# Startup FAQ / Q&A

Last updated: October 14, 2025

## **General Questions**

#### Q: What problem does your startup solve?

A: Great Wall, the protocol, app and startup solve the terrifyingly rising problem of wrench attakes on custody of crypto assets.

### Q: How is your approach different from competitors?

A: Great Wall is, the first to combine 4 crucial information security properties, namely:

- 1. Devicelessness / Stateless / Kowledge-Based Authentication: AKA KBA. This refers to the attainment of secret at hand, in our case, the private keys of a wallet, is not depending on any physical object except user's own brain, in contrast to PBA (Possession-Based Authentication). Namely, by having access to a piece of information (the 'knowledge', in knowledge-based authentication) that is possible to memorize, user can attain their wallet in any device. There is no particular external device, metal plaque, object, physical address that, user depends on, therefore becoming an additional point of failure;
- 2. **Individual, non-Shared Custody**: This is the foundational premise of Bitcoin. Compromising on that premise negates the core valeu proposal of Bitcoin / crypto. As our saying goes "be your own bank" means you don't rely on anybody else or any company for the custody of your assets.
- 3. Non-Obscurity: This is a direct consequence of one of the most widely accepted paradigms in cryptology and protocol design, the Kerckhoffs's principle. The principle states: 'Knowledge inevitably diffuses, so a security system cannot rely on adversaries' ignorance on how it works, but on the secrecy of each instance.' Put simply: 'A good security system is not one based on a secret trick (that one just hopes adversaries never get to learn), but on the secrecy of each user's keys'.
- 4. Coercion Resistance: It's the main point: to render attempts of using violence or threats thereof on custodians to compel them to give up their stashes (or any private information whatsoever). Not only that, system has to be such that an attacker cannot even threaten the integrity of the user's stash to try to obtain something else.

Q: Why are this specific combination of 4 properties so important?

- A: The importance of that specific combination of properties becomes clear once we analyse the shortcomings of systems lacking or compromising each one individually, as described below:
  - 1. Individual, Non-Obscure, Coercion Resistant, but not KBA: This setup describes solutions that are intensive in physical elements, such as sharding your key and distributing shards accross multiple addresses as well as the elements for physical security of each such address, like physical vaults, surveilance, home defense, alarm, geographic separation, etc. The shortcoming of that approach is the astronomically high costs of setup, maintenance and update. Put simply: 'Bitcoin is reduced to a glorified gold'.
  - 2. **KBA**, **Non-Obscure**, **Coercion Resistant**, **but not Individual**: This setup describes solutions in which owner depends on services of shared custody. As explained above, that completely negates the foundational premise of Bitcoin. Companies offering said services, are themselves liable to state regulations, judicial subpoenas, and are themselves, additional points of failure. Put simply: 'Bitcoin is reduced to a glorified fiat currency';
  - 3. **KBA**, Individual, Coercion Resistant, but based on Obscurity: This setup consist of relying on a critical secret trick that only works in so far adversary is ignorant about it. Many modern 'solutions' unknowingly have that shortcoming, which fundamentally violates the most widely accepted paradim in protocol design: Kerckhoffs' principle more about that in questions about obscurity. Put simply, it is wishing on your lucky start that the bad guys willing to plan and execute an elaborate and risky endeavor as a kidnapping will just never hear about how your James Bond play works. Don't bet yours Sats (or your life) on that!
  - 4. **KBA**, **Individual**, **Non-Obscure**, **but Coercion Vulnerable**: This is, basically, vanilla self-custody. Having your keys, but not doing anything in particular to defend them against wrench attacks. "We are still early", the saying goes. For purposes of going by as a needle in a haystack to avoid being attacked, not so much anymore, and it will only get worse!

Combining the aforementioned 4 properties literally means that "1) it's all in your head; 2) in nobody else's; 3) attackers are aware of that; and 4) they are unable to coerce you into giving up said knowledge." By logic, this can only be possible if said knowledge is tacit. Hence the jargon Tacit Knowledge-Based Authentication TKBA to the innovation.

#### Q: What exactly does client pay for?

A: Client does **not** pay for <del>custody</del> in any definition. In one sentence: if clients does setup today and company disapears tomorrow, clients still has as much access to their

stash as right after setup. The catch is that running the entire process offline, though possible, is typically very inconvenient. For a small price, user can render their UX much more **convenient**.

# **Technical Questions**

Q: How	can	user	buy	improvement	in	convenience	without	compromising
security	prop	erties	s or t	rue self-custo	ly?			
<i>A:</i>								

Q: Wouldn't a frustrated / distrusting / uneducated wrench-attacker, then brutalize their victims? How to solve that?

A:

Q: It seems like there is an obvious single point of failure in user forgetting either inputs to their setup. How to fix it?

A:

Q: Is the expected UX, by itself, not too tiresome?

A:

Q: What is so bad about obscurity, really? How to avoid it? Can you provide examples?

*A* :

Q: Can the system be used for other currencies?

*A* :

#### **Business Model**

Q: How this UX improvement purchase happen?

*A*:

Q: How will clients feel about that?

A:

Q: What exactly is the business model?

A:

Q:	Is there any first-mover advantage or lock-in mechanism?
<i>A:</i>	Absolutely!
<b>Q:</b> <i>A:</i>	What are the customer acquisition strategies?
<b>Q:</b> <i>A:</i>	Are payments recurrent?
<b>Q:</b> <i>A:</i>	Are there ways to minimize user churn?
<b>Q:</b> <i>A:</i>	Is there synergy among different revenue streams?