

# FRST302: Forest Genetics

---

## Lecture 4.1 - Accelerating Tree Improvement I

# Welcome to Module 4!

- Module test will be a mix of multiple choice and short answer questions
- The test questions will test your understanding of concepts and ask you to apply it
- **Terminology** will be covered on lecture slides
- **Concepts** will be covered in lectures
- If you are lost with the concepts or terminology make use of office hours, the Canvas Discussion board and ask questions in class!

**Module 1** Genes, Genomes and Sequencing

**Module 2** Population Genetics and Local Adaptation

**Module 3** Quantitative Genetics and 20th Century Breeding

**Module 1** Genes, Genomes and Sequencing

**Module 2** Population Genetics and Local Adaptation

**Module 3** Quantitative Genetics and 20th Century Breeding


**Module 4** Advancing Forest Genetics with Recent Technology


# Selective breeding has been extraordinarily successful in human history



a) *Brassica rapa* morphotypes; b) *Brassica oleracea* morphotypes - from Cheng *et al* 2016


Quantitative  
genetic models  
work and have been  
very effective in the  
last 100 years!





## Conventional Tree Breeding






## Conventional Tree Breeding

Each cycle takes from 20-30 years!

# Can We Afford to Take That Long?

GLOBAL AVERAGE SURFACE TEMPERATURE




<https://www.climate.gov/news-features/understanding-climate/climate-change-global-temperature> - *Hopefully the webpage still exists...*

How could you advance tree breeding with what you learned in the last three modules?

Many of the interventions we may want to take would involve knowing the genes that underly important traits

For species that are intractable to cultivate in a lab, how can we identify important genetic variation?

# Let's Build a Model!



- Imagine a tree species inhabiting two islands
- Pollen flows between them
- A height of 10m is selectively favoured on both islands
- 95% of the time trees pollinate individuals from their own island

*There are no environmental differences between the islands!*

---

This gives an expected  $F_{ST}$  of 0.05

# The Genetics of our Model



# The Genetics of our Model

- Diploid individuals



---

The details on this slide are just for understanding the model. You *will not* be tested on memorizing these numbers and/or parameters

# The Genetics of our Model



- Diploid individuals
- The genome is composed of a single chromosome  $1cM$  long

---

The details on this slide are just for understanding the model. You *will not* be tested on memorizing these numbers and/or parameters



# The Genetics of our Model



- Diploid individuals
- The genome is composed of a single chromosome  $1cM$  long
- Mutations that influence height are co-dominant, with effects drawn from a Gaussian distribution with  $\sigma = 0.1$

---

The details on this slide are just for understanding the model. You *will not* be tested on memorizing these numbers and/or parameters

# The Genetics of our Model



- Diploid individuals
- The genome is composed of a single chromosome  $1cM$  long
- Mutations that influence height are co-dominant, with effects drawn from a Gaussian distribution with  $\sigma = 0.1$
- Mutation rate,  
 $\mu = 1 \times 10^{-9} / bp / generation$

---

The details on this slide are just for understanding the model. You *will not* be tested on memorizing these numbers and/or parameters

# The Genetics of our Model




- Diploid individuals
- The genome is composed of a single chromosome  $1cM$  long
- Mutations that influence height are co-dominant, with effects drawn from a Gaussian distribution with  $\sigma = 0.1$
- Mutation rate,  
 $\mu = 1 \times 10^{-9} / bp / generation$
- Heritability of height  $h^2 = 0.4$

---

The details on this slide are just for understanding the model. You *will not* be tested on memorizing these numbers and/or parameters

# Phenotypic Variation on the Islands



- Mean height is on 10.18 on Island 1 and 9.98 on Island 2
- This slight difference was statistically significant ( $p < 0.001$ )
- **Not** driven by differences in selection

I'll add a picture here of the chromosome with a marker...

## Testing for Genetic Associations With Tree Height

To test for an association of a trait (in this case height) with SNP, we can do a statistical regression:

$$\hat{Y}_i \sim \alpha + \beta_j X_i + \epsilon$$

# Testing for Genetic Associations With Tree Height

To test for an association of a trait (in this case height) with SNP, we can do a statistical regression:

$$\hat{Y}_i \sim \alpha + \beta_j X_i + \epsilon$$

$\hat{Y}$ : The phenotype of individual  $i$

$\alpha$ : The population mean


$\beta$ : The effect of marker  $j$  on the trait

$i$ : The number of copies of marker  $j$  that individual  $i$  possesses

$\epsilon$ : The effect of the environment on the trait

By fitting a regression to the data we can estimate the effect size of a particular marker on a trait and test for statistical significance...


# Testing Association at a Single Marker



*The allele is at a frequency of 0.16 across both islands*




# Testing Association at a Single Marker



The estimated  $\beta$  for this SNP is  $\beta = -0.07$

The  $p$  - value for this regression was  $p = 0.108$

# Testing Association at a Single Marker



The estimated  $\beta$  for this SNP is  $\beta = -0.07$

The  $p$  - value for this regression was  $p = 0.108$

What can we say about this marker?

# Genome-Wide Association Study


Now, let's look at each SNP across the whole genome

---

There's nothing special about  $-\log_{10}(p\text{-value})$ , it's just the  $p\text{-value}$  of an association expressed in an easy to visualise way

# Genome-Wide Association Study

Now, let's look at each SNP across the whole genome




---

There's nothing special about  $-\log_{10}(p\text{-value})$ , it's just the  $p\text{-value}$  of an association expressed in an easy to visualise way

# Genome-Wide Association Study

Now, let's look at each SNP across the whole genome




---

There's nothing special about  $-\log_{10}(p\text{-value})$ , it's just the  $p\text{-value}$  of an association expressed in an easy to visualise way

# Genome-Wide Association Study

Now, let's look at each SNP across the whole genome




---

There's nothing special about  $-\log_{10}(p\text{-value})$ , it's just the  $p\text{-value}$  of an association expressed in an easy to visualise way

# Genome-Wide Association Study

Now, let's look at each SNP across the whole genome



---

There's nothing special about  $-\log_{10}(p\text{-value})$ , it's just the  $p\text{-value}$  of an association expressed in an easy to visualise way

Can anyone think of any problems with the approach we have taken so far?



# Association Genetics Caveat: Population Structure/Relatedness



British people *really* like tea

Genetic drift has led to slight differences in allele frequencies in the UK compared to other places

Could lead to genetic associations for tea preference

Tea drinking in the UK has a clear cultural basis

## Association Genetics Caveat: Population Structure/Relatedness

---

In our model, trees are restricted to two distinct islands with a small amount of gene flow

We can factor the relationship among individuals into our statistical model (*there are a variety of ways to do this, but we won't get into the specifics*)

## Association Genetics Caveat: Multiple Testing

Let's now correct for the issues we have identified so far...