Our goal is to explore various monte carlo method for computing

$$\alpha = \int_0^1 h(x) dx$$

where

$$h(x) = 100 \cdot I_{(0,1/100]}(x) + 1 \cdot I_{(1/100,1)}(x).$$

Of course, the exact value shall be

$$\alpha = 1.98.$$

Pretended not to know the exact value, we have used OMC with exact sampling of uniform random variable, denoted by omc\_integral(n).

1. Find  $F_1$ , the cdf of  $p_1$  given by

$$p_1(x) = \frac{1}{C} (2 \cdot I_{(0,1/100]}(x) + 1 \cdot I_{(1/100,1)}(x)),$$

for some constanst C.

- 2. Find  $F_1^{-1}$ .
- 3. Implement Pseudocode inverse\_transform\_sampling $(F^{-1}, n)$ :
  - Generate iid U(0,1) random variables

$${Y_i : i = 1, \dots, n}.$$

Compute

$${X_i = F^{-1}(Y_i) : i = 1, ..., n}.$$

- 4. Implement Pseudocode importance\_sampling\_integral(n):
  - $\bullet$  Generate iid  $p_1$  samples, denoted by

$${X_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n}.$$

• Compute the average of the integrand h adjusted by likelyhood ratio (also referred to radon-nikodym derivative)  $p/p_1$ , i.e.

$$\bar{\alpha}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(X_i) \cdot \frac{p(X_i)}{p_1(X_i)}.$$

- 5. Demonstrate the convergence rate of the above importance sampling.
- 6. Could you find a pdf  $p_2$  better than  $p_1$ ?