(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization

International Bureau (43) International Publication Date

5 June 2014 (05.06.2014)





(10) International Publication Number WO 2014/083071 A1

(51) International Patent Classification:

A61K 9/00 (2006.01) A61K 31/167 (2006.01) A61K 47/26 (2006.01) A61P 25/04 (2006.01) A61P 29/02 (2006.01) A61K 47/48 (2006.01) A61K 31/135 (2006.01)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/EP2013/074896

(22) International Filing Date:

27 November 2013 (27.11.2013)

(25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

12382465.8 27 November 2012 (27.11.2012) EP

- GENFARMA LABORATORIO, (71) Applicant: [ES/ES]; C/ Cólquide, 6, portal 2, 1ª planta Oficina D, Edificio Prisma, E-28230 Las Rozas, Madrid (ES).
- (72) Inventors: ORTUZAR GUTIERREZ, Mario; C/ Cólquide, 6, portal 2, 1ª planta Oficina D, Edificio Prisma, E-28230 Las Rozas, Madrid (ES). ORTUZAR AN-DECHAGA, Ignacio; C/ Cólquide, 6, portal 2, 1ª planta Oficina D, Edificio Prisma, E-28230 Las Rozas, Madrid (ES).
- Agent: ELZABURU MARQUEZ, Alberto de; c/Miguel Angel 21, E-28010 Madrid (ES).

- (81) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available): AE, AG, AL, AM, AO, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BH, BN, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CL, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DO, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, GT, HN, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IR, IS, JP, KE, KG, KN, KP, KR, KZ, LA, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LY, MA, MD, ME, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, MZ, NA, NG, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PA, PE, PG, PH, PL, PT, QA, RO, RS, RU, RW, SA, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SM, ST, SV, SY, TH, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, ZA, ZM,
- (84) Designated States (unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LR, LS, MW, MZ, NA, RW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, RU, TJ, TM), European (AL, AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HR, HU, IE, IS, IT, LT, LU, LV, MC, MK, MT, NL, NO, PL, PT, RO, RS, SE, SI, SK, SM, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, KM, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

with international search report (Art. 21(3))



INJECTABLE LIQUID FORMULATION OF THE COMBINATION OF TRAMADOL AND PARACETAMOL

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5

10

15

The treatment of pain is an essential role of the doctors due to the fact that pain is one of the main symptoms of the patients, more particularly in patients after surgery. For the treatment of pain, there is a wide range of analgesics to choose, and the final analgesic elected is normally chosen taking into account its efficacy as well as all the aspects related to its safety and adverse effects. Usually, the treatment is in monotherapy, although in many cases the combination of different drugs that act in different targets facilitates the treatment.

Paracetamol, also known as acetaminophen or N-acetyl-p-aminophenol, is an active ingredient that has been widely used in the last decades in pharmaceutical preparations due to its activity as an analgesic and an antipyretic, which was introduced by Von Mering in 1893. It is further well tolerated by human beings and does not alter the acid-base equilibrium, therefore it is widely used to relive mild to moderate pain both in adults and in children and in the elderly. However, it is poorly soluble in water, and for this reason a number of prior art liquid paracetamol solutions need an additional solubilizing adjuvant such as an alcohol like ethanol. Paracetamol does not become habit-forming when taken for a long time, but may cause other unwanted effects with taken in large doses, including liver damage.

25

20

Tramadol ((1R,2R) or (1S, 2S) (dimethylamino)methyl-1-(3-methoxyphenyl) cyclohexanol) belongs to the group of medicines called opioid analgesics (narcotics), acting in the central nervous system to relieve pain. Tramadol is used in the treatment of modere to severe pain. When tramadol is used for a long time, it may become habit-forming, causing mental or physical dependence.

30

In our experience in the area of pain treatment in hospitals and the information received from the specialists, we have detected the need to develop an intravenous analgesic for the treatment of moderate to severe pain that is not a WO 2014/083071 - 2 - PCT/EP2013/074896

morphine derivative due to the risk to use this type of drugs, not only for the addictive action of this component but also because of the long list of adverse reaction this could cause: constipation, nausea, dizziness, vomiting, ...

5

10

15

20

25

30

In the market, combinations of tramadol and paracetamol are present in tablet form. In fact, Mac Neil, the owner of the patent protecting these formulations, granted a licence to Grünenthal, a German pharmaceutical company, that has successfully marketed the tramadol plus paracetamol combinations in tablets (oral administration) but has never marketed so far an intravenous preparation for infusion. However, combinations of tramadol and paracetamol in tablet form usually cannot be used following surgery due to the fact that the patient is not able to swallow oral medicines, and the administration of an analgesic before the effect of the anesthesia vanishes is normally required. Thus, for those cases it is essential to develop an intravenous formulation directed to the combination of tramadol and paracetamol. Although there are presently commercially available intravenous tramadol and intravenous paracetamol as separate solutions, however at this stage there is not available in the market an intravenous solution for infusion containing the combination of both active ingredients.

In the prior art, document WO 93/04675 discloses the combination of tramadol and paracetamol. The experimental tests included in this document have been made in mice, not in humans. Furthermore, the mice are dosed with a combination of paracetamol and tramadol either by oral route or by injection of a solution of the combination of both active substances using distilled water, not water for injection. In addition, the solutions disclosed in this document lack of any of the stabilizing compounds used in the present invention; the only additive used there is Tween 80, a pharmacological dispersant. Thus, it is not apparent from this document whether those formulations could be suitable for intravenous infusion in humans, where these solutions should comply with very strict parameters to be sterile, and moreover is neither apparent whether they could be autoclavable.

In addition, document FR 2 751 875 and its several patent family members such as AU 199739451, disclose methods for obtaining aqueous formulations for paracetamol, optionally including a central nervous system analgesic. However,

WO 2014/083071 - 3 - PCT/EP2013/074896

the aqueous solvent used in these formulations needs to be bubbled into an inert gas (or, in the case of AU2006203741B2, the solution is alternatively placed under vacuum) in order to substantially eliminate any dissolved oxygen from the solvent. In addition, the formulations disclosed therein require additional components such as anti-radical agents or free radical scavengers. Finally, no experimental examples of solutions comprising both paracetamol and tramadol were included in these documents, and consequently it is not clear how solutions comprising paracetamol and tramadol prepared according to these disclosures could react upon autoclaving.

10

15

20

25

30

5

Also, document CN101147731 discloses formulations containing paracetamol and tramadol. However, all the examples refer to either small-capacity vials (3 mL) or to a freeze-dried (lyophilized) powder, which should be dissolved before administration. Therefore, these compositions are not suitable for infusion but, probably, just for intravenous injection as bolus, because intravenous solution in bolus should have a volume lower than 10 mL to allow the drug to act guickly; therefore these solutions should be administered in 1-2 minutes. On the other hand, intravenous infusion is used when the drug is to be administered in more than 10 min, the volume of these solutions being usually higher than 50 mL (intravenous infusion is the usual administration method for pain control). In addition, no data are provided about any eventual stabilizing compound added to the formulations and, as it is well known, aqueous paracetamol compositions require a stabilizing compound for the paracetamol since otherwise this active ingredient readily degrades in the aqueous medium at the short term. Therefore, the teaching of this document cannot enable the skilled person to prepare stable aqueous formulations of paracetamol plus tramadol that are suitable for infusion.

Finally, EP 2377514 discloses a liquid parenterally deliverable pharmaceutical formulation comprising a tramadol material and paracetamol with different excipients including an alcoholic solvent (ethanol) in addition to water for injection, a buffer, an antioxidant and a chelating agent. The formulations therein disclosed are clearly designed for subcutaneous, intramuscular or direct intravenous injection (bolus), this being evidenced by the fact that the examples contained in the cited document always refer to small volume vials (1 mL or 4 mL), ampoules

WO 2014/083071 - 4 - PCT/EP2013/074896

or soft bags. Also, the formulations therein disclosed can also contain as an optional ingredient lidocaine, a local anesthesic, which also completely discards their use for intravenous administration. Finally, even though in this document nothing is said about the sterilization method to be used in those formulations, however autoclaving is not possible, since the ethanol added as a necessary component to those formulations is totally incompatible with the temperatures needed for autoclaving (110 - 130°C). This has been demonstrated in experimental tests carried out by the applicant, which have shown that, because of the presence of an alcohol in their composition, these formulations explode when subjected to the autoclaving conditions.

The present invention is thus directed to combinations of tramadol and paracetamol in different proportions, combinations that are suitable to be administered by intravenous infusion and that are stable upon autoclaving, i.e, they can be successfully sterilised by autoclaving with a minimal loss of active principle and development of undesirable impurities.

<u>SUMMARY</u>

5

10

15

20

25

30

Accordingly, the problem to be solved by the present invention is to provide a stable pharmaceutical composition injectable aqueous formulations containing a combination of paracetamol and tramadol hydrochloride that is suitable to be administered by infusion in the treatment of moderate to acute pain, that allows thermal sterilization by autoclaving with minimal loss of content of the active ingredients and minimal production of impurities and with other parameters of pharmaceutical interest remaining within the acceptable limits described in the pharmacopoeia after the autoclaving process. The method used to manufacture this composition does not include deoxygenation by bubbling with inert gas or placing vacuum protecting resorption of oxygen.

The solution is based on the fact that the inventors have found that, through the provision of formulations having the features and compositions described below, stable liquid formulations suitable for intravenous infusion and stable upon autoclaving can be obtained. Without wishing to be bound by theory, the inventors

WO 2014/083071 - 5 - PCT/EP2013/074896

believe that the benefits of the invention are obtained through the combination of the low concentration levels of both active principles (paracetamol and tramadol) with the addition of a stabilizing compound selected among those herein disclosed. Experimental tests carried out by the inventors have shown that, outside of the preferred concentration ranges of both active principles, the solutions tend not to be autoclavable even in the presence of the stabilizer compounds used in the present invention. Conversely, in the absence of a stabilizing compound selected among those herein disclosed, the addition of both active principles in the preferred concentration ranges does not always produce autoclavable solutions. In other words, apparently the stabilizer compounds are only able to effectively stabilize the paracetamol plus tramadol solutions enough to make them safely autoclavable when they are in the low concentration ranges disclosed. This synergy between both factors is surprising and unexpected, and leads to an advantageous technical effect, not hinted or foreseen up to now.

15

10

5

Therefore a first aspect of the invention is related to a liquid formulation for intravenous infusion stable and autoclavable liquid formulation for intravenous infusion comprising tramadol hydrochloride and paracetamol in an aqueous solvent having a pH between 4.0 and 6.0, characterised in that the concentration of tramadol hydrochloride is between 0.075 and 10 mg/mL, the concentration of paracetamol is between 0.65 and 10 mg/mL, and the formulation further comprises a stabilizing compound selected from the group consisting of cyclic and aliphatic glucitols, organic compounds having a thiol group, sodium edetate (EDTA) and povidone.

25

30

20

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Thus, the problem to be solved by the present invention is to provide an stable liquid formulation based on the combination of tramadol and paracetamol in a aqueous solvent that is suitable to be administered by infusion in the treatment of moderate to acute pain, that allows thermal sterilization by autoclaving with minimal loss of content of the active ingredients and minimal production of impurities. These solutions, during their manufacturing process, have not been de-oxigenated or bubbled out with an inert gas.

WO 2014/083071 - 6 - PCT/EP2013/074896

Stability of mentioned above aqueous solution depends of the choice of the different components of the formulation, such as the pH and the sterilization process, among others variables. Stability of this aqueous solution is achieved by adding different stabilizing agents that provide different protection of paracetamol against oxidation; such agents are selected from the group of reducing sugars such us glucose, manitol or hydroxyl ethyl starch; thiol derivatives or other stabilizing agents such as EDTA or povidone. Moreover, the formulations prepared according to the invention do not need the presence of sodium metabisulfite or other sulfite derivatives as antioxidants, as in EP 2377514 A2, which additives, as it is well known, can induce undesirable bronchospams in patients.

5

10

15

20

25

30

Parenteral solutions to be administered in humans should have a pH compatible with blood. The buffer used in the compositions according to the invention is preferably a buffer able to adjust the pH of the compositions to a value between 4 and 6. Preferred buffers are based on citrates, acetates or phosphates salts, and the final pH adjustment is made with hydrochloric acid or sodium hydroxide as required. Isotonicity of the preparation is achieved by adding an appropriate quantity of an isotonizing agent where the most preferred are sodium chloride or glucose.

The liquid pharmaceutical compositions described in this invention are intended for injection, thus have to be sterile. The sterility on the composition described here is achieved by heat treatment such as sterilization and the resulting solution containing the different components is thermally stable.

Throughout the present specification, "autoclaving" means any thermal method that makes sterilization of the formulation possible, and in particular a procedure during which the formulations are submitted to a temperature between 110 and 130°C for a time of 2 to 190 minutes, and more particularly to a temperature between 120 and 125°C for a time of 15 to 20 minutes.

WO 2014/083071 - 7 - PCT/EP2013/074896

Also throughout the present specification, it will be understood that a solution for injection is sterilizable by heat or "autoclavable" when, after undergoing an autoclaving procedure according to the preceding paragraph, its content in tramadol and paracetamol is at least 95% of the initial respective tramadol and paracetamol contents added to the solution.

On the other hand, the liquid pharmaceutical compositions according to the invention are preferably compositions with combination of tramadol hydrochloride and paracetamol where the paracetamol content of the solution may range from 0.65 mg/mL to 10 mg/mL and the preferred tramadol concentration may range from 0.075 mg/mL to 10 mg/mL. More preferably, the paracetamol content may range from 3.25 mg/mL to 8 mg/mL, even more preferably from 5 to 7 mg/mL, and most preferably about 6.5 mg/mL. In the case of tramadol hydrochloride, its concentration may range from 0.075 to 10 mg/mL; more preferably its content may range from 0.375 mg/mL to 1 mg/mL; even more preferably from 0.5 to 0.85 mg/mL, and most preferably around 0.75 mg/mL.

Preferred tramadol salts are all its pharmaceutical acceptable salts, more preferably its hydrocloride salt. The stabilizing agent is preferably selected from aliphatic or cyclic glucitols, more preferably glucose or manitol; organic compounds having a thiol group, more preferably cysteine; or others like povidone, hydroxyethylstarch or sodium edetate.

Further embodiments are contained in the dependent claims.

EXAMPLES

5

10

15

20

25

30

In order to investigate the stability of different compositions of the liquid pharmaceutical formulation according to the invention, we have run different experiments with variable compositions. All the experiments have been carried out without any previous deoxygenation of the solvent media and therefore the solvent contains the naturally dissolved oxygen. Then, the compositions were subjected to wet heat sterilization by autoclaving, which is the safest currently admitted process to sterilize solutions for injection. Thus, in the present

experiments the solutions were autoclaved at 121°C for 15 minutes, as specified in the European Pharmacopoeia for this process. In parallel, a comparative study was done with all the solutions before and after autoclaving. In those studies the content of both active ingredients, the content of degradation-related substances of both active ingredients, subvisible particles, visual appearance of the solution and pH both before and after the autoclaving process were investigated.

The following formulations were prepared. In each case, the osmolality was adjusted to 300 mOsmol/kg with an isotonizing agent, if required.

1. <u>Formulation A containing tramadol and paracetamol using glucose as</u> stabilizing agent:

To a 65 mL aqueous solution containing paracetamol (650 mg, 10 mg/mL paracetamol), 3.3 g glucose monohydrate were added and the solution was buffered with citrate-acetate buffer. Then, 75 mg of tramadol hydrochloride were added, and the final pH was adjusted using hydrochloric acid or sodium hydroxide as required to a final pH= 4.90-5.30, adjusting the volume to 100 mL using water for injection. The composition of formula A is described in the table below.

Name	Calculated content		
	(100 mL)		
Active substance			
Paracetamol anhydrous	650 mg		
Tramadol HCl anhydrous	75 mg		
Excipients			
Glucose monohydrate	3.3 g		
Acetic acid glacial	0.095 mL		
Sodium acetate trihydrate	53.5 mg		
Sodium citrate dihydrate	0.3 g		
HCl or NaOH q.s.	pH = 4.90 - 5.30		
Water for injection in bulk q.s.	100 mL		

5

10

WO 2014/083071 - 9 - PCT/EP2013/074896

- 2. <u>Formulation B containing tramadol and paracetamol using manitol and cysteine as stabilizing agent:</u>
- To a 65 mL aqueous solution containing paracetamol (650 mg, 10 mg/mL paracetamol), cysteine HCl 16.2 mg, and manitol 2.5 g (or q.s. for isotonicity) buffered with phosphate buffer, 75 mg of tramadol hydrochloride were added and the volume was completed to 100 mL using water for injection, the final pH being adjusted to a value between 4.90-5.30 using hydrochloric acid or sodium hydroxide as required. The composition of formula B is described in the table below:

Name	Calculated content (100 mL)		
Active substance			
Paracetamol anhydrous	650 mg		
Tramadol HCl anhydrous	75 mg		
Excipients			
Manitol	2.5 g or q.s. for isotonicity		
Dibasic sodium phosphate	6.7 mg		
Cysteine HCI	16.2 mg		
HCl or NaOH q.s.	pH = 4.90 - 5.30		
Water for injection in bulk q.s.	100 mL		

15 3. Formulation C containing tramadol and paracetamol using manitol and povidone as stabilizing agent:

To a 65 mL aqueous solution containing paracetamol (650 mg, 10 mg/mL paracetamol), cysteine HCl 16.2 mg, povidone 65 mg and manitol 868 mg or q.s. for isotonicity, buffered with phosphate buffer, 75 mg of tramadol hydrochloride were added and the volume was completed to 100 mL using water for injection, the final pH being adjusted to a value between 4.90-5.30 using hydrochloric acid

or sodium hydroxide as required. The composition of formula C is described in the table below

Name	Calculated content (100 mL)	
Active substance		
Paracetamol anhydrous	650 mg	
Tramadol HCl anhydrous	75 mg	
Excipients		
Manitol	868 mg or q.s. for isotonicity	
Cysteine HCI	16.2 mg	
Sodium dihydrogenphosphate monohydrate	8.5 mg	
Povidone	65 mg	
Sodium bicarbonate q.s.	pH = 4.90 - 5.30	
Citric acid q.s.	pH = 4.90 - 5.30	
Water for injection in bulk q.s.	100 mL	

5 4. Formulation D containing tramadol and paracetamol using sodium chloride and EDTA as stabilizing agent:

To a 65 mL aqueous solution containing paracetamol (650 mg, 10 mg/mL paracetamol), EDTA 6.5 mg and sodium chloride (q.s. for isotonicity) buffered with acetate buffer, 75 mg of tramadol hydrochloride were added and the volume was completed to 100 mL using water for injection, the final pH being adjusted to a value between 5.40-5.80 using hydrochloric acid or sodium hydroxide as required. The composition of formula D is described in the table below:

Name	Calculated content (100 mL)
Active substance	
Paracetamol anhydrous	650 mg

Tramadol HCl anhydrous	75 mg		
Excipients			
Sodium chloride	400 mg or q.s. for isotonicity		
Sodium acetate trihydrate	53.5 mg		
EDTA	6.5 mg		
HCl or NaOH q.s.	pH = 5.40 - 5.80		
Water for injection in bulk q.s.	100 mL		

5. Formulation E containing tramadol and paracetamol using manitol and sodium metabisulfite as stabilizing agent:

5

10

To a 65 mL aqueous solution containing paracetamol (650 mg, 10 mg/mL paracetamol), sodium metabisulfite 6.5 mg and manitol 2.1 g or q.s for isotonicity, buffered with citrate-acetate buffer, 75 mg of tramadol hydrochloride were added and the volume was completed to 100 mL using water for injection, the final pH being adjusted to a value between 5.40-5.80 using hydrochloric acid or sodium hydroxide as required. The composition of formula E is described in the table below:

Name	Calculated content			
Ivaille	(100 mL)			
Active substance				
Paracetamol anhydrous	rous 650 mg			
Tramadol HCl anhydrous	75 mg			
Excipients				
Manitol	2.1 g or q.s. for			
Warmor	isotonicity			
Sodium metabisulfite	6.5 mg			
Sodium acetate trihydrate	34.8 mg			
Sodium citrate dihydrate	195 mg			

WO 2014/083071 - 12 - PCT/EP2013/074896

HCl or NaOH q.s.	pH = 5.40 - 5.80
Water for injection in bulk q.s.	100 mL

- 6. <u>Formulation F containing tramadol and paracetamol using manitol and hydroxyethylstarch as stabilizing agent:</u>
- To a 65 mL aqueous solution containing paracetamol (650 mg, 10 mg/mL paracetamol), hydroxyethylstarch 325 mg and manitol 2.0 g or q.s. for isotonicity buffered with citrate-acetate buffer, 75 mg of tramadol hydrochloride were added and the volume was completed to 100 mL using water for injection, the final pH being adjusted to a value between 5.30 5.80 using acetic acid as required. The composition of formula F is described in the table below:

Name	Calculated content (100 mL)				
Active substance					
Paracetamol anhydrous	650 mg				
Tramadol HCl anhydrous	75 mg				
Excipients					
Manitol	2.0 g or q.s. for isotonicity				
Hydroxyethylstarch	325 mg				
Sodium acetate trihydrate	195 mg				
Sodium citrate dihydrate	195 mg				
Acetic acid q.s.	pH = 5.30 – 5.80				
Water for injection in bulk q.s.	100 mL				

FORMULATION STUDIES

15

A comparative study was done in parallel involving all the above solutions and stabilizing agents before autoclaving, after autoclaving and after accelerated degradation tests for 1 and 2 months at 40°C and 20% relative humidity. In those

studies the content of the active ingredients, the content of related substances of the active ingredients, subvisible particles, visual appearance of the solution and pH were investigated before and after autoclaving.

5 1) Investigation of the content of active substances in the tested formulations before and after autoclaving:

As can be easily understood, for a pharmaceutical composition for injection the content of active substances after autoclaving is an essential parameter. For the present purposes, the minimum acceptable content of tramadol and paracetamol after autoclaving has been considered to be at least 95% of the initial active substance content added to the solution.

		Formulation A	(glucose)		
Active ingredient	Specifications	Before autoclaving	After autoclaving	After 1 month at 40°C	After 2 months at 40°C
Paracetamol content	95.0 – 105.0 % of 650 mg/100 mL	99.2 %	100.0%	102.7%	101.4%
Tramadol·HCl content	95.0 – 105.0 % of 75 mg/ 100 mL	100.5 %	98.7 %	100.2 %	102.5 %

		Formulation B (manitol and cysteine)			
Active ingredient	Specifications	Before autoclaving	After autoclaving	After 1 month at 40°C	After 2 months at 40°C
Paracetamol content	95.0 – 105.0 % of 650 mg/100 mL	99.1 %	100.0 %	101.6%	99.7%
Tramadol·HCl content	95.0 – 105.0 % of 75 mg/ 100 mL	100.2 %	100.4 %	100.2%	101.7%

		Formulation C (manitol and povidone)			
Active ingredient	Specifications	Before autoclaving	After autoclaving	After 1 month at 40°C	After 2 months at 40°C
Paracetamol content	95.0 – 105.0 % of 650 mg/100 mL	98.8 %	98.8 %	102.2%	100.7%
Tramadol·HCI content	95.0 – 105.0 % of 75 mg/ 100 MI	99.4%	97.6 %	99.5%	101.1%

		Formulation D (EDTA)			
A stive in an adjoint	Specifications	Before	After	After 1 month	After 2 months
Active ingredient Specifica	Specifications	autoclaving	autoclaving	at 40°C	at 40°C
Paracetamol	95.0 – 105.0 %				
content	of 650 mg/100	99.8%	98.5%	103.0%	101.0%
content	mL				
Tramadol·HCl	95.0 – 105.0 %				
content	of 75 mg/ 100	101.3%	98.1%	100.7%	102.4%
Content	mL				
		Formulation E (manitol and sodium metabisulfite)			
		Formulation E	(manitol and	sodium metabis	sulfite)
Active ingredient	Specifications	Formulation E Before	(manitol and	sodium metabis	After 2 months
Active ingredient	Specifications				,
_	Specifications 95.0 – 105.0 %	Before	After	After 1 month	After 2 months
Paracetamol		Before	After	After 1 month	After 2 months
_	95.0 – 105.0 %	Before autoclaving	After autoclaving	After 1 month at 40°C	After 2 months at 40°C
Paracetamol content	95.0 – 105.0 % of 650 mg/100	Before autoclaving	After autoclaving	After 1 month at 40°C	After 2 months at 40°C
Paracetamol	95.0 – 105.0 % of 650 mg/100 mL	Before autoclaving	After autoclaving	After 1 month at 40°C	After 2 months at 40°C

		Formulation F (manitol and hydroxyethylstarch)						
Active ingredient	Specifications	Before autoclaving	After autoclaving	After 1 month at 40°C	After 2 months at 40°C			
Paracetamol content	95.0 – 105.0 % of 650 mg/100 mL	99.6%	99.7%	101.9%	101.6%			
Tramadol·HCl content	95.0 – 105.0 % of 75 mg/ 100 mL	99.9%	97.4%	98.4%	101.5%			

WO 2014/083071 - 15 - PCT/EP2013/074896

After autoclaving, the content of tramadol and paracetamol remaining in the formulations was determined. In all cases the content of both active substances is higher than the limit (>95%) established for the purpose.

5 2) Investigation of the content of degradation related substances in the test formulations before and after autoclaving:

The results obtained from the various formulations are shown in the following table. In this table, the degradation-related substances of both active principles has been indicated in those cases when said impurity has been identified according to European Pharmacopoeia, or alternatively they have been referred to as unknown impurities in those cases where they have not been identified.

		Formulation	ı A	Formulation	ı B	Formulation	ı C
Related	Specifi-	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
substances:	cation	autoclaving	autoclaving	autoclaving	autoclaving	autoclaving	autoclaving
5-HMF	< 0.05%	N.D.	0.001 %	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Impurity K (4-AP)	< 0.01%	N.D.	< 0.001 %	<0.001 %	0.01 %	N.D.	N.D.
Impurity A of Tramadol	< 0.2%	0.04 %	0.04 %	0.04 %	0.04 %	0.05 %	< 0.03 %
Impurity B of Tramadol	< 0.2%	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.
Impurity C of Tramadol	< 0.2%	0.007 %	0.006 %	0.007 %	0.006 %	< 0.005 %	< 0.005 %
Impurity D of Tramadol	< 0.2%	< 0.02 %	< 0.02 %	< 0.02 %	< 0.02 %	N.D.	< 0.02 %
Unknown impurities from Paracetamol	< 0.1%	< 0.02 %	< 0.02 %	< 0.02 %	< 0.02 %	< 0.02 %	< 0.02 %
Unknown impurities from Tramadol	< 0.2%	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	< 0.04 %	N.D.	< 0.04 %

Total							
impurities	< 0.5%						
from	0.5%	< 0.02 %	< 0.02 %	< 0.02 %	0.01 %	< 0.02 %	< 0.02 %
Paracetamol							
Total							
impurities	< 0.8%						
from	0.6%	0.05 %	0.05 %	0.05 %	0.05 %	0.05 %	< 0.04 %
Tramadol							

		Formulation	n D	Formulation	n E	Formulation F		
Related	Specifi-	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	
substances:	cation	autoclaving	autoclaving	autoclaving	autoclaving	autoclaving	autoclaving	
5-HMF	< 0.05%	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	
Impurity K (4-AP)	< 0.01%	N.D.	0.002 %	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	< 0.001 %	
Impurity A of Tramadol	< 0.2%	0.03 %	0.04 %	0.04 %	0.04 %	0.04 %	0.04 %	
Impurity B of Tramadol	< 0.2%	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	
Impurity C of Tramadol	< 0.2%	0.005 %	0.01 %	< 0.005 %	< 0.005 %	< 0.004 %	0.005 %	
Impurity D of Tramadol	< 0.2%	< 0.02 %	N.D.	N.D.	< 0.02 %	< 0.02 %	N.D.	
Unknown impurities from Paracetamol	< 0.1%	< 0.02 %	0.03 %	< 0.02 %	< 0.02 %	< 0.02 %	0.04 %	
Unknown impurities from Tramadol	< 0.2%	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	< 0.04 %	N.D.	N.D.	
Total impurities from Paracetamol	< 0.5%	< 0.02 %	0.03 %	< 0.02 %	< 0.02 %	< 0.02 %	0.04 %	
Total impurities from Tramadol	< 0.8%	0.04 %	0.05 %	0.04 %	0.04 %	0.04 %	0.05 %	

The data shown in the table demonstrate that the content of individual known and

unknown substances resulting from the degradation of any of the active substances tested are maintained below the limits established by the European Pharmacopoeia after the solutions have been sterilized by heating.

5 3) Investigation of pH in the test formulations before and after autoclaving

The pH of the test formulations was measured after packaging, either before autoclaving or after autoclaving, to evaluate the change in this parameter caused by said process. The results were as follows:

10

20

25

		Formulation A		Formulation	n B	Formulation C		
	Specifications	Before autoclaving	After autoclaving	Before After autoclaving		Before autoclaving	After autoclaving	
рН	4.0 – 6.0	5.3	5.3	5.3	4.3	6.0	5.7	

		Formulation D		Formulation	n E	Formulation F		
	Specifications	Before autoclaving	After autoclaving	Before autoclaving	After autoclaving	Before autoclaving	After autoclaving	
рН	4.0 – 6.0	5.5	5.5	5.6	5.6	5.5	5.5	

On comparing the formulations before autoclaving and autoclaved, it is concluded that there are differences between the samples before and after the autoclaving depending on the formula used: formulation A almost maintain this parameter without modification, however there is a small change in formulations B and C. In all the cases the pH is maintained within the defined limits.

4) Investigation of visual appareance in the test formulations before and after autoclaving

The visual appareance of the solution is a parameter somehow indicative of the degradation of the components of the solution in the sterilization process. Thus, we have decided to include visual appareance as one of the variable in our test.

		Formulation A		Formulation	В	Formulation C	
	Specifications			Before autoclaving	After autoclaving	Before autoclaving	After autoclaving
Appearance	Clear / Colourless	complies	complies	complies	complies	complies	complies

		Formulation D		Formulation	Е	Formulation F	
	Specifications			Before autoclaving	After autoclaving	Before autoclaving	After autoclaving
Appearance	Clear / Colourless	complies	complies	complies	complies	complies	complies

As it is shown in the table above, the visual appearance of the samples is maintained in all cases as clear and colourless after autoclaving.

5) Investigation of subvisible particles in the test formulations before and after autoclaving

The sub-visible particles in the formulations studied were also measured before and after autoclaving. This investigation was performed by direct measurement of this parameter in the sub-visible particle counter. The specification according to the European Pharmacopoeia is as shown in the table below.

The results obtained in the formulations tested were as follows:

		Formulation	n A	Formulation	n B	Formulation	1 C
	Specifications	Before autoclaving	After autoclaving	Before autoclaving	After autoclaving	Before autoclaving	After autoclaving
Subvisible	< 6000 part./	120 part./	187 part./	3153 part./	93 part./	627 part./	93 part./
	bag ≥ 10μm	bag	bag	bag	bag	bag	bag
particles	< 600 part./ bag	13 part./	27 part./	60 part./	13 part./	80 part./	27 part./
	≥ 25µm	bag	bag	bag	bag	bag	bag

		Formulation D		Formulation	n E	Formulation F	
	Specifications	Before autoclaving	After autoclaving	Before autoclaving	After autoclaving	Before autoclaving	After autoclaving
Subvisible	< 6000 part./ bag ≥ 10μm	67 part./ bag	100 part./ bag	160 part./ bag	140 part./ bag	67part./ bag	253part./ bag
particles	< 600 part./ bag ≥ 25μm	13 part./ bag	27 part./ bag	7 part./ bag	40 part./ bag	13 part./ bag	13 part./ bag

In this table it can be observed that the level of sub-visible particles is within the specifications in all the formulations tested, although showing slight differences before and after autoclaving depending on the formulation: in the case of formulation A, the level of subvisible particles is slightly higher after sterilization process. However, in formulations B and C, the effect of the heat sterilization is the opposite, the number of subvisible particles after the process being lower after autoclaving than before the sterilizing process. This probably means that the heat of the process helps to the solubilization of some components of the formulation.

10

15

5

6) Investigation of the concentration range of paracetamol and tramadol according to formulation A after autoclaving

The range of concentrations of both active substances using the excipient composition of formula A was studied. The table below shows the content of tramadol hydrochloride and paracetamol remaining after the autoclaving process, expressed as the percentage of the final content with respect to the initial content ((final concentration/initial concentration) x 100). For the present purposes, the minimum acceptable content of tramadol and paracetamol after autoclaving has been considered to be at least 95% of the initial active substance content added to the solution.

			-	TRAMAI (mg		I			
	%Paracetamol / % tramadol	0.075	0.375	0.50	0.75	0.85	1.0	5	10
	0.65	101.5 / 98.5	101.6 / 98.4	-	100.9 / 98.1	ı	ı	-	100.1 / 97.5
PARACETAMOL	3.25	100.1 / 97.6	I	ı	100.1 / 97.6	I	I	ı	100.1 / 97.6
(mg/mL)	6.5	100.1 / 97.4	100.1 / 97.3	100.0 / 98.1	100.2 / 98.1	101.0 / 98.1	97.1 / 99.5	101.0 / 98.7	101.1 / 101.1
	10	103.0 / 104.0	100.3 / 97.7	_	100.1 / 100.0	l	Ī		100.8 / 99.8

As it is shown in the table, the content of tramadol hydrochloride and paracetamol are within the set limits after autoclaving for all the concentrations within the tramadol hydrochloride range from 0.075 to 10 mg/mL and the paracetamol range from 0.65 to 10 mg/mL.

Conclusions

5

10

15

20

The above experimental tests have demonstrated that stable aqueous formulations of paracetamol and tramadol that are suitable for infusion and that can be autoclaved without any noticeable loss in either of both active ingredients can be prepared when the concentration of tramadol hydrochloride is between 0.075 and 10 mg/mL, the concentration of paracetamol is between 0.65 and 10 mg/mL, and the formulation further comprises a stabilizing compound selected from the group consisting of cyclic or aliphatic glucitols, organic compounds having a thiol group, EDTA or povidone. Even though several of the stabilizing compounds tested have previously been used to allegedly stabilize aqueous paracetamol-only formulations, however the presently demonstrated stability of the formulations of the invention in aqueous medium both in relation to paracetamol and to tramadol, as well as their capacity to withstand the autoclaving conditions, could not have been foreseen from the prior art.

CLAIMS

- 1. A stable and autoclavable liquid formulation for intravenous infusion comprising tramadol hydrochloride and paracetamol in an aqueous solvent having a pH between 4.0 and 6.0, characterised in that the concentration of tramadol hydrochloride is between 0.075 and 10 mg/mL, the concentration of paracetamol is between 0.65 and 10 mg/mL, and the formulation further comprises a stabilizing compound selected from the group consisting of cyclic or aliphatic glucitols, organic compounds having a thiol group, sodium edetate and povidone.
- 2. Liquid formulation according to claim 1, wherein the cyclic or aliphatic glucitol is glucose.
- Liquid formulation according to claim 1 wherein the cyclic or aliphatic glucitol is manitol.
 - 4. Liquid formulation according to claim 1 wherein the cyclic or aliphatic glucitol is hydroxyethyl starch.
 - 5. Liquid formulation according to claim 1, wherein the organic compound having a thiol group is cysteine.
 - 6. Liquid formulation according to claim 1, wherein the stabilizing compound is povidone.
 - 7. Liquid formulation according to claim 1, wherein the stabilizing compound is sodium edetate.
- 30 8. Liquid formulation according to claim 2 wherein the stabilizing compound is glucose in a concentration between 0.4 m/v and 3.3% m/v.
 - 9. Liquid formulation according to any of the previous claims wherein the concentration of paracetamol is between 3.25 and 8 mg/mL

5

10

20

WO 2014/083071 - 22 - PCT/EP2013/074896

- 10.Liquid formulation according to claim 9 wherein the concentration of paracetamol is 6.5 mg/mL.
- 11.Liquid formulation according to any one of previous claims wherein the concentration of tramadol hydrochloride is between 0.375 and 1 mg/mL.
- 12. Liquid formulation according to claim 9 wherein the concentration of tramadol hydrochloride is 0.75 mg/mL.
- 13. Liquid formulation according to any one of the previous claims wherein the formulation is buffered with a buffer composition selected from at least one of the acid form and the ionized form of citric, acetic, phosphoric acids or a mixture of them.

5

20

- 15 14. Liquid formulation according to claim 11 wherein the solution is buffered with sodium citrate-acetate buffer or phosphate buffer.
 - 15. Liquid formulation according to any one of the preceding claims, further comprising an isotonizing agent in the necessary amount for achieving an osmolality of about 300 mOsm/kg.
 - 16. Liquid formulation according to any one of the previous claims wherein the aqueous solvent is non-deoxygenated.
- 25 17. Liquid formulation according to any one of the previous claims wherein the formulation is sterilizable by autoclaving at a temperature between 110°C and 130°C for a time between 2 and 190 minutes so that the loss of paracetamol or tramadol after the autoclaving process is less than 5% of each.
 - 18. Liquid formulation according to any one of the previous claims for use in the treatment of moderate to severe pain.

International application No PCT/EP2013/074896

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER INV. A61K9/00 A61K4 A61K47/26 A61P25/04 A61P29/02

A61K47/48

A61K31/135

A61K31/167

ADD.

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

A61K A61P

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, BIOSIS, CHEM ABS Data, EMBASE

C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the r	elevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 2 377 514 A2 (UNI PHARMA KLE PHARMACEUTICAL LAB S A [GR]) 19 October 2011 (2011-10-19) cited in the application page 3, paragraphs [0022], [002 page 4, paragraphs [0028]-[0030 [0038] examples	3], [0027]	1-18
Y	CN 101 147 731 A (XULIANG CHEN 26 March 2008 (2008-03-26) cited in the application abstract	[CN]) -/	1-18
	her documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	X See patent family annex.	
"A" docume	ategories of cited documents : ent defining the general state of the art which is not considered of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the inte date and not in conflict with the appli the principle or theory underlying the	cation but cited to understand
	application or patent but published on or after the international	"V" decrement of particular valerance: the	alaina alimanakan arawaki

- ation or patent but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report

5 March 2014

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

14/03/2014

Authorized officer

Rodríguez-Palmero, M

International application No
PCT/EP2013/074896

C(Continua	tion). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	PC1/EP2013/0/4090
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Υ	WO 93/04675 A1 (MCNEILAB INC [US]) 18 March 1993 (1993-03-18) cited in the application example 1 page 11, paragraph 1 figure 1	1-18
Υ	WO 2011/071400 A1 (TECNIMEDE SOCIEDADE TECNICO MEDICINAL S A [PT]; VELEZ FERREIRA DINA ST) 16 June 2011 (2011–06–16) page 12; table 2	1-18
Y	WO 2012/107093 A1 (NEOGEN N V [BE]; AL DANDACHI ATASSI KHALED [BE]) 16 August 2012 (2012-08-16) page 2, line 19 - page 3, line 24 page 12, last paragraph - page 13, formulation 1 page 16, last paragraph	1-18
Υ	AU 2006 203 741 B2 (SCR PHARMATOP) 5 November 2009 (2009-11-05) cited in the application example 6	1-18
Y	EP 2 100 596 A2 (GENFARMA LAB S L [ES]) 16 September 2009 (2009-09-16) page 3, paragraph [0012] page 4, paragraph [0014] page 7, paragraph [0023]	1-18
Υ	WO 2009/047634 A2 (COMBINO PHARM S L [ES]; LLORET PEREZ SERGIO [ES]; FERNANDEZ PENA AGNES) 16 April 2009 (2009-04-16) table 1	1-18
Y	EP 2 277 546 A1 (UNI PHARMA KLEON TSETIS PHARMACEUTICAL LAB S A [GR]; TSETI IOULIA [GR]) 26 January 2011 (2011-01-26) pages 2-3, paragraph [0008]	1-18
Y	WO 2011/144335 A1 (UNI PHARMA KLEON TSETIS PHARMACEUTICAL LAB S A [GR]; TSETI IOULIA [GR]) 24 November 2011 (2011-11-24) page 3, paragraph 2 page 4, paragraph 2-4 examples 1,2	1-18
Υ	WO 2011/128364 A1 (BRAUN MELSUNGEN AG [DE]; GIL BEJAR JUAN [ES]; IGLESIAS GARCIA JESUS [E) 20 October 2011 (2011-10-20) page 2, paragraph 2 page 3, second last paragraph page 8, paragraphs 2,3 tables 1-6	1-18
	-/	

International application No
PCT/EP2013/074896

C(Continua	ation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Α	EMIR E ET AL: "Tramadol Versus Low Dose Tramadol-paracetamol for Patient Controlled Analgesia During Spinal Vertebral Surgery", KAOHSIUNG JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES, KAOHSIUNG MEDICAL COLLEGE, KAOHSIUNG, TW, vol. 26, no. 6, 9 June 2010 (2010-06-09), pages 308-315, XP027081216, ISSN: 1607-551X, DOI: 10.1016/S1607-551X(10)70044-9 [retrieved on 2010-06-01] abstract	1-18
A	FILITZ J ET AL: "Supra-additive effects of tramadol and acetaminophen in a human pain model", PAIN, ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS, AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 136, no. 3, 15 June 2008 (2008-06-15), pages 262-270, XP022668339, ISSN: 0304-3959, D0I: 10.1016/J.PAIN.2007.06.036 [retrieved on 2008-05-16] the whole document	1-18

Information on patent family members

International application No
PCT/EP2013/074896

	itent document I in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
EP	2377514	A2	19-10-2011	NONE			
CN	101147731	Α	26-03-2008	NONE			
WO	9304675	A1	18-03-1993	AT AU CA CN DE DE DE DE JP KR LU NL RU SG WO	169498 651247 2095523 1086133 69226624 69226624 22004000032 0566709 0566709 2120451 219332 3381190 H06502869 100243956 91079 300152 2121346 80535 5336691 9304675	B2 A1 A D1 T2 I1 T3 A1 T3 B B2 A B1 I2 I1 C1 A1	15-08-1998 14-07-1994 07-03-1998 04-05-1998 04-03-1999 24-11-2005 10-05-1999 27-10-1993 01-11-1998 28-03-2001 24-02-2003 31-03-1994 02-03-2006 26-07-2004 02-08-2004 10-11-1998 22-05-2001 09-08-1994 18-03-1993
WO	2011071400	A1	16-06-2011	CN US WO	102711726 2012245230 2011071400	A1	03-10-2012 27-09-2012 16-06-2011
WO	2012107093	A1	16-08-2012	AU CA CN EP US WO	2011359032 2827062 103476395 2672955 2013317112 2012107093	A1 A A1 A1	29-08-2013 16-08-2012 25-12-2013 18-12-2013 28-11-2013 16-08-2012
AU	2006203741	B2	05-11-2009	NONE			
EP	2100596	A2	16-09-2009	AT AU CA DK EP ES HR JP PT SI WO	432690 2006346318 2011201253 2628806 1889607 1889607 2100596 2327286 P20090350 4929352 2009543851 1889607 1889607 2009215903 2008009756	A1 A1 T3 A1 A2 T3 T1 B2 A E T1 A1	15-06-2009 24-01-2008 07-04-2011 24-01-2008 27-07-2009 20-02-2009 27-10-2009 31-08-2009 09-05-2012 10-12-2009 20-07-2009 31-10-2009 27-08-2009 24-01-2008
 WO	2009047634	A2	16-04-2009	AR EP WO	067048 2170313 2009047634	A2	30-09-2009 07-04-2010 16-04-2009

Information on patent family members

International application No
PCT/EP2013/074896

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
EP 2277546	A1	26-01-2011	NON	E	1
WO 2011144335	A1	24-11-2011	CA CN DK EP ES MA PT SI SM US WO	2799666 A1 102985069 A 2389923 T3 2389923 A1 2403121 T3 34314 B1 2389923 E 2389923 T1 T201300038 B 2013210922 A1 2011144335 A1	24-11-2011 20-03-2013 18-03-2013 30-11-2011 14-05-2013 01-06-2013 28-03-2013 28-06-2013 09-07-2013 15-08-2013 24-11-2011
WO 2011128364	A1	20-10-2011	AU CA CN EP ES JP KR PT TW US WO	2011240046 A1 2796168 A1 102834090 A 2377516 A1 2558070 A1 2389760 T3 2013523864 A 20130075724 A 2377516 E 201134500 A 2013178535 A1 2011128364 A1	01-11-2012 20-10-2011 19-12-2012 19-10-2011 20-02-2013 31-10-2012 17-06-2013 05-07-2013 02-07-2012 16-10-2011 11-07-2013 20-10-2011