Lab 2 Report

Name 童柏鈞
Student ID 111589027
Date 2023/3/19

1 Test Plan

1.1 Test requirements

The Lab 2 requires to (1) select 15 methods from 6 classes of the SUT (GeoProject), (2) design Unit test cases by using **input space partitioning (ISP)** technique for the selected methods, (3) develop test scripts to implement the test cases, (4) execute the test scripts on the selected methods, (5) report the test results, and (6) specify your experiences of designing test cases systematically using the ISP technique.

In particular, based on the statement coverage criterion, the **test requirements** for Lab 2 are to design test cases *with **ISP*** for each selected method so that "*each statement of the method will be covered by at least one test case* and *the minimum statement coverage is 70% (greater than Lab 1)*".

1.2 Test Strategy

To satisfy the test requirements listed in Section 1, a proposed strategy is to

(1) select **those 11 methods that were chosen in Lab1** and **5 new methods** that are NOT selected previously. If possible, some of the methods do NOT have primitive types of input or output parameters (if possible).

(2) set the objective of the minimum statement coverage to be greater than that of Lab 1 and adjust the test objective based on the time available (if necessary).

(3) design the test cases for those selected methods by using the **input space partitioning (ISP)** technique.

1.3 Test activities

To implement the proposed strategy, the following activities are planned to perform.

No.	Activity Name	Plan hours	Schedule Date
1	Study GeoProject	1	2023/3/19
2	Learn **ISP** and JUnit	3	2023/3/20
3	Design test cases for the selected methods	3	2023/3/21
4	Implement test cases	3	2023/3/22

5	Perform tests	1	2023/3/23
6	Complete Lab2 report	1	2023/3/24

1.4 Design Approach

The **ISP** technique will be used to design the test cases. Specifically, the possible partitions and boundary values of input parameters shall be identified first using the **Mine Map** and **domain knowledge** (if applicable). The possible **valid** combinations of the partitions (i.e., **all combination coverage**) as well as the boundary values shall be computed for the input parameters of each selected method. Each of the partition combination can be a possible test case. *Add more test cases by considering the possible values and boundary of the outputs for the methods or by using test experiences.*

1.5 Success criteria

All test cases designed for the selected methods must pass (or 90% of all test cases must pass) and *the statement coverage should have achieved at least 70%*.

2 Test Design

To fulfill the test requirements listed in section 1.1, the following methods are selected and corresponding test cases are designed.

No.	Class	Method	Test Objective	Inputs	Expected Outputs
1	GeoHash	adjacentHash(String hash,Direction direction,int steps)		"29jw",T,0,	“29jw”
2	GeoHash	neighbors(String hash)		"29jw"	["29jq", "29jy", "29jx", "29jt", "29jr", "29jm", "29jz", "29jv"]
3	GeoHash	bottom(String hash)		"29jw"	"29jt"
4	GeoHash	top(String hash)		"29jw"	"29jx"
5	GeoHash	heightDegrees(int n)		0	180
6	GeoHash	widhtDegrees(int n)		15	1.3096723705530167E-9
7	GeoHash	gridAsString(String hash, int fromRight, int fromBottom, int toRight, int toBottom, Set<String>		"29jw",1,1,1,1,""	"29jv \n"

		highlightThese)			
8	GeoHash	coverBoundingBoxLongs(double topLeftLat, double topLeftLon, double bottomRightLat, double bottomRightLon, int length)		0,1,1,0,1	"topLeftLat must be >= bottomRighLat"
9	GeoHash	encodeHash(double latitude, double longitude, int length)		1,1,1	"s"
10	Base32	encodeBase32(long i, int length)		75324,4	"29jw"
11	Base32	encodeBase32(long i)		75324	"0000000029jw"
12	Base32	decodeBase32(String hash)		"-29jw"	-75324
13	Base32	getCharIndex(char ch)		'0'	0
14	Coverage	getRatio()		1.0	1.0
15	LatLong	add(double deltaLat, double deltaLon)		1,1	lat+1,lon+1

The details of the design are given below:

The Excel file of test cases…

3 Test Implementation

The design of test cases specified in Section 2 was implemented using JUnit 4. The test scripts of 3 selected test cases are given below. The rest of the test script implementations can be found in the link (or JUnit files).

No.	Test method	Source code

1	adjacentHash(String hash,Direction direction,int steps)	
2	encodeBase32(long i, int length)	 …
3	getRatio()	…

```
@Test
public void getRatio() {
    Set<String> hash = Collections.singleton("29jw");
    double ratio = 1.0;
    Coverage coverage = new Coverage(hash,ratio);
    double ans = coverage.getRatio();
    assertEquals(Double.doubleToLongBits(ratio),Double

    hash = Collections.singleton("29jw");
    ratio = 0.0;
    coverage = new Coverage(hash,ratio);
    ans = coverage.getRatio();
    assertEquals(Double.doubleToLongBits(ratio),Double

    hash = Collections.singleton("29jw");
    ratio = -1.0;
    coverage = new Coverage(hash,ratio);
    ans = coverage.getRatio();
```

4 Test Results

4.1 JUnit test result snapshot



Test Summary

1	0	0	0.004s
tests	failures	ignored	duration

100% successful

Packages | Classes

Package	Tests	Failures	Ignored	Duration	Success rate
com.github.davidmoten.geo	1	0	0	0.004s	100%

4.2 Code coverage snapshot

- Coverage of each selected method



- Total coverage

geo

Element	Missed Instructions	Cov.	Missed Branches	Cov.	Missed	Cxty	Missed	Lines	Missed	Methods	Missed	Classes
com.github.davidmoten.geo.mem		0%		0%	30	30	61	61	20	20	3	3
com.github.davidmoten.geo		87%		75%	41	149	40	348	9	68	0	10
com.github.davidmoten.geo.util		36%		50%	2	4	2	6	0	2	0	1
Total	596 of 2,326	74%	62 of 186	66%	73	183	103	415	29	90	3	14

4.3 CI result snapshot (3 iterations for CI)

- CI#1

README.md

pipeline passed coverage 52%

- CI#2

README.md

pipeline passed coverage 62%

- CI#3

README.md

- CI Pipeline



5 Summary

In Lab 2, **15 test cases have been designed and implemented using JUnit and the ISP technique**. The test is conducted in 3 CI and **the execution results of the 15 test methods are all passed. The total statement coverage of the test is 74%.** Thus, the test requirements described in Section 1 are satisfied.