Test-Driven Development (TDD)

Victor Eijkhout, Susan Lindsey

Fall 2022

last formatted: September 6, 2022



1. Dijkstra quote

Today a usual technique is to make a program and then to test it. But: program testing can be a very effective way to show the presence of bugs, but is hopelessly inadequate for showing their absence. (cue laughter)

Still ...



2. Types of testing

- Unit tests that test a small part of a program by itself;
- System tests test the correct behavior of the whole software system; and
- Regression tests establish that the behavior of a program has not changed by adding or changing aspects of it.



3. Unit testing

- Every part of a program should be testable
- ullet \Rightarrow good idea to have a function for each bit of functionality
- Positive tests: show that code works when it should
- Negative tests: show that the code fails when it should



4. Unit testing

- Every part of a program should be testable
- Do not write the tests after the program: write tests while you develop the program.
- Test-driven development:
 - 1. design functionality
 - 2. write test
 - 3. write code that makes the test work



5. Principles of TDD

Develop code and tests hand-in-hand:

- Both the whole code and its parts should always be testable.
- When extending the code, make only the smallest change that allows for testing.
- With every change, test before and after.
- Assure correctness before adding new features.



6. Unit testing frameworks

Testing is important, so there is much software to assist you.

Popular choice with C++ programmers: Catch2

https://github.com/catchorg



7. Toy example

Function and tester:

```
double f(int n) { return n*n+1; }

#define CATCH_CONFIG_MAIN
#include "catch2/catch_all.hpp"

TEST_CASE( "test that f always returns positive" ) {
  int n=5;
  REQUIRE( f(n)>0 );
}

(accept the define and include as magic)
```



8. Compiling toy example

```
icpc -o tdd tdd.cxx \
   -I${TACC_CATCH2_INC} -L${TACC_CATCH2_LIB} \
   -1Catch2Main -1Catch2
```

Files:

```
icpc -o tdd tdd.cxx
```

Path to include and library files:

```
-I${TACC_CATCH2_INC} -L${TACC_CATCH2_LIB}
```

• Libraries:

```
-1Catch2Main -1Catch2
```

Make a script file!



Exercise 1: Extend the toy example

1. Write a function

```
double f(int n) \{ /* .... */ \}
that takes on positive values only.
```

2. Write a unit test that tests the function for a number of values.

You can base this off the file tdd.cxx in the repository



9. Slightly realistic example

We want a function that

- only works for positive inputs, throws an exception otherwise;
- for positive inputs returns input +1.


Program that uses this:

Note the include file!



10. Realistic setup

- All program functionality in a 'library' file
- Main program really short
- Tester file with only tests.
- (Tester also needs the catch2 stuff included)





Exercise 2: File structure

Make three files:

- 1. Include file with the functions.
- 2. Main program that uses the functions.
- 3. Tester main file, contents to be determined.



11. Function to be developed

We know the structure:

```
int increment_positive_only( int i ) {
    // this function returns one more than the input
    // input has to be positive, error otherwise
    /* ... */
}
```

function body to be developed,
for now: return 0;



12. Functionality testing

File tester.cxx:

Same include file for the functionality; the testing framework creates its own main.

```
#include "functions.h"

#define CATCH_CONFIG_MAIN
#include "catch2/catch_all.hpp"

TEST_CASE( "test the increment function" ) {
   /* ... */
}
```



13. Correctness through 'require' clause

```
Tests go in tester.cxx:

TEST_CASE( "test that f always returns positive" ) {
  for (int n=0; n<1000; n++)
     REQUIRE( f(n)>0 );
}
```

- TEST_CASE acts like independent program.
- REQUIRE is like assert but more sophisticated
- Can contain (multiple) tests for correctness.



14. Tests

Boolean:

```
REQUIRE( some_test(some_input) );
REQUIRE( not some_test(other_input) );
Integer:
REQUIRE( integer_function(1)==3 );
REQUIRE( integer_function(1)!=0 );
Beware floating point:
REQUIRE( real_function(1.5)==Catch::Approx(3.0) );
REQUIRE( real_function(1)!=Catch::Approx(1.0) );
```

In general exact tests don't work.



15. Output for failing tests

Run the tester:

```
test the increment function
test.cxx:25
test.cxx:29: FATLED:
  REQUIRE( increment_positive_only(i)==i+1 )
with expansion:
  1 == 2
test cases: 1 | 1 failed
assertions: 1 | 1 failed
```



16. Diagnostic information for failing tests

```
INFO: print out information at a failing test
TEST_CASE( "test that f always returns positive" ) {
```

```
for (int n=0; n<1000; n++)
   INFO( "function fails for " << n );
   REQUIRE( f(n)>0 );
}
```



Exercise 3: Positive tests

Continue with the example of slide 11: add a positive TEST_CASE

```
for (int i=1; i<10; i++)
  REQUIRE( increment_positive_only(i)==i+1 );</pre>
```

Make the function satisfy this test.



17. Test for exceptions

Suppose function g(n)

```
 succeeds for input n > 0
```

 fails for input n ≤ 0: throws exception

```
TEST_CASE( "test that g only works for positive" ) {
  for (int n=-100; n<+100; n++)
    if (n<=0)
        REQUIRE_THROWS( g(n) );
    else
        REQUIRE_NOTHROW( g(n) );
}</pre>
```



Exercise 4: Negative tests

Make sure your function throws an exception at illegal inputs:

```
for (int i=0; i>-10; i--)
    REQUIRE_THROWS( increment_positive_only(i) );
```



18. Tests with code in common

Use SECTION if tests have intro/outtro in common:

```
TEST_CASE( "commonalities" ) {
 // common setup:
 double x, y, z;
 REQUIRE_NOTHROW(y = f(x));
 // two independent tests:
 SECTION( "g function" ) {
    REQUIRE_NOTHROW(z = g(y));
 SECTION( "h function" ) {
    REQUIRE_NOTHROW(z = h(y));
 // common followup
 REQUIRE( z>x );
```


(sometimes called setup/teardown)



TDD example: Bisection



19. Root finding by bisection



• Start with bounds where the function has opposite signs.

$$x_{-} < x_{+}, \qquad f(x_{-}) \cdot f(x_{+}) < 0,$$

- Find the mid point;
- Adjust either left or right bound.



20. Coefficient handling

$$f(x) = c_d x^d + \dots + c_1 x^1 + c_0$$

We implement this by storing the coefficients in a *vector*<double>. Proper:

```
TEST_CASE( "coefficients are polynomial","[1]" ) {
  auto coefficients = set_coefficients();
  REQUIRE( coefficients.size()>0 );
  REQUIRE( coefficients.front()!=0. );
}
```



Exercise 5: Proper polynomials

Write a routine set_coefficients that constructs a vector of coefficients:

```
vector<double> coefficients = set_coefficients();
```

and make it satisfy the above conditions.

At first write a hard-coded set of coefficients, then try reading them from the command line.



Exercise 6: One test for properness

Write a function *proper_polynomial* as described, and write unit tests for it, both passing and failing.



21. Test on polynomials evaluation

```
vector<double> second{2,0,1};
// correct interpretation: 2x^2 + 1
REQUIRE( proper_polynomial(second) );
REQUIRE( evaluate_at(second,2) == Catch::Approx(9) );
// wrong interpretation: 1x^2 + 2
REQUIRE( evaluate_at(second,2) != Catch::Approx(6) );
```



Exercise 7: Implementation

Write a function evaluate_at which computes

$$y \leftarrow f(x)$$
.

and confirm that it passes the above tests.

For bonus points, look up Horner's rule and implement it.



Exercise 8: Odd degree polynomials only

With odd degree you can always find bounds x_-, x_+ . Reject even degree polynomials:

```
if ( not is_odd(coefficients) ) {
   cout << "This program only works for odd-degree polynomials\n";
   exit(1);
}</pre>
```

Gain confidence by unit testing:

```
vector<double> second{2,0,1}; // 2x^2 + 1
REQUIRE( not is_odd(second) );
vector<double> third{3,2,0,1}; // 3x^3 + 2x^2 + 1
REQUIRE( is_odd(third) );
```



Exercise 9: Find bounds

Write a function $find_outer$ which computes x_-, x_+ such that

$$f(x_{-}) < 0 < f(x_{+})$$
 or $f(x_{+}) < 0 < f(x_{-})$

(can you write that more compactly?)
Unit test:

```
right = left+1;
vector<double> second{2,0,1}; // 2x^2 + 1
REQUIRE_THROWS( find_initial_bounds(second,left,right) );
vector<double> third{3,2,0,1}; // 3x^3 + 2x^2 + 1
REQUIRE_NOTHROW( find_initial_bounds(third,left,right) );
REQUIRE( left<right );</pre>
```

How would you test the function values?



Exercise 10: Put it all together

Make this call work:

Add an optional precision argument to the root finding function.

Design unit tests, including on the precision attained, and make sure your code passes them.



Turn it in!

 If you think your functions pass all tests, subject them to the tester:

```
coe_bisection yourprogram.cc
where 'yourprogram.cc' stands for the name of your source
file.
```

- Is it reporting that your program is correct? If so, do: coe_bisection -s yourprogram.cc where the -s flag stands for 'submit'.
- If you don't manage to get your code working correctly, you can submit as incomplete with coe_bisection -i yourprogram.cc
- If you want feedback on what the tester thinks about your code do
 coe_bisection -d yourprogram.cc
 with the -d flag for 'debug.




Eight queens problem



22. Problem statement

Can you place eight queens on a chess board so that no pair threatens each other?






23. Sort of test-driven development

You will solve the 'eight queens' problem by

- designing tests for the functionality
- then implementing it



24. File structure





25. Basic object design

Object constructor of an empty board:

```
ChessBoard(int n);
Test how far we are:
int next row to be filled()
First test:
TEST_CASE( "empty board","[1]" ) {
  constexpr int n=10;
  ChessBoard empty(n);
  REQUIRE( empty.next_row_to_be_filled()==0 );
```



Exercise 11: Board object

Start writing the board class, and make it pass the above test.



Exercise 12: Board method

```
Write a method for placing a queen on the next row,
void place_next_queen_at_column(int i);
and make it pass this test (put this in a TEST_CASE):
REQUIRE_THROWS( empty.place_next_queen_at_column(-1) );
REQUIRE_THROWS( empty.place_next_queen_at_column(n) );
REQUIRE_NOTHROW( empty.place_next_queen_at_column(0) );
REQUIRE( empty.next_row_to_be_filled()==1 );
```



Exercise 13: Test for collisions

Write a method that tests if a board is collision-free:

```
bool feasible()
```

This test has to work for simple cases to begin with. You can add these lines to the above tests:

```
ChessBoard empty(n);
REQUIRE( empty.feasible() );
ChessBoard one = empty;
one.place_next_queen_at_column(0);
REQUIRE( one.next_row_to_be_filled()==1 );
REQUIRE( one.feasible() );
ChessBoard collide = one;
// place a queen in a 'colliding' location
collide.place_next_queen_at_column(0);
// and test that this is not feasible
REQUIRE( not collide.feasible() );
```



Exercise 14: Test full solutions

Make a second constructor to 'create' solutions:

```
ChessBoard( int n,vector<int> cols );
ChessBoard( vector<int> cols );
```

Now we test small solutions:

```
ChessBoard five( {0,3,1,4,2} );
REQUIRE( five.feasible() );
```



Exercise 15: No more delay: the hard stuff!

Write a function that takes a partial board, and places the next queen:

```
optional<ChessBoard> place_queens()
Test that the last step works:
ChessBoard almost (4, \{1,3,0\});
auto solution = almost.place_queens();
REQUIRE( solution.has_value() );
REQUIRE( solution->filled() ):
Alternative to using optional:
bool place_queen( const board& current, board &next );
// true if possible, false is not
```



Exercise 16: Test that you can find solutions

Test that there are no 3 × 3 solutions:

TEST_CASE("no 3x3 solutions","[9]") {

ChessBoard three(3);

auto solution = three.place_queens();

REQUIRE(not solution.has_value());
}

but 4 × 4 solutions do exist:

TEST_CASE("there are 4x4 solutions","[10]") {

ChessBoard four(4);

auto solution = four.place_queens();

REQUIRE(solution.has_value());

