#### Test-Driven Development (TDD)

Victor Eijkhout, Susan Lindsey

Fall 2022

last formatted: September 9, 2022



#### 1. Dijkstra quote

Today a usual technique is to make a program and then to test it. But: program testing can be a very effective way to show the presence of bugs, but is hopelessly inadequate for showing their absence. (cue laughter)

Still ...



#### Intro to testing



# 2. Types of testing

- Unit tests that test a small part of a program by itself;
- System tests test the correct behavior of the whole software system; and
- Regression tests establish that the behavior of a program has not changed by adding or changing aspects of it.



# 3. Unit testing

- Every part of a program should be testable
- ullet  $\Rightarrow$  good idea to have a function for each bit of functionality
- Positive tests: show that code works when it should
- Negative tests: show that the code fails when it should



### 4. Unit testing

- Every part of a program should be testable
- Do not write the tests after the program: write tests while you develop the program.
- Test-driven development:
  - 1. design functionality
  - 2. write test
  - 3. write code that makes the test work



#### 5. Principles of TDD

Develop code and tests hand-in-hand:

- Both the whole code and its parts should always be testable.
- When extending the code, make only the smallest change that allows for testing.
- With every change, test before and after.
- Assure correctness before adding new features.



# 6. Unit testing frameworks

Testing is important, so there is much software to assist you.

Popular choice with C++ programmers: Catch2

https://github.com/catchorg



#### 7. Toy example

Function and tester:

```
double f(int n) { return n*n+1; }

#define CATCH_CONFIG_MAIN
#include "catch2/catch_all.hpp"

TEST_CASE( "test that f always returns positive" ) {
  int n=5;
  REQUIRE( f(n)>0 );
}

(accept the define and include as magic)
```



### 8. Compiling toy example

```
icpc -o tdd tdd.cxx \
   -I${TACC_CATCH2_INC} -L${TACC_CATCH2_LIB} \
   -1Catch2Main -1Catch2
```

• Files:

```
icpc -o tdd tdd.cxx
```

Path to include and library files:

```
-I${TACC_CATCH2_INC} -L${TACC_CATCH2_LIB}
```

• Libraries:

```
-1Catch2Main -1Catch2
```

Make a script file!



# **Exercise 1: Extend the toy example**

1. Write a function

```
double f(int n) \{ /* .... */ \}
that takes on positive values only.
```

2. Write a unit test that tests the function for a number of values.

You can base this off the file tdd.cxx in the repository



#### 9. Slightly realistic example

We want a function that

- only works for positive inputs, throws an exception otherwise;
- for positive inputs returns input +1.


#### Program that uses this:

Note the include file!



#### 10. Realistic setup

- All program functionality in a 'library' file
- Main program really short
- Tester file with only tests.
- (Tester also needs the catch2 stuff included)





#### **Exercise 2: File structure**

#### Make three files:

- 1. Include file with the functions.
- 2. Main program that uses the functions.
- 3. Tester main file, contents to be determined.



#### 11. Function to be developed

File functions.h contains the function.

We know the structure:

```
int increment_positive_only( int i ) {
    // this function returns one more than the input
    // input has to be positive, error otherwise
    /* ... */
}
```

function body to be developed, for now: return 0;



### 12. Functionality testing

File tester.cxx:

Same include file for the functionality; the testing framework creates its own main.

```
#include "functions.h"

#define CATCH_CONFIG_MAIN
#include "catch2/catch_all.hpp"

TEST_CASE( "test the increment function" ) {
   /* ... */
}
```



### 13. Correctness through 'require' clause

```
Tests go in tester.cxx:
TEST_CASE( "test that f always returns positive" ) {
  for (int n=0; n<1000; n++)
     REQUIRE( f(n)>0 );
}
```

- TEST\_CASE acts like independent main program.
   can have multiple cases in a tester file
- REQUIRE is like assert but more sophisticated



#### 14. Tests

#### Boolean:

```
REQUIRE( some_test(some_input) );
REQUIRE( not some_test(other_input) );
Integer:
REQUIRE( integer_function(1)==3 );
REQUIRE( integer_function(1)!=0 );
Beware floating point:
REQUIRE( real_function(1.5)==Catch::Approx(3.0) );
REQUIRE( real_function(1)!=Catch::Approx(1.0) );
```

In general exact tests don't work.



# 15. Output for failing tests

Run the tester:

```
test the increment function
test.cxx:25
test.cxx:29: FATLED:
  REQUIRE( increment_positive_only(i)==i+1 )
with expansion:
  1 == 2
test cases: 1 | 1 failed
assertions: 1 | 1 failed
```



# 16. Diagnostic information for failing tests

INFO: print out information at a failing test

```
TEST_CASE( "test that f always returns positive" ) {
  for (int n=0; n<1000; n++)
    INFO( "function fails for " << n );
    REQUIRE( f(n)>0 );
}
```



#### **Exercise 3: Positive tests**

Continue with the example of slide 12: add a positive TEST\_CASE

```
for (int i=1; i<10; i++)
  REQUIRE( increment_positive_only(i)==i+1 );</pre>
```

Make the function satisfy this test.



#### 17. Test for exceptions

Suppose function g(n)

```
 succeeds for input n > 0
```

 fails for input n ≤ 0: throws exception

```
TEST_CASE( "test that g only works for positive" ) {
  for (int n=-100; n<+100; n++)
    if (n<=0)
        REQUIRE_THROWS( g(n) );
    else
        REQUIRE_NOTHROW( g(n) );
}</pre>
```



# **Exercise 4: Negative tests**

Make sure your function throws an exception at illegal inputs:

```
for (int i=0; i>-10; i--)
   REQUIRE_THROWS( increment_positive_only(i) );
```



#### 18. Tests with code in common

Use SECTION if tests have intro/outtro in common:

```
TEST_CASE( "commonalities" ) {
 // common setup:
 double x, y, z;
 REQUIRE_NOTHROW(y = f(x));
 // two independent tests:
 SECTION( "g function" ) {
    REQUIRE_NOTHROW(z = g(y));
 SECTION( "h function" ) {
    REQUIRE_NOTHROW(z = h(y));
 // common followup
 REQUIRE( z>x );
```


(sometimes called setup/teardown)



#### **TDD** example: Bisection



# 19. Root finding by bisection



• Start with bounds where the function has opposite signs.

$$x_{-} < x_{+}, \qquad f(x_{-}) \cdot f(x_{+}) < 0,$$

- Find the mid point;
- Adjust either left or right bound.



# 20. Coefficient handling

$$f(x) = c_0 x^d + c_1 x^{d-1} \cdots + c_{d-1} x^1 + c_d$$

We implement this by storing the coefficients in a *vector*<double>. Proper:

```
TEST_CASE( "coefficients represent polynomial" "[1]") {
  vector<double> coefficients = { 1.5, 0., -3 };
  REQUIRE( coefficients.size()>0 );
  REQUIRE( coefficients.front()!=0. );
}
```



### **Exercise 5: One test for properness**

Write a function *is\_proper\_polynomial* as described, and write unit tests for it, both passing and failing:

```
vector<double> good = /* proper coeficients */;
REQUIRE( is_proper_polynomial(good) );
vector<double> notso = /* improper coeficients */;
REQUIRE( not is_proper_polynomial(good) );
```



# 21. Handy shortcut

```
Are you getting tired of typing vector<double>?
```

```
using polynomial = vector<double>;
```

somewhere high in your file.



#### 22. Test on polynomials evaluation

Next we need to evaluate polynomials.

Equality testing on floating point is dangerous:

```
use Catch::Approx(sb)
polynomial second{2,0,1};
// correct interpretation: 2x^2 + 1
REQUIRE( is_proper_polynomial(second) );
REQUIRE( evaluate_at(second,2) == Catch::Approx(9) );
// wrong interpretation: 1x^2 + 2
REQUIRE( evaluate_at(second,2) != Catch::Approx(6) );
```



# **Exercise 6: Implementation**

Write a function evaluate\_at which computes

$$y \leftarrow f(x)$$
.

and confirm that it passes the above tests.

```
double evaluate_at( polynomial coefficients,double x);
```

For bonus points, look up Horner's rule and implement it.



# 23. Odd degree polynomials only

With odd degree you can always find bounds  $x_-, x_+$ . For this exercise we reject even degree polynomials:

```
if ( not is_odd(coefficients) ) {
   cout << "This program only works for odd-degree polynomials\n";
   exit(1);
}</pre>
```

This test will be used later; first we need to implement it.



# Exercise 7: Odd degree testing

Implement the is\_odd test.

Gain confidence by unit testing:

```
polynomial second{2,0,1}; // 2x^2 + 1
REQUIRE( not is_odd(second) );
polynomial third{3,2,0,1}; // 3x^3 + 2x^2 + 1
REQUIRE( is_odd(third) );
```



#### 24. Finding initial bounds

We need a function  $find\_initial\_bounds$  which computes  $x_-, x_+$  such that

$$f(x_{-}) < 0 < f(x_{+})$$
 or  $f(x_{+}) < 0 < f(x_{-})$ 

(can you write that more compactly?)

```
void find_initial_bounds
  ( polynomial coefficients,double &left,double &right);
```

Since we reject even degree polynomials, throw an exception for those.



#### **Exercise 8: Test for initial bounds**

#### Unit test:

```
right = left+1;
polynomial second{2,0,1}; // 2x^2 + 1
REQUIRE_THROWS( find_initial_bounds(second,left,right) );
polynomial third{3,2,0,1}; // 3x^3 + 2x^2 + 1
REQUIRE_NOTHROW( find_initial_bounds(third,left,right) );
REQUIRE( left<right );</pre>
```

Can you add a unit test on the left/right values?



#### 25. Move the bounds closer

Root finding iteratively moves the initial bounds closer together:

```
move_bounds_closer(coefficients,left,right);
```

- on input, left<right, and
- on output the same must hold.

Design a test for this function; implement this function.



# 26. Putting it all together

Ultimately we need a top level function

```
double find_zero( polynomial coefficients,double prec );
```

- reject even degree polynomials
- set initial bounds
- move bounds closer until close enough:
   |f(y)| < prec.</li>



# **Exercise 9: Put it all together**

Make this call work:

Design unit tests, including on the precision attained, and make sure your code passes them.



## Turn it in!

 If you think your functions pass all tests, subject them to the tester:

```
coe_bisection yourprogram.cc
where 'yourprogram.cc' stands for the name of your source
file.
```

- The usual flags: -s for submit, -d for debug output, -i for submission as incomplete.
- The tester generates files bisection\_driver1.cxx and such in your directory. You can use these to test partial solutions: icpc -o bisection\_driver bisection\_driver5.cxx ### the These commandlines show up if you use the -d flag.




Eight queens problem by TDD (using objects)



## 27. Problem statement

Can you place eight queens on a chess board so that no pair threatens each other?






# 28. Sort of test-driven development

You will solve the 'eight queens' problem by

- designing tests for the functionality
- then implementing it



## 29. File structure





## 30. Basic object design

Object constructor of an empty board:

```
ChessBoard(int n);
Test how far we are:
int next row to be filled()
First test:
TEST_CASE( "empty board","[1]" ) {
  constexpr int n=10;
  ChessBoard empty(n);
  REQUIRE( empty.next_row_to_be_filled()==0 );
```



# Exercise 10: Board object

Start writing the board class, and make it pass the above test.



## **Exercise 11: Board method**

```
Write a method for placing a queen on the next row,
void place_next_queen_at_column(int i);
and make it pass this test (put this in a TEST_CASE):
REQUIRE_THROWS( empty.place_next_queen_at_column(-1) );
REQUIRE_THROWS( empty.place_next_queen_at_column(n) );
REQUIRE_NOTHROW( empty.place_next_queen_at_column(0) );
REQUIRE( empty.next_row_to_be_filled()==1 );
```



### Exercise 12: Test for collisions

Write a method that tests if a board is collision-free:

```
bool feasible()
```

This test has to work for simple cases to begin with. You can add these lines to the above tests:

```
ChessBoard empty(n);
REQUIRE( empty.feasible() );
ChessBoard one = empty;
one.place_next_queen_at_column(0);
REQUIRE( one.next_row_to_be_filled()==1 );
REQUIRE( one.feasible() );
ChessBoard collide = one;
// place a queen in a 'colliding' location
collide.place_next_queen_at_column(0);
// and test that this is not feasible
REQUIRE( not collide.feasible() );
```



## **Exercise 13: Test full solutions**

Make a second constructor to 'create' solutions:

```
ChessBoard( int n,vector<int> cols );
ChessBoard( vector<int> cols );
```

Now we test small solutions:

```
ChessBoard five( {0,3,1,4,2} );
REQUIRE( five.feasible() );
```



# Exercise 14: No more delay: the hard stuff!

Write a function that takes a partial board, and places the next queen:

```
optional<ChessBoard> place_queens()
```

Test that the last step works:

```
ChessBoard almost( 4, {1,3,0} );
auto solution = almost.place_queens();
REQUIRE( solution.has_value() );
REQUIRE( solution->filled() );
```

Alternative to using optional:

```
bool place_queen( const board& current, board &next );
// true if possible, false is not
```



# Exercise 15: Test that you can find solutions

Test that there are no 3 × 3 solutions:

TEST\_CASE( "no 3x3 solutions","[9]" ) {

ChessBoard three(3);

auto solution = three.place\_queens();

REQUIRE( not solution.has\_value() );
}

but  $4 \times 4$  solutions do exist:

```
TEST_CASE( "there are 4x4 solutions","[10]" ) {
  ChessBoard four(4);
  auto solution = four.place_queens();
  REQUIRE( solution.has_value() );
}
```



#### Turn it in!

 If you think your functions pass all tests, subject them to the tester:

```
coe_queens yourprogram.cc
where 'yourprogram.cc' stands for the name of your source
file.
```

- Is it reporting that your program is correct? If so, do: coe\_queens -s yourprogram.cc where the -s flag stands for 'submit'.
- If you don't manage to get your code working correctly, you can submit as incomplete with coe\_queens -i yourprogram.cc
- If you want feedback on what the tester thinks about your code do coe\_queens -d yourprogram.cc
  - coe\_queens -d yourprogram.co with the -d flag for 'debug.

