

## Machine Learning Sheet 2

1)  $p(x|\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} e^{-\lambda}$ . Give maximum likelihood for  $N$  datapoints  $x_1, \dots, x_N$ .

Maximize Likelihood  $L(\lambda) = \prod_{n=1}^N p(x^{(n)}|\lambda)$  with respect to the parameter  $\lambda$  for the given datapoints.

Since  $L(\lambda) \geq 0$  and  $\log(y)$  is strictly monotonously growing, it is true that:  $L(\lambda)$  maximized  $\Leftrightarrow \log(L(\lambda))$  maximized.

Maximize:  $\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \log(L(\lambda))$

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^N (x^{(n)} \log(\lambda) - \log(x^{(n)}!) - \lambda)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \mathcal{L} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^N \left( \frac{x^{(n)}}{\lambda} - 1 \right) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^N (x^{(n)} - \lambda) = 0 \Leftrightarrow N\lambda = \sum_{n=1}^N x^{(n)}$$

$\Rightarrow$  The best estimate for  $\lambda$  is the mean of  $x_1, \dots, x_N$ .

2) A: Every probability density function must be

a) Normalized.

b)  $p(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x$

Here:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a)} \quad 1 &\stackrel{!}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_2, \sigma_2^2) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

b)  $\mathcal{N} \geq 0 \Rightarrow p \geq 0$

B: For the derivation of the maximum likelihood, <sup>maximum of  $L$</sup>  the consideration of  $\log(L)$  instead of  $L$  has proven to be useful and easier than  $L$  directly. However, in this special case,  $\log(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{N}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{N}_2)$  as the logarithm of a sum is tedious to compute, especially the derivative will produce many terms.