## C Pointers and parameter passing

Victor Eijkhout, Susan Lindsey

COE 322 Fall 2020



Pointers and addresses



### C and F pointers

C++ and Fortran have a clean reference/pointer concept: a reference or pointer is an 'alias' of the original object

C/C++ also has a very basic pointer concept: a pointer is the address of some object (including pointers)

If you're writing C++ you should not use it. if you write C, you'd better understand it.



### **Memory addresses**

If you have an int i, then &i is the address of i.

An address is a (long) integer, denoting a memory address. Usually it is rendered in hexadecimal notation. C style:

Output

```
[pointer] printfpoint:
int i;
printf("address of i: %ld\n",
                                          address of i: 140732739010196
       (long)(&i));
                                           same in hex: 7ffee4eabe94
printf(" same in hex: %lx\n",
       (long)(&i));
and C++:
Code:
                                          Output
                                          [pointer] coutpoint:
int i:
cout << "address of i, decimal: "</pre>
                                          address of i, decimal: 14073289
     << (long)&i << endl;
                                          address of i, hex : 0x7ffeee
cout << "address of i, hex</pre>
     << std::hex << &i << endl;
```



Code:

# Address types

```
The type of '&i' is int*, pronounced 'int-star', or more formally: 'pointer-to-int'.
```

You can create variables of this type:

```
int i;
int* addr = &i;
```



### **Dereferencing**

Using \*addr 'dereferences' the pointer: gives the thing it points to; the value of what is in the memory location.

#### Code:


```
int i;
int* addr = &i;
i = 5;
cout << *addr << endl;
i = 6;
cout << *addr << endl;</pre>
```

# Output [pointer] cintpointer:

```
5
6
```




### illustration





### illustration





### Star stuff

#### Equivalent:

- int\* addr: addr is an int-star, or
- int \*addr: \*addr is an int.



Addresses and parameter passing



### C++ pass by reference

C++ style functions that alter their arguments:

```
void inc(int &i) { i += 1; }
int main() {
  int i=1;
  inc(i);
  cout << i << endl;
  return 0;
}</pre>
```



### C-style pass by reference

In C you can not pass-by-reference like this. Instead, you pass the address of the variable *i* by value:

```
void inc(int *i) { *i += 1; }
int main() {
  int i=1;
  inc(&i);
  cout << i << endl;
  return 0;
}</pre>
```

Now the function gets an argument that is a memory address: i is an int-star. It then increases \*i, which is an int variable, by one.



#### Exercise 1

Write another version of the swap function:

```
void swapij( /* something with i and j */ {
    /* your code */
}
int main() {
    int i=1, j=2;
    swapij( /* something with i and j */ );
    cout << "check that i is 2: " << i << endl;
    cout << "check that j is 1: " << i << endl;
    return 0;
}</pre>
```

Hint: write C++ code, then insert stars where needed.



## **Arrays and pointers**



### Array and pointer equivalence

Array and memory locations are largely the same:

```
Code:

double array[5] = {11,22,33,44,55};

double *addr_of_second = &(array[1]);

cout << *addr_of_second << endl;

array[1] = 7.77;

cout << *addr of second << endl:
```



### Multi-dimensional arrays



### Multi-dimensional arrays

#### After

```
double x[10][20];
a row x[3] is a double*, so is x a double**?
Was it created as:
double **x = new double*[10];
for (int i=0; i<10; i++)
   x[i] = new double[20];</pre>
```

No: multi-d arrays are contiguous.



### **Dynamic allocation**



### **Problem with static arrays**

```
if ( something ) {
  double ar[25];
} else {
  double ar[26];
}
ar[0] = // there is no array!
```



#### **Declaration and allocation**

```
double *array;
if (something) {
   array = new double[25];
} else {
   array = new double[26];
}

(Size in doubles, not in bytes as in C)
```



#### **De-allocation**

Memory allocated with new does not disappear when you leave a scope. Therefore you have to delete the memory explicitly: delete(array);

The C++ *vector* does not have this problem, because it obeys scope rules.



### Memory leak1

```
void func() {
  double *array = new double[large_number];
  // code that uses array
}
int main() {
  func();
};
```

- The function allocates memory
- After the function ends, there is no way to get at that memory
- $\Rightarrow$  memory leak.



### Memory leaks

```
for (int i=0; i<large_num; i++) {
  double *array = new double[1000];
  // code that uses array
}</pre>
```

Every iteration reserves memory, which is never released: another memory leak.

Your code will run out of memory!

