\frac{\beta B}{k} < c \le \beta B$	1	1		
		0,2	0		
$\hat{eta}B < c$	$\beta B < c$	any	0		

If
$$k \ge \frac{\hat{\beta}}{\beta}$$
, then $\beta B \ge \frac{\hat{\beta}B}{k}$; otherwise, $\beta B < \frac{\hat{\beta}B}{k}$.

•
$$k \ge \frac{\hat{\beta}}{\beta}$$

i. $c \le \frac{\beta B}{k}$
 $t = 1$ agent's belief is

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } e_1 = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 2 \end{cases}$$

and by $c \leq \frac{\beta B}{k}$, her/his belief is true.

t=1 agent choose $e_1=1$ iff $k\geq \frac{1+\hat{\beta}}{\hat{\beta}}$, and otherwise $e_1=0$, both of them being the perception-perfect equilibrium in each case.

ii.
$$\frac{\beta B}{k} < c \le \frac{\hat{\beta} B}{k}$$

By $c < \frac{B}{k}$, $t = 1$ agent predicts that $t = 2$ agent choose the following strategy:

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } e_1 = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 2 \end{cases}$$

The realized strategy of t = 2 agent, however, is below:

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1\\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 0, 2 \end{cases}$$

Thus, there does not exist any perception-perfect equilibrium.

iii.
$$\frac{\hat{\beta}B}{k} < c \le \beta B$$
 $t=1$ agent predicts $t=2$ agent's strategy as follows, and it will be realized.

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1\\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 0, 2 \end{cases}$$

$$(e_1 = 0) \succsim (e_1 = 2)$$
, since

$$0 \ge -kc + \beta B$$
$$c \ge \frac{\beta B}{k}$$

and
$$\frac{B}{k} > \frac{\beta B}{k}$$
.

t = 1 agent prefer $e_1 = 1$ to $e_1 = 0$ iff

$$-c - \beta c + B \ge 0$$
$$c \le \frac{B}{1+\beta}$$

Note that
$$\frac{\beta B}{k} < \frac{\hat{\beta}B}{k}$$
.
 $\frac{B}{1+\hat{\beta}} < \beta B \text{ if } \frac{1}{1+\hat{\beta}} < \beta.$

A.
$$\frac{1}{1+\hat{\beta}} < \beta$$

The perception-perfect equilibrium depends on the parameter. If $\frac{B}{k} < c \le \frac{B}{1+\hat{\beta}}$,

If
$$\frac{B}{k} < c \le \frac{B}{1+\hat{\beta}}$$
,

$$t = 1$$
 agent choose $e_1 = 1$, and $e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 0, 2 \end{cases}$.

Then, if
$$\frac{B}{1+\hat{\beta}} < c \le \beta B$$
,

$$e_1 = 0$$
 and $e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 0, 2 \end{cases}$.

B.
$$\frac{1}{1+\hat{\beta}} \ge \beta$$

Then, for $\frac{\hat{\beta}B}{k} < c \le \beta B$,

$$t = 1$$
 agent choose $e_1 = 1$, and $e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 0, 2 \end{cases}$.

iv.
$$\beta B < c \leq \hat{\beta} B$$

t = 1 agent predicts t = 2 strategy as :

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1\\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 0, 2 \end{cases}$$

While the realized strategy is $e_2 = 0$ for any e_1 . Thus, there is no perception-perfect equilibrium.

v.
$$\hat{\beta}B < c$$

t = 1 agent's belief is $e_2 = 0$ for any e_1 , which is true. Therefore, there exists perception-perfect equilibrium s.t.

$$e_1 = 0$$
, and $e_2 = 0$ for all e_1

•
$$k < \frac{\hat{\beta}}{\beta}$$

i. $c \le \frac{\beta B}{k}$

Conditions are exactly same as i. in $k \ge \frac{\hat{\beta}}{\beta}$, so same equilibrium is derived.

ii.
$$\frac{\beta B}{k} < c \le \beta B$$

 $t = 1$ agent's belief is

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } e_1 = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 2 \end{cases}$$

while t = 2 agent's strategy is

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 0, 2 \end{cases}$$

Thus, there is no perception-perfect equilibrium.

iii.
$$\beta B < c \le \frac{\hat{\beta}B}{k}$$

iii. $\beta B < c \le \frac{\hat{\beta} B}{k}$ t=1 agent predicts t=2 agent's strategy:

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } e_1 = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 2 \end{cases}$$

t = 2 agent's strategy is, however,

$$e_2 = 0$$
 for any e_1

Again, no perception-perfect equilibrium occurs. iv.
$$\frac{\hat{\beta}B}{k} < c \leq \hat{\beta}B$$
 $t=1$ agent's belief :

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e_1 = 0, 2 \end{cases}$$

while $e_2 = 0$ for any e_1 in period 2, which indicates there is no perception-perfect equilibrium.

v.
$$\hat{\beta}B < c$$
,

which is same as v. in $k \ge \frac{\beta}{\beta}$, so again same equilibrium strategy derived.

(e) • Period 2

When $e_1 = 0$, then t = 2 agent has no incentive to take any choice other than $e_2 = 0$, since $B(0, e_2) = 0$ for any e_2 .

When $e_1 = 1$, t = 2 agent prefer $e_2 = 1$ to $e_2 = 0$ iff

$$-c + \beta B \ge 0$$
$$c \le \beta B$$

otherwise, $e_2 = 0$ for any e_1

• Period 1

t = 1 agent predicts her/his behavior in period 2 with $\hat{\beta} \in (\beta, 1)$.

Then, the agent's prediction and the acual strategy depending on the parameters are summerized as follows:

Parametrical condition					
Belief	Actual choice	e_1	$BR(e_1)$		
$c \leq \hat{\beta}B$	$c \leq \beta B$	1	1		
		0	0		
$\hat{\beta}B < c$	$\beta B < c$	any	0,2		

i. $c \leq \beta B$

t = 1 agent predicts her/his strategy as follows:

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In the same way as (b), $e_1 = 2$ is dominated by $e_1 = 0$.

 $e_1 = 1$ is chosen iff $k \ge \frac{1+\hat{\beta}}{\hat{\beta}}$ and then it will be the perception-perfect equilibrium.

Otherwise,
$$k < \frac{1+\hat{\beta}}{\hat{\beta}}$$
, $e_1 = 0$ and $e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

is the perception-perfect equilibrium.

ii. $\beta B < c \leq \hat{\beta} B$

t = 1 agent's belief is:

$$e_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

while, t = 2 agent's strategy is $e_2 = 0$ for any e_1 . Therefore, there exists no perception-perfect equilibrium.

iii.
$$\hat{\beta}B < c < \frac{B}{2}$$

t = 1 agent predicts $e_2 = 0$ for any e_1 , which turn to be true.

Thus, the perception-perfect equilibrium is

$$e_1 = 0$$
, and $e_2 = 0$ for any e_1