

Understanding how selection at linked  
sites influences patterns of genetic  
diversity in the house mouse

Or: Whats the deal with selective sweeps?

# Acknowledgements

First and foremost, thanks to Peter Keightley. He has been an excellent supervisor. He has been a great mentor and extremely patient as I have subjected him manuscripts of varying quality. I would also thank Brian Charlesworth. Brian has been extremely patient in explaining many concepts in population genetics and has always been interested (or pretended to be) when I've talked to him about my research.

I would also thank the Deborah Charlesworth for being very kind and generous in helping me understand many, many aspects of evolutionary biology, not limited to my thesis.

Thanks to members of the Keightley lab past and present for listening to me go on and on about selective sweeps or other things for the past four years.

Dan Halligan and Rob Ness have both been extremely patient and generous

with their time. I have had more than a couple of hangovers because chats about my research have spilled over into the pub.

I would also extend thanks to Sally Otto and members of her labgroup for giving me such a welcoming and hospitable place to work and write-up this thesis.

In no particular order, thanks to my friends Rasmus, Luiz, Stevie, Andres, Lisa, Nathan and Billy for palling around. If you are reading this and are not listed, but think that you should be, don't worry! I left you off because I thought it went without saying that I would have thanked you.

Thanks to my Mum and Dad for the support. My brothers are alright too, I suppose.

Arya's pretty decent, so I thank her too.

# Publications

The following papers have arisen from this thesis:

- Recombination rate paper
- BMC Biology review
- \*\*Hopefully\*\* the simulation paper

I have also contributed to the following papers during the course of my PhD:

- Current Biology Dispatch
- Peter's Genetics paper

# Table of Contents

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Publications</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1 Using models of selective sweeps to estimate positive selection parameters . . . . .	2
1.1.1 The Correlation Between Diversity and the Rate of Recombination . . . . .	3
1.1.2 Correlation Between Neutral Diversity and Non-Neutral Divergence . . . . .	5
1.1.3 Patterns of Diversity Around the Targets of Selection . . .	6

---

1.2	Fitting genome wide patterns . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>The recombination landscape in wild house mice inferred using population genomic data</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1	Abstract . . . . .	11
2.2	Introduction . . . . .	12
2.3	Materials and Methods . . . . .	16
2.3.1	Polymorphism data for <i>Mus musculus castaneus</i> . . . . .	16
2.3.2	Inferring phase and estimating switch error rates . . . . .	17
2.3.3	Estimating recombination maps and validation of the ap- proach . . . . .	19
2.3.4	Recombination rate estimation for <i>M. m. castaneus</i> . . . . .	22
2.3.5	Broad scale comparison to previously published maps . . . . .	24
2.3.6	Fine-scale recombination rate variation in wild <i>M. m. cas- taneus</i> . . . . .	27
2.3.7	Examining the correlation between nucleotide diversity and recombination rate . . . . .	29

---

2.4	Results . . . . .	31
2.4.1	Phasing SNPs and estimating the switch error rate . . . .	31
2.4.2	Simulations to validate LDhelmet for the population sample of <i>M. m. castaneus</i> . . . . .	32
2.4.3	Recombination rates in the <i>M. m. castaneus</i> genome . . .	32
2.4.4	Comparison of the <i>M. m. castaneus</i> map to maps con- structed using inbred lines . . . . .	34
2.4.5	Analysis of fine-scale recombination rates in wild <i>M. m.</i> <i>castaneus</i> . . . . .	35
2.4.6	Correlations between recombination rate and properties of protein coding genes in <i>M. m. castaneus</i> . . . . .	37
2.5	Discussion . . . . .	38
<b>3</b>	<b>Understanding the factors that shape patterns of nucleotide diversity in the house mouse genome</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Estimating parameters of selective sweeps from patterns of ge- netic diversity in house mice</b>	<b>50</b>

**5 Discussion and summary**

**51**



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

*Portions of this introduction have been published as a review article in BMC*

*Biology:*

*CITATION*

*My contributions to that review have been reproduced here with slight modifications to the text.*

### 1.1 Using models of selective sweeps to estimate positive selection parameters

If adaptive substitutions are common, selection is expected to leave footprints in genetic diversity at linked sites. In particular, as a positively selected

mutation increases in frequency, it tends to reduce diversity at linked neutral loci. Theoretical analysis of this process, termed a selective sweep (Box 1), has shown that the reduction in diversity at a linked neutral locus depends on the ratio of the strength of positive selection to the recombination rate. Thus, comparing diversity at multiple neutral loci linked to selected regions, in principle, should provide an indirect means for estimating parameters of positive selection.

If a population experiences recurrent selective sweeps, there are several patterns predicted by theory. Under recurrent hard selective sweeps, levels of genetic diversity are expected to be lower i) in regions of the genome with restricted recombination, ii) in regions experiencing many sweeps and iii) in the genomic regions surrounding the targets of selection themselves. Each of these of these predictions have been met in empirical studies, and each has been used to estimate parameters of positive selection.

### **1.1.1 The Correlation Between Diversity and the Rate of Recombination**

In the late 1980s, evidence began to emerge suggesting that genetic polymorphism are less frequent in genomic regions experiencing restricted crossing-over (Aguade et al. 1989; Stephan and Langley 1989). Soon after, Begun and Aquadro (1992) showed that there is a positive correlation between nucleotide diversity and the

rate of crossing-over in *D. melanogaster*, a pattern subsequently observed in other eukaryotic species (Cutter and Payseur 2013). Begun and Aquadro pointed out that the correlation is qualitatively consistent with the action of recurrent selective sweeps. Wiehe and Stephan (1993) formulated expressions, based on the correlation between nucleotide diversity and the rate of recombination, to estimate the compound parameter

The correlation between diversity recombination observed by Begun and Aquadro (1992) can also be explained by background selection, the reduction in neutral diversity caused by the removal of linked deleterious mutations (Charlesworth et al. 1993). The process of background selection is qualitatively similar to recurrent selective sweeps, since both processes reduce local genetic diversity (Charlesworth 2009) and skew the SFS towards rare variants (Braverman et al. 1995; Charlesworth et al. 1995). Models of background selection envisage a neutral site linked to many functional sites at different distances, such that the effects of selection accumulate to reduce diversity (Hudson and Kaplan 1995; Nordborg et al. 1996). The correlation between neutral diversity and the recombination rate predicted by background selection is quantitatively similar to that observed in *D. melanogaster* (Charlesworth 1996). Indeed, recent studies suggest that background selection is a major determinant of nucleotide diversity variation at broad scales ( $\geq 100\text{Kbp}$ ) in humans (McVicker et al. 2009) and *D. melanogaster* (Charlesworth 2012; Comeron 2014). It is clear, then, that background selection

is a key confounding factor when attempting to make inferences about positive selection.

### 1.1.2 Correlation Between Neutral Diversity and Non-Neutral Divergence

If there is a constant fraction of adaptive substitutions,  $\alpha$ , across the genome for a given class of sites, regions that evolve at higher rates should experience a greater number of selective sweeps. Under a model of recurrent sweeps, it follows that there should be a negative correlation between nucleotide divergence at selected sites and diversity at linked neutral sites. This was first described in *Drosophila melanogaster* by Andolfatto (2007), and has been subsequently reported in other *Drosophila* species (Haddrill et al. 2011). Assuming a single rate of sweeps ( $\alpha$ ) and a constant scaled strength of positive selection ( $2Nes$ ) for a given class of sites, Andolfatto (2007) generalised formulae of Wiehe and Stephan (1993) based on the correlation between synonymous site diversity and non-synonymous site divergence to estimate  $2Nes = 3 \times 10^{-8}$  for the X-chromosome in *D. melanogaster*. Note that this  $2Nes$  estimate is similar to that obtained based on the correlation of synonymous site diversity and recombination rate (Wiehe and Stephan 1993; see above). Using an estimate of  $\alpha = 0.50$  obtained from a MK-based analysis, Andolfatto (2007) decomposed the  $2Nes$  compound parameter, and inferred that  $\alpha \approx 0.001$

### 1.1.3 Patterns of Diversity Around the Targets of Selection

An individual hard selective sweep is expected to leave a trough in genetic diversity around the selected site. If a large proportion of amino acid substitutions are adaptive, as suggested by MK-type analyses (see above), collating patterns of diversity around all substitutions of a given type should reveal a trough in diversity. Such a pattern is not expected around a control class of sites, such as synonymous sites. This test, proposed by Sattath et al. (2011), was first applied it to *D. simulans*, and the above pattern was found. By fitting a hard sweeps model to the shape of the diversity trough, they estimated values of 5% and 13%, depending on whether one or two classes of beneficial mutational effects were fitted. Note that their estimates of  $s$  are substantially lower than those obtained using MK-based methods for *D. melanogaster* (Andolfatto 2007). Sattath et al. (2012) suggested that modes of selection other than hard sweeps may help explain to this discrepancy. However, even when modelling two classes of beneficial mutations, they found that amino acid substitutions are driven by strongly adaptive mutations ( $s \sim 0.5\%$  and  $s \sim 0.01\%$ ). Their estimates of selection strength are therefore in broad agreement with the estimate of  $s \sim 1\%$  obtained by Macpherson et al. (2007), based on the correlation between synonymous diversity and non-synonymous divergence in *D. simulans*. The

Sattath et al. (2012) test, then, suggests that adaptation in protein-coding genes is fairly frequent and driven by strong, hard sweeps.

The Sattath test has been applied in a variety of organisms, including humans (Hernandez et al. 2011), wild mice (Halligan et al. 2013), *Capsella grandiflora* (Williamson et al. 2014) and maize (Beissinger et al. 2016). In all but *C. grandiflora*, researchers have found no difference in patterns of diversity around selected and neutral substitutions. These results have been interpreted as evidence that hard sweeps were rare in the recent history of both humans (Hernandez et al. 2011) and maize (Beissinger et al. 2016). However, Enard et al. (2014) pointed out that the Sattath test will be underpowered if there is large variation in levels of functional constraint in the genome. Indeed, through their analyses Enard et al. (2014) found evidence for frequent adaptive substitutions in humans, particularly in regulatory sequence. To address the issues raised by Enard et al. (2014), Beissinger et al. (2016) applied the Sattath test to substitutions in maize genes with the highest and lowest levels of functional constraint separately, but still found no difference in diversity pattern, suggesting either that hard sweeps have been rare in that species or that there is another confounding factor.

One possible explanation is that the species in which the Sattath test did/did not detect hard sweeps have distinct patterns of linkage disequilibrium (LD). LD decays to background levels within hundreds of base-pairs in *D. simulans* (Langley et al. 2012) and *C. grandiflora* (Josephs et al. 2015), whereas in humans, maize

and wild mice it decays over distances closer to 10,000bp (Chia et al. 2012; Deinum et al. 2015; Genomes Project et al. 2015). It may be, then, that the Sattath test is only applicable when there is relatively short-range LD, such that the patterns of diversity around selected substitutions do not substantially overlap with the analysis windows around neutral ones. If this were the case, interpreting the similarity in troughs of diversity around selected and neutral substitutions as evidence for a paucity of hard selective sweeps may not be justified in organisms where LD decays over distances of a similar order of magnitude as the width of the diversity troughs themselves.

## 1.2 Fitting genome wide patterns

Methods to estimate the rate and strength of positive selection in the genome employ various combinations of nucleotide diversity, divergence, recombination rates and estimates of background selection effects as summary statistics, averaged over many regions of the genome. Recently, Elyashiv et al. (2016) developed a method that fits a model of hard sweeps and background selection to genome-wide variation in nucleotide diversity and divergence (at both selected and neutral sites). In *D. melanogaster*, they showed that hard sweeps can explain a large amount of genome-wide variation genetic diversity. For nonsynonymous sites, they found that  $d = 4.1\%$  for strongly selected mutations ( $s = 0.03\%$ ) and  $d = 36.3\%$

for weakly selected mutations ( $s = 0.0003\%$ ), summing to  $\omega = 40.4\%$ , which is similar to the estimate obtained using the MK-test (Andolfatto 2007). Their results suggest that accounting for weakly selected mutations may help reconcile the discrepancy between MK-based estimates of the rate and strength of selection and parameters estimated from sweep model predictions, described above.

Elyashiv et al (2016) showed that a map of the effects of hard sweeps and background selection is capable of explaining a large amount of the variation in diversity across the genome, further demonstrating that the action of natural selection is pervasive, at least in *D. melanogaster*. However, their method overestimated the rate of deleterious mutations, which the authors attribute to the presence of modes of adaptation other than hard sweeps in *D. melanogaster*.



## Chapter 2

# The recombination landscape in wild house mice inferred using population genomic data

*This chapter has been published as a paper in Genetics:*

*CITATION*

*That paper is reproduced here.*

## 2.1 Abstract

Characterizing variation in the rate of recombination across the genome is important for understanding many evolutionary processes. The landscape of recombination has been studied previously in the house mouse, *Mus musculus*, and it is known that the different sub-species exhibit different suites of recombination hotspots. However, it is not established whether broad-scale variation in the rate of recombination is conserved between the sub-species or whether hotspots identified in laboratory strains reflect the diversity of hotspots locations in natural populations. In this study, we construct a fine-scale recombination map for the Eastern house mouse sub-species, *M. m. castaneus*, using 10 individuals sampled from its ancestral range. We perform simulations to assess how accurately recombination rates are inferred considering phasing errors. We use a novel approach to quantify phase error, which we estimate to affect 0.5% of heterozygous SNPs in our data. We use LDhelmet to construct recombination maps for each autosome. We find that the spatial distribution of recombination rate is strongly positively correlated between our castaneus map and a map constructed using inbred lines of mice derived predominantly from *M. m. domesticus*. However, despite this high similarity we find that potential recombination hotspots in wild mice show little overlap with the locations of double-strand breaks in wild-derived strains of laboratory mice, though the greatest overlap is with a strain derived from wild *M. m. castaneus*. Finally, we also find that levels of genetic diversity

in *M. m. castaneus* are positively correlated with the rate of recombination, consistent with pervasive natural selection acting in the genome. Our study suggests that recombination rate variation is conserved at broad scales between two sub-species of *M. musculus*, though not at fine scales.

## 2.2 Introduction

In many species, rates of crossing-over are not uniformly distributed across chromosomes, and understanding this variation and its causes is important for many aspects of molecular evolution. Experiments in laboratory strains or managed populations examining the inheritance of markers through pedigrees have allowed direct estimation of rates of crossing-over in different regions of the genome. Studies of this kind are impractical for many wild populations, where pedigree structures are largely unknown (but see Johnston et al. 2016). In mice, there have been multiple genetic maps published (e.g. Jensen-Seaman et al. 2004; Paigen et al. 2008; Cox et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2014), typically using the classical inbred laboratory strains, which are predominantly derived from the Western European house mouse sub-species, *Mus musculus domesticus* (Yang et al. 2011). Recombination rate variation in laboratory strains may not, therefore, reflect natural rates and patterns in wild mice of different sub-species. In addition, recombination rate modifiers may have become fixed in the process of laboratory

strain management. On the other hand, directly estimating recombination rates in wild house mice is not feasible without both a populations pedigree and many genotyped individuals (but see Wang et al. 2017).

To understand variation in recombination rates, patterns of linkage disequilibrium (LD) in a sample of individuals drawn from a population can be used. Coalescent-based methods have been developed that use such data to indirectly estimate recombination rates at very fine scales (Hudson 2001; Mcvean et al. 2002; Mcvean et al. 2004; Auton and Mcvean 2007; Chan et al. 2012). The recombination rates estimated in this way reflect variation in crossing-over rates in populations ancestral to the extant population, and are averages between the sexes. Methods using LD have been applied to explore variation in recombination rates among mammals and other eukaryotes, and have demonstrated that recombination hotspots are associated with specific genomic features (Myers et al. 2010; Paigen and Petkov 2010; Singhal et al. 2015).

The underlying mechanisms explaining the locations of recombination events have been the focus of much research. In house mice and in most other mammals, the PRDM9 zinc-finger protein binds to specific DNA motifs, resulting in an increased probability of double-strand breaks (DSBs), which can then be resolved by reciprocal crossing-over (Grey et al. 2011; Baudat et al. 2013). Accordingly, it has been shown that recombination hotspots are enriched for PRDM9 binding sites (Myers et al. 2010; Brunschwig et al. 2012). PRDM9-knockout mice still

exhibit hotspots, but in dramatically different genomic regions (Brick et al. 2012). Variation in PRDM9, specifically in the exon encoding the zinc-finger array, results in different binding motifs (Baudat et al. 2010). Davies et al. (2016) generated a line of mice in which the exon encoding the portion of the PRDM9 protein specifying the DNA binding motif was replaced with the orthologous human sequence. The recombination hotspots they observed in this humanized line of mice were enriched for the PRDM9 binding motif observed in humans.

Great ape species have different alleles of the PRDM9 gene (Schwartz et al. 2014) and relatively little hotspot sharing (Winckler et al. 2005; Stevison et al. 2015). Correlations between the broad-scale recombination landscapes of the great apes are, however, relatively strongly positive (Stevison et al. 2011; Stevison et al. 2015). This suggests that, while hotspots evolve rapidly, the overall genetic map changes more slowly. Indeed, multiple closely related species pairs with different hotspot locations show correlations between recombination rates at broad scales (Smukowski and Noor 2011), as do species that share hotspots or lack them altogether (Singhal et al. 2015; Smukowski Heil et al. 2015).

It has been suggested that a population ancestral to the *M. musculus* sub-species complex began to split into the present-day sub-species around 350,000 years ago (Geraldes et al. 2011). In this time, functionally distinct alleles of the PRDM9 gene and different suites of hotspots have evolved in the sub-species (Smagulova et al. 2016). In addition, between members of the *M. musculus*

sub-species complex, there is also variation in recombination rates at relatively broad scales for multiple regions of the genome (Dumont et al. 2011), and recombination rates can be polymorphic between *M. m. domesticus* individuals (Wang et al. 2017). Brunschwig et al. (2012) analyzed single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) data for classical laboratory strains of mice, and used an LD-based approach to estimate the sex-averaged recombination landscape for the 19 mouse autosomes. The recombination rate map they constructed is similar to a genetic map generated using crosses by Cox et al. (2009). Both studies were conducted using the classical inbred lines, whose ancestry is largely *M. m. domesticus* (Yang et al. 2011), and their estimated recombination rate landscapes may therefore reflect that of *M. m. domesticus* more than other members of the *M. musculus* sub-species complex.

In this study, we construct a recombination map for the house mouse sub-species *M. m. castaneus*. We used the genome sequences of 10 wild-caught individuals of *M. m. castaneus* from the species expected ancestral range, originally reported by Halligan et al. (2013). In our analysis, we first phased SNPs and estimated rates of error in phasing. Secondly, we simulated data to assess the power of estimating recombination rates based on 10 individuals and the extent by which phase errors lead to biased estimates of the rate of recombination. Finally, using an LD-based approach, we inferred a sex-averaged map of recombination rates and compared this to previously published genetic

maps for *M. musculus*. We show that variation in recombination rates in *M. m. castaneus* is very similar to rate variation estimated in the classical inbred strains, at broad scales. However, we find little correspondence in fine-scale recombination rate variation between *M. m. castaneus* and previously reported rate. This suggests that, at broad scales, recombination rates have been relatively highly conserved since the sub-species began to diverge.

## 2.3 Materials and Methods

### 2.3.1 Polymorphism data for *Mus musculus castaneus*

We analyzed the genomes of 10 wild-caught *M. m. castaneus* individuals sequenced by Halligan et al. (2013). Samples were from North-West India, a region that is believed to be within the ancestral range of the house mouse. Mice from this region have among the highest levels of genetic diversity among the *M. musculus* sub-species (Baines and Harr 2007). In addition, the individuals sequenced represent a single population cluster and showed little evidence for substantial inbreeding (Halligan et al. 2010). Halligan et al. (2013) sequenced individual genomes to high coverage using multiple libraries of Illumina paired-end reads, which were mapped to the mm9 reference genome using BWA (Li and Durbin 2009). Mean coverage was  $\geq 20\times$  and the proportion of the genome

with  $\geq 10\times$  coverage was more than 80% for all individuals sampled (Halligan et al. 2013). Variants were called with the Samtools mpileup function (Li et al. 2009) using an allele frequency spectrum (AFS) prior. The AFS was obtained by iteratively calling variants until the spectrum converged. After the first iteration, all SNPs at frequencies  $\geq 0.5$  were swapped into the mm9 genome to construct a reference genome for *M. m. castaneus*, which was used for subsequent variant calling (for further details see Halligan et al. 2013). The variant call format files generated by Halligan et al. (2013) were used in this study. In addition, alignments of *Mus famulus* and *Rattus norvegicus* to the mm9 genome, also generated by Halligan et al. (2013), were used as outgroups.

For the purposes of estimating recombination rates, variable sites were filtered on the basis of several conditions: Insertion/deletion polymorphisms were excluded, because the method used to phase variants (see below) cannot process these sites. We also excluded sites with more than two alleles and those that failed the Samtools Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test ( $p \geq 0.002$ ).

### 2.3.2 Inferring phase and estimating switch error rates

LDhelmet estimates recombination rates from a sample of phased chromosomes or haplotypes drawn from a population. To estimate haplotypes, heterozygous SNPs called in *M. m. castaneus* were phased using read-aware phasing in ShapeIt2



(Delaneau et al. 2013). ShapeIt2 uses sequencing reads that span multiple heterozygous variants, phase-informative reads (PIRs), and LD to phase variants at the level of whole chromosomes. Incorrectly phased heterozygous SNPs, termed switch errors, may upwardly bias estimates of the recombination rate, because they appear identical to legitimate crossing-over events. To assess the impact of incorrect phasing on our recombination rate inferences, we quantified the switch error rate as follows. The population sample of *M. m. castaneus* comprised of seven females and three males. The X-chromosome variants in males therefore represent perfectly phased haplotypes. We merged the BAM alignments of short reads for the X-chromosome of the three males (samples H12, H28 and H34 from Halligan et al. (2013)) to make three datasets of pseudo-females, which are female-like, but in which the true haplotypes are known ( $H12+H28 = H40$ ;  $H12+H34 = H46$ ;  $H28 + H34 = H62$ ). We then jointly re-called variants in the seven female samples plus the three pseudo-females using an identical pipeline as used by Halligan et al. (2013), as outlined above, using the same AFS prior.

Switch error rates in Shapeit2 are sensitive both to coverage and quality (per genotype and per variant) (Delaneau et al. 2013). We explored the effects of different filter parameters on the switch error rates produced by ShapeIt2 using the X-chromosomes of the pseudo-females. We filtered SNPs based on combinations of variant and genotype quality scores (QUAL and GQ, respectively) and on an individuals sequencing depth (DP) (Table S1). For the individual-

specific statistics (DP and GQ), if a single individual failed a particular filter, then that SNP was not included in further analyses. By comparing the known X-chromosome haplotypes and those inferred by ShapeIt2, we calculated switch error rates as the ratio of incorrectly resolved heterozygous SNPs to the total number of heterozygous SNPs for each pseudo-female individual. We used these results to choose filter parameters to apply to the autosomal data that generated a low switch error rate in ShapeIt2, while maintaining a high number of heterozygous SNPs. We obtained 20 phased haplotypes for each of the 19 mouse autosomes. With these, we estimated the recombination rate landscape for *M. m. castaneus*.

### 2.3.3 Estimating recombination maps and validation of the approach

LDhelmet (v1.7; Chan et al. 2012) generates a sex-averaged map of recombination rates from a sample of haplotypes that are assumed to be drawn from a randomly mating population. Briefly, LDhelmet examines patterns of LD in a sample of phased chromosomal regions and uses a composite likelihood approach to infer recombination rates that are best supported between adjacent SNPs. LDhelmet appears to perform well for species with large effective population size ( $N_e$ ) and has been shown to be robust to the effects of selective sweeps, which may be prevalent and reduce diversity in and around functional elements of the *M. m. castaneus* genome (Halligan et al. 2013). The underlying model of LDhelmet

relies on the assumption that populations are at recombination-drift equilibrium. We assume this to be the case for our sampled population, however violation of this may result in biased recombination rate estimates. However, the analyses conducted by Chan et al. (2012), in which the software was tested, were performed with a larger number of haplotypes than we have in our sample. To assess whether our smaller sample size gives reliable recombination maps, we validated and parameterized LDhelmet using simulated datasets.

A key parameter in LDhelmet is the block penalty, which determines the extent by which likelihood is penalized by spatial variation in the recombination rate, such that a high block penalty results in a smoother recombination map. We performed simulations to determine the block penalty that leads to the most accurate estimates of the recombination rate in chromosomes that have levels of diversity and base content similar to *M. m. castaneus*. Chromosomes with constant values of  $\rho = 4N_e r$  ranging from  $2 \times 10^{-6}$  to  $2 \times 10^1$  were simulated in SLiM v1.8 (Messer 2013). For each value of  $\rho$ , 0.5Mbp of neutrally evolving sequence was simulated for populations of  $N = 1,000$  diploid individuals. Mutation rates in the simulations were set using the compound parameter  $\theta = 4N_e \mu$ , where  $\mu$  is the per-base, per-generation mutation rate. The mutation and recombination rates of the simulations were scaled to  $\theta/4N$  and  $\rho/4N$ , respectively.  $\theta$  was set to 0.01 for all simulations, as this is close to the genome-wide average for our data, based on pairwise differences. Simulations were run

for 10,000 generations to achieve equilibrium levels of polymorphism, at which time 10 diploid individuals were sampled from the population. Each simulation was repeated 20 times, resulting in 10Mbp of sequence for each value of  $\theta$ . The SLiM output files were converted to sequence data, suitable for analysis by LDhelmet, using a custom Python script that incorporated the mutation rate matrix estimated for non-CpG prone sites in *M. m. castaneus* (see below). We inferred recombination rates from the simulated data in windows of 4,400 SNPs with a 200 SNP overlap between windows, following (Chan et al. 2012). We analyzed the simulated data using LDhelmet with block penalties of 10, 25, 50 and 100. The default parameters of LDhelmet are tuned to analyze *Drosophila melanogaster* data (Chan et al. 2012). Since the *D. melanogaster* population studied by Chan et al. (2012) has comparable levels of genetic diversity to *M. m. castaneus* we used the defaults for all other parameters, other than the block penalty and estimate of  $\theta$ .

Errors in phase inference, discussed above, may bias our estimates of the recombination rate, since they appear to break apart patterns of LD. We assessed the impact of these errors on recombination rate inference by incorporating them into the simulated data at a rate estimated from the pseudo-female individuals. For each of the 10 individuals drawn from the simulated populations, switch errors were randomly introduced at heterozygous positions at the rate estimated using the chosen SNP filter set (see Results). We then inferred the recombination

rates, as above, for the simulated population using these error-prone data. We assessed the effect of switch errors on recombination rate inference by comparing estimates based on the simulated data both with and without switch errors. It is worth noting that there is the potential for switch errors to undo crossing-over events, reducing inferred recombination rates, if they affect heterozygous SNPs that are breakpoints of recombinant regions.

### 2.3.4 Recombination rate estimation for *M. m. castaneus*

We used LDhelmet (Chan et al. 2012), to estimate recombination rates for each of the *M. m. castaneus* autosomes. It is well established that autosomal recombination rates differ between the sexes in *M. musculus* (Cox et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2014). A drawback of LD-based approaches is that they give sex-averaged recombination rates.

We used both *M. famulus* and *R. norvegicus* as outgroups to assign ancestral alleles to polymorphic sites. LDhelmet incorporates both the mutation matrix and a prior probability on the ancestral allele at each variable position as parameters in the model. We obtained these parameters as follows. For non-CpG prone polymorphic sites, if the outgroups shared the same allele, we assigned that allele as ancestral and these sites were then used to populate the mutation matrix, following Chan et al. (2012). This approach ignores the possibility of both back

mutation and homoplasy. To account for this uncertainty, LDhelmet incorporates a prior probability on the ancestral base. Following Singhal et al. (2015), at resolvable sites (i.e. when both outgroups agreed), the ancestral base was given a prior probability of 0.91, with 0.03 assigned to each of the three remaining bases. This was done to provide high confidence in the ancestral allele, but to also include the possibility of ancestral allele misinference. At unresolved sites (i.e., if the outgroup alleles did not agree or there were alignment gaps in either outgroup), we used the stationary distribution of allele frequencies from the mutation rate matrix as the prior (Table S2).

We analyzed a total of 44,835,801 SNPs in LDhelmet to construct recombination maps for each of the *M. m. castaneus* autosomes and the X-chromosome. Following Chan et al. (2012), windows of 4,400 SNPs, overlapping by 200 SNPs on either side, were analysed. We ran LDhelmet for a total of 1,000,000 iterations, discarding the first 100,000 as burn-in. A block penalty of 100 was chosen to obtain a conservatively estimated broad-scale recombination map. For the purposes of identifying recombination hotspots, we re-ran the LDhelmet analysis with a block penalty of 10. We analyzed all sites that passed the filters chosen using the pseudo-female phasing analysis regardless of CpG status; note that excluding CpG-prone sites removes  $\sim 50\%$  of the available data and thus would substantially reduce the power to infer recombination rates. We assumed  $\theta = 0.01$ , the approximate genome-wide level of neutral diversity in *M. m. castaneus*,

and included ancestral allele priors and the mutation rate matrix for non-CpG sites as parameters in the model. Following the analyses, we removed overlapping SNPs and concatenated SNP windows to obtain recombination maps for whole chromosomes.

It is worthwhile noting that our recombination maps were constructed with genotype calls made using the mm9 version of the mouse reference genome. This version was released in 2007 and there have been subsequent versions released since then. However, previously published genetic maps for *M. musculus* were constructed using mm9, so we used that reference to make comparisons (see below).

### 2.3.5 Broad scale comparison to previously published maps

The recombination rate map inferred with a block penalty of 100 for *M. m. castaneus* was compared with two previously published genetic maps for *M. musculus*. The first map was generated by analyzing the inheritance patterns of markers in crosses between inbred lines (Cox et al. 2009) (downloaded from <http://cgd.jax.org/mousemapconverter/>). Hereafter, this map shall be referred to as the Cox map. The second map was generated by Brunshwig et al. (2012), by analyzing SNPs in classical inbred mouse lines using LDhat (Auton

and Mcvean 2007), the software upon which LDhelmet is based (available at <http://www.genetics.org/content/early/2012/05/04/genetics.112.141036>). Hereafter, this map shall be referred to as the Brunshwig map. Both the Brunshwig and Cox maps were constructed using far fewer markers than the present study,  $\sim 500,000$  and  $\sim 10,000$  SNPs, respectively and both maps were generated using classical strains of laboratory mice, which are predominantly of *M. m. domesticus* origin (Yang et al. 2011). For example, in the classical inbred strains analyzed by Cox et al. (2009), the mean genome-wide ancestry attributable to *M. m. domesticus*, *M. m. musculus* and *M. m. castaneus* is 94.8%, 5.0% and 0.2%, respectively (data downloaded from the Mouse Phylogeny Viewer (Wang et al. 2012) <http://msub.csbio.unc.edu>). Values for all classical strains, 60 of which were analyzed by Brunshwig et al. (2012), are similar (Yang et al. 2011).

Recombination rates in the Brunshwig map and our castaneus map were inferred in terms of the population recombination rate ( $\rho = 4Ner$ ), units that are not directly convertible to centimorgans (cM), but were converted to cM/Mb for comparison purposes using frequency weighted means, as follows. Both LDhat and LDhelmet give estimates of  $\rho$  (per Kbp and bp, respectively) between pairs of adjacent SNPs. To account for differences in the physical distance between adjacent SNPs when calculating cumulative  $\rho$ , we used the number of bases between a pair of SNPs to weight that pairs contribution to the sum. By setting the total map distance for each chromosome to be equal to those found by Cox



et al. (2009), we scaled the cumulative  $\rho$  at each analyzed SNP position to cM values.

At the level of whole chromosomes, we compared mean recombination rates from the castaneus map with several previously published maps. The frequency-weighted mean recombination rates (in terms of  $\rho$ ) for each of the chromosomes from the castaneus and Brunshwig maps were compared with the cM/Mb values obtained by Cox et al. (2009) as well as independent estimates of the per chromosome recombination rates from Jensen-Seaman et al. (2004). Pearson correlations were calculated for each comparison. Population structure in the inbred line data analyzed by Brunshwig et al. (2012) may have elevated LD, thus downwardly biasing estimates of  $\rho$ . To investigate this, we divided the frequency-weighted mean recombination rates per chromosome from the castaneus and Brunshwig maps by the rates given in Cox et al. (2009) to obtain estimates of effective population size.

At the Mbp scale, we compared variation in recombination rates across the autosomes in the different maps using windows. We calculated Pearson correlations between the frequency weighted-mean recombination rates (in cM/Mb) in non-overlapping windows for the castaneus, Cox and Brunshwig maps. The window size considered may affect the correlation between maps, so we calculate Pearson correlations in windows of 1Mbp to 20Mbp in size. For visual compar-

ison of the castaneus and Cox maps, we plotted recombination rates in sliding windows of 10Mbp, offset by 1Mb.

### 2.3.6 Fine-scale recombination rate variation in wild *M.*

#### *m. castaneus*

To assess the distribution of fine-scale recombination rates in *M. m. castaneus* we used Gini coefficients and Lorenz curves. Applied to genetic maps, Gini coefficients and Lorenz curves have been used as a quantitative measure of the extent of heterogeneity of recombination rates in a genome (e.g. Kaur and Rockman 2014). Using our recombination maps generated using a block penalty of 10, we constructed Lorenz curves and calculated their Gini coefficients for each chromosome separately.

Recombination hotspots can be operationally defined as small windows of the genome that exhibit elevated rates of recombination relative to surrounding regions. To obtain the locations of potential recombination hotspots we adapted a script used by Singhal et al. (2016). We divided the genome into non-overlapping windows 2Kbp wide and, using the maps we generated using a block penalty of 10, classified all windows where the recombination rate was at least 5x greater than the recombination rate in the surrounding 80Kbp as potential hotspots.

After identification, we merged all hotspots that were located directly next to one another.

To ask whether the fine-scale recombination rate variation in *M. m. castaneus* is like that reported for inbred lines, we compared the locations of putative hotspots in our data to the locations of DSBs reported by Smagulova et al. (2016). In their study, Smagulova et al. (2016) generated sequencing reads corresponding to the locations of DSBs in inbred strains of mice representing each of the principle *M. musculus* sub-species as well as *M. m. molossinus*, an inter-sub-specific hybrid of *M. m. castaneus* and *M. m. musculus*. Their reads were mapped to the mm10 genome so to compare the locations of we converted the coordinates of DSBs to mm9 using the UCSC LiftOver tool (<https://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgLiftOver>), using the default parameters. The locations of putative hotspots present in our dataset were compared to the locations of DSBs in each of the strains studied by Smagulova et al. (2016) using BedTools v2.17.0 (Quinlan and Hall 2010). To determine the amount of overlap between our list of hotspots and each of the lists of DSBs expected by chance, we approximated the null distribution of hotspot sharing using a randomization approach. For each of the inbred strains analyzed by Smagulova et al., we randomized the locations of our putative hotspots (using BedTools shuffle with the chrom option) and obtained the number of overlapping hotspots and DSB locations. For each comparison, this

procedure was repeated 1000 times, per inbred strain, and the maximum number of null overlaps was taken as an approximate 0.1% significance threshold.

### **2.3.7 Examining the correlation between nucleotide diversity and recombination rate**

There is evidence that natural selection is pervasive in the protein-coding genes and conserved non-coding elements in the murid genome (Halligan et al. 2010; Halligan et al. 2011; Halligan et al. 2013). Directional selection acting on selected sites within exons may reduce diversity at linked neutral sites through the processes of background selection and/or selective sweeps. These processes have the largest effect in regions of low recombination, and can therefore generate positive correlations between diversity and the recombination rate, as has been observed in multiple species (Cutter and Payseur 2013). We used our castaneus map to examine the relationship between nucleotide diversity and recombination rates as follows. We obtained the coordinates of the canonical spliceforms of protein coding genes, orthologous between mouse and rat from Ensembl Biomart (Ensembl Database 67; <http://www.ensembl.org/info/website/archives/index.html>). We calculated the frequency-weighted mean recombination rate, from the block penalty 100 map, and the GC content for each gene. Using the approximate castaneus reference, described above, and the outgroup alignment, we obtained the locations of 4-fold degenerate synonymous sites. If a site was annotated as

4-fold in all three species considered, it was used for further analysis. We removed poor quality alignments between mouse and rat, exhibiting a spurious excess of diverged sites, where  $\geq 80\%$  of sites were missing. We also excluded five genes that were diverged at all non-CpG prone 4-fold sites, as it is likely that these also represent incorrect alignments. After filtering, there were a total of 18,171 protein-coding genes for analysis.

We examined the correlation between local recombination rates in protein coding genes with nucleotide diversity and divergence. Variation in the mutation rate across the genome may influence genome-wide analyses of nucleotide polymorphism, so we also examined the correlation between the ratio of nucleotide diversity and divergence from *R. norvegicus* at neutral sites and the rate of recombination. We used non-parametric Kendall rank correlations for all comparisons.

All analyses were conducted using Python scripts, except correlation analyses which were conducted using R (R Core Team 2016) and hotspot identification which was done using a Python script adapted from one provided by Singhal et al. (2016).

## 2.4 Results

### 2.4.1 Phasing SNPs and estimating the switch error rate

In order to infer recombination rates from our sample of individuals, we required phased SNPs. Taking advantage of the high sequencing depth of the sample generated by Halligan et al. (2013), we phased SNPs using ShapeIt2, an approach that makes use of both LD and sequencing reads to resolve haplotypes. We phased each of the mouse autosomes, giving a total of 44,835,801 SNPs for estimation of recombination rates (Table S3).

By constructing pseudo-female individuals, we quantified the switch error rate incurred when inferring phase from our data. After filtering of variants, ShapeIt2 achieved low switch error rates for all parameter combinations tested (Table S1). We chose a set of filters (GQ  $\geq$  15, QUAL  $\geq$  30) that resulted in a mean switch error rates across the three pseudo-females of 0.46% (Table S1) and filtered out, on average, 44% of the available SNPs (Table S3). More stringent filtering resulted in slightly lower mean switch error rates, but also resulted in the removal of many more variants from the dataset (Table S1), thus reducing power to resolve recombination rates in downstream analyses.

### 2.4.2 Simulations to validate LDhelmet for the population sample of *M. m. castaneus*

We assessed the performance of LDhelmet when applied to our dataset by simulation. In the absence of switch errors, LDhelmet accurately infers the average recombination rate down to values of  $\rho/bp = 2 \times 10^{-4}$  (Figure 1). Below this value, LDhelmet overestimated the scaled recombination rate for the simulated populations (Figure 1). With switch errors incorporated into simulated data, LDhelmet accurately estimated  $\rho/bp$  in the range  $2 \times 10^{-3}$  to  $2 \times 10^2$ . When the true  $\rho/bp$  was  $< 2 \times 10^{-3}$ , however, LDhelmet overestimated the mean recombination rate for 0.5Mbp regions (Figure 1). This behavior was consistent for all block penalties tested (Figure S1). Given that the simulations incorporated the mutation rate matrix (Table S2) and mutation rate ( $\theta = 4N_e\mu$ ) estimated for *M. m. castaneus* we concluded that LDhelmet is applicable to the dataset of 10 *M. m. castaneus* individuals sequenced by Halligan et al. (2013).

### 2.4.3 Recombination rates in the *M. m. castaneus* genome

A recombination rate map for each *M. m. castaneus* autosome was constructed using LDhelmet. We analyzed a total of 44,835,801 phased SNPs across the 19 mouse autosomes and the X-chromosome. From the map constructed using a block penalty of 100, the frequency weighted mean value of  $\rho/bp$  for all autosomes

was 0.009. This value is greater than the lower detection limit suggested by both the simulations with and without switch errors (Figure 1). For the X-chromosome, the frequency-weighted mean rate was 0.0026, which is closer to the lower detection limit, but still above it (Figure 1). Because of this, the lower SNP density and smaller number of alleles used for inference, results for the X-chromosome may be more error-prone than for the autosomes.

We assessed variation in whole-chromosome recombination rates between our LD-based castaneus map and direct estimates of recombination rates published in earlier studies. Comparing the mean recombination rates for whole chromosomes provides us with a baseline comparison for which we have an a priori expectation: We expect that chromosome 19, the shortest in physical length, should have the highest mean recombination rate since at least one crossing-over event is required per meiosis per chromosome in mice and that the X-chromosome, which only undergoes recombination in females, should have the lowest rate. Both expectations have been met in previous studies of recombination in *M. musculus* (Jensen-Seaman et al. 2004; Cox et al. 2009). Indeed, we find that the frequency-weighted mean recombination rates for chromosome 19 and the X-chromosome are the highest and lowest, respectively (Table 1). We also found that the frequency-weighted mean recombination rates for each of the chromosomes we analyzed were highly correlated with the direct estimates given in Jensen-Seaman et al. (2004) (Pearson correlation = 0.59,  $p = 0.005$ ) and Cox et al. (2009) (Pearson correlation



$= 0.68$ ,  $p = 0.001$ ), excluding the X-chromosomes does not substantially change the correlation results. These correlations suggest that our analysis captures real variation in recombination rates at the scale of whole chromosomes in the *M. m. castaneus* genome.

#### 2.4.4 Comparison of the *M. m. castaneus* map to maps constructed using inbred lines

We compared the intra-chromosomal variation in recombination rates between our castaneus map and previously published maps. Figure 2 shows the variation in recombination rates across the largest and smallest autosomes in the mouse genome, chromosomes 1 and 19, respectively. It is clear that the castaneus and Cox maps are very similar (see also Figure S2 showing a comparison of all autosomes). Correlation coefficients between the maps are  $>0.8$  for window sizes of 8Mbp and above (Figure 3), though the correlations are noisier when considering chromosomes separately (Figure S3). Although the broad-scale correlation between the castaneus and Cox maps is high (Figure 3), there were several regions of the genome that substantially differ, for example in the center of chromosome 9 (Figure S2). The Cox and castaneus maps are more similar to one another than either are to the Brunshwig map (Figure 3). This is presumably because the Brunshwig map was constructed using an LD-based approach with a sample of 60 inbred mouse strains and a relatively low SNP

density. Population structure in the lines used by Brunshwig et al. (2012) or the sub-species from which they were derived would elevate LD, resulting in downwardly-biased chromosome-wide values of  $\rho$ . This is also reflected in the  $N_e$  values estimated from the frequency-weighted average recombination rates for each chromosome. The estimates of  $N_e$  are substantially different between the castaneus and Brunshwig maps, i.e. the castaneus estimates are consistently  $\sim 500\times$  higher (Table 1). The estimates of  $N_e$  from the castaneus map are in broad agreement with the estimates of  $N_e$  based on polymorphism data (Geraldes et al. 2008; Geraldes et al. 2011). The lower SNP density used to construct the Brunshwig map would also likely result in a lower resolution recombination map.

#### 2.4.5 Analysis of fine-scale recombination rates in wild *M. m. castaneus*

To locate potential recombination hotspots in wild *M. m. castaneus* we ran LDhelmet at a lower block penalty. As expected, the lower block penalty introduced more fine-scale variation into the recombination map; for example, see Figure S4. We used this fine-scale variation to locate 39,972 potential recombination hotspots in wild *M. m. castaneus* across the autosomes and X-chromosome. On average, there was 15 hotspots per Mbp across for all chromosomes tested. The total number of putative hotspots we identified is more than double the 15,061 DSB locations identified for CAST, a wild-derived strain

representing *M. m. castaneus*, by Smagulova et al. (2016). In classical inbred lines, a total of 47,073 recombination hotspots were previously identified using a coalescent-based approach by Brunshwig et al. (2012), though they did not analyze the X-chromosome in their study.

To obtain a measure of the heterogeneity of recombination rates in the genome, we constructed Lorenz curves and calculated their Gini coefficients (Figure S5). In the context of a genetic map, Gini coefficients close to zero represent more uniform distributions of crossing-over rates and values closer to one indicates that recombination events are restricted to a small number of locations in a genome. Using the map constructed with a block penalty of 10, the mean Gini coefficient for across all autosomes was found to be 0.78. Our estimate is in line with that of Kaur and Rockman (2014), who reported a median Gini coefficient of 0.77 for chromosome 1 in inbred mice using a high-density map of crossing over locations observed in a crossing study (Paigen et al. 2008). The Lorenz curve for the X-chromosome was distinct from the autosomes (Figure X), however, with a Gini coefficient of 0.95, which is similar to the upper limit of the confidence interval around the estimate of Kaur and Rockman (2014).

We compared the locations of our potential recombination hotspots to the positions of DSBs reported by Smagulova et al. (2016). We found only a small overlap between the locations of potential recombination hotspots inferred for wild-caught mice and the locations of DSBs observed in the wild-derived inbred

strains analyzed by Smagulova et al. (2016) (Table S4). The inbred strain CAST, representing *M. m. castaneus*, had the greatest amount of overlap, with 12.2% of DSB locations overlapping a putative hotspot and 4.1% after correcting for the number of overlaps expected seen by chance (Table S4). The second greatest overlap was with PWD, a strain that represents *M. m. musculus* (Table S4). All strains representing *M. m. domesticus* (13R, B6 and C3H) showed less than 1% overlap after correction. Note that our estimates of the null expectation are likely conservative, as false positives due to, for example, switch errors, present in our set of putative hotspots will inflate the probability of chance overlaps.

#### 2.4.6 Correlations between recombination rate and properties of protein coding genes in *M. m. castaneus*

By examining the correlation between genetic diversity and recombination rate, we determined whether our map captures variation in  $N_e$  across the genome. We found that recombination rates at autosomal protein coding genes are significantly and positively correlated with levels of neutral genetic diversity, at all sites regardless of base context and at non-CpG-prone sites only (Table 2). Divergence from the rat at 4-fold sites was also significantly and positively correlated with recombination rate when analyzing all sites. However, for non-CpG-prone sites we found a small negative correlation (Table 2). There was also a significant and positive relationship between recombination rate and a genes GC content ( $\tau =$

0.125,  $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ ). The correlation between recombination rate and neutral diversity divided by divergence from the rat was both positive and significant, regardless of base context (Table 2; Figure S6). This indicates that natural selection may have a role in reducing diversity via hitchhiking and/or background selection.

## 2.5 Discussion

By constructing fine-scale maps of the recombination rate for *M. m. castaneus*, we have shown that there is a high degree of similarity between the recombination landscape for wild-caught mice and their laboratory counterparts, at relatively broad scales. Our maps capture variation in the recombination rate, similar to that observed in a more traditional linkage map, at the level of both whole chromosomes and genomic windows of varying size. However, we found that a relatively small proportion of DSB locations identified in wild-derived strains by Smagulova et al. (2016) overlapped with the recombination hotspots we identified, suggesting that at the fine-scale recombination rates are highly variable between, and even within, sub-species. We discuss potential reasons for this below.

Recombination landscapes inferred using coalescent approaches, as in this study, reflect ancestral variation in recombination rates. We show that this ancestral variation is highly correlated with contemporaneous recombination rates

in inbred mice representing *M. m. domesticus*, suggesting that the broad-scale variation in recombination rate has not evolved dramatically since the sub-species began to diverge, around 350,000 years ago (Geraldes et al. 2011). At a finer scale, however, we have shown that there is considerable variation in the locations of recombination hotspots within the *M. m. castaneus* sub-species. Our findings reflect results in hominids and the great-apes, which suggest that, although the locations of recombination hotspots are strongly diverged between species, broad-scale patterns of recombination rate are relatively conserved (Leseque et al. 2014; Stevison et al. 2015). However, there do seem to be multiple relatively large regions of the genome that distinguish *M. m. castaneus* and *M. m. domesticus*. For example, we observe peaks in recombination rate for *M. m. castaneus* on chromosomes 4, 5, 14 and 15 that are not present in the Cox map (Figure S2). Since present-day populations of *M. m. domesticus* exhibit karyotype variation (Gimenez et al. 2017), it seems plausible that chromosomal translocations or fusions in ancestral populations may have affected our rate estimates. The application of traditional mapping approaches to *M. m. castaneus* individuals could potentially help elucidate this.

The correlation between the castaneus and Cox maps for the X-chromosome seems to be weaker than for autosomes of similar physical length (e.g Chromosomes 2 and 3) (Figure ), perhaps suggesting that the genetic map of the X-chromosome evolves faster than the autosomes. However, the X-chromosome has

substantially reduced SNP density (Table S3) and recombination rates were inferred using 17 alleles rather than the 20 used for each of the autosomes making comparisons between these correlations slightly problematic. Nevertheless, these results are potentially consistent with those of Dumont et al. (2011), who found that there are significant differences in genetic length between *M. m. castaneus* and *M. m. musculus* (when crossed to *M. m. domesticus*) in multiple regions of the genome, particularly on the X-chromosome.

A recent study by Stevison et al. (2015) reported that correlations between recombination rates declined with genetic divergence between great ape species. For example, between European humans and gorillas, genetic divergence is  $\sim 1.4\%$ , while the Spearman-rank correlation between their respective recombination maps, at the 1Mbp scale, is  $\sim 0.5$ . Genetic divergence between *M. m. castaneus* and *M. m. domesticus* is reported to be  $\sim 0.5$  (Geraldes et al. 2008) and we find a Spearman-rank correlation of 0.47 between the castaneus map and the Cox map, at the 1Mbp scale (Note, Pearson correlations are shown in Figure 3). This perhaps suggests that recombination rate differences have accumulated faster between *M. m. castaneus* and *M. m. domesticus* than it has between great apes. However, it should be noted that the comparisons performed by Stevison et al. (2015) were between recombination maps constructed with similar volumes of data for each species, using identical methods, which is not the case for the comparison we make between our maps and those of Cox et al. (2009), so quantitative

comparisons between the studies should be treated with caution. Performing a comparative analysis of recombination rates in the different sub-species of house mice, as well as sister species, using LD-based methods would help elucidate the time-scale of recombination rate evolution in wild mice.

We investigated how the landscape of fine-scale recombination rates inferred for wild *M. m. castaneus* compares to that of wild-derived laboratory mice. There was only a small amount of overlap between the locations of DSBs in wild-derived strains and our lists of putative hotspots. The greatest overlap was with inbred strains derived from *M. m. castaneus* (Table S4). We found that 12% (or 4% above null expectation) of DSB locations reported for CAST, by Smagulova et al. (2016), overlapped with a hotspot we inferred for *M. m. castaneus*. Such a low proportion is striking, suggesting that even within house mouse sub-species there is a great variation in the locations of recombination hotspots. Though, this is perhaps this is not surprising when considering that PRDM9 determines the locations of the vast majority of recombination hotspots in mice (Brick et al. 2012) and that even a single amino acid change to the zinc-finger array of that protein can result in dramatic shifts in the landscape of DSBs (Smagulova et al. 2016). Furthermore, in wild *M. musculus* there is a large diversity of PRDM9 alleles in each of the different sub-species (Kono et al. 2014) so the locations of DSBs in the CAST strain, observed by Smagulova et al. (2016), may represent only a small proportion of the diversity of hotspot locations in wild mice. Despite



the small overlap, the similarity of the mean Gini coefficient for our map and the estimate for *M. musculus* given by Kaur and Rockman (2014), suggests that the distributions of recombination rates in wild mice and inbred lines are similarly heterogeneous. Interestingly, Smagulova et al. (2011), showed that there is a high correlation between a genetic map constructed using DSBs mapped in inbred mice, using the same approach as Smagulova et al. (2016), and the Cox map. We have shown that our castaneus map is highly correlated to the Cox map despite little overlap between the locations of DSBs in domesticus-derived strains the locations of hotspots are highly different between our study and DSB maps for different sub-species. These results perhaps suggest that the binding motifs of the different PRDM9 alleles in the sub-species have been in broadly similar genomic regions, resulting in recombination rates evolving rapidly at finer-scales, but more slowly at broader scales. An analysis of recombination rates in sister species of mice, or other murid rodents, would be useful in understanding the causes of rate variation in this system.

The castaneus map constructed in this study appears to be more similar to the Cox map than the Brunschwig map (Figure 3). There are number of potential reasons for this. Firstly, we used a much larger number of markers to resolve recombination rates than Brunschwig et al. (2012), giving us more power to capture variation in the recombination rate. Secondly, it seems probable that population structure within and between the inbred and wild-derived lines

studied by Brunshwig et al. (2012) could have resulted in biased estimates of the recombination rate. By dividing the mean estimated  $r^2$  values (inferred using LDhelmet) for each chromosome by the corresponding recombination rate estimated from crosses (Cox et al. 2009), we showed that  $N_e$  estimates from the Brunshwig map are much lower than estimates based on our map (Table 1). This is consistent with the presence of elevated LD between the SNPs in the inbred lines analyzed by Brunshwig et al. (2012). It should be noted, however, that the estimates of  $N_e$  will be biased, as  $r^2 = 4N_e$  is a parameter in both LDhat and LDhelmet. In spite of this potential bias, the differences in  $N_e$  estimated from the Brunshwig and castaneus maps shown in Table 1 are striking, given that the effective population sizes of *M. m. domesticus* and *M. m. castaneus* are expected to be 150,000 and 350,000, respectively (Geraldes et al. 2008). The Brunshwig map does, however, capture true variation in recombination rates, because their map is also highly correlated with the Cox map (Pearson correlation  $>0.4$ ) for all genomic windows wider than 8Mbp (Figure 3). Indeed, Brunshwig et al. (2012) showed by simulation that hotspots are detectable by analysis of inbred lines and validated their inferred hotspots against the locations of those observed in crosses among classical strains of *M. m. domesticus* (Smagulova et al. 2011). This suggests, that while estimates of the recombination rate in the Brunshwig et al. (2012) map may have been downwardly biased by population structure, variation in the rate and locations of hotspots were still accurately detected in their study.

We obtained an estimate of the switch error rate, taking advantage of the hemizygous sex chromosomes of males present in our sample. This allowed us to assess the extent by which switch errors affected our ability to infer recombination rates in *M. m. castaneus*. It should be noted, however, that our inferred switch error rate may not fully represent that of the autosomes. This is because multiple factors influence the ability to phase variants using ShapeIt2 (i.e. LD, SNP density, sample size, depth of coverage and read length) and some of these factors differ between the X-chromosome and the autosomes. As the sex-averaged recombination rate for the X-chromosome is expected to be  $\frac{3}{4}$  that of the autosomes, it likely has elevated LD, and thus there will be higher power to infer phase. In contrast, the level of X-linked nucleotide diversity in *M. m. castaneus* is approximately one half that of the autosomes (Kousathanas et al. 2014), and thus there would be a higher probability of phase informative reads on the autosomes. While it is difficult to assess whether the switch error rates we estimated from the X-chromosome analysis will be the same as on the autosomes, the analysis allowed us to explore the effects of different SNP filters on the error rate.

By simulating the effect of switch errors on estimates of the recombination rate, we inferred the range over which  $\gamma$ /bp is accurately estimated in our data. Switch errors appear identical to legitimate crossing-over events and, if they are randomly distributed along chromosomes, a specific rate of error will resemble

a constant rate of crossing-over. The rate of switch error will then determine a detection threshold below which recombination cannot be accurately inferred. We introduced switch errors at random into the simulation data and estimates of  $r$ /bp obtained from these datasets reflect this detection threshold; below  $2 \times 10^{-3}$   $r$ /bp, we found that LDhelmet consistently overestimates the recombination rate in the presence of switch errors (Figure 1; Figure S1). This highlights a possible source of bias affecting LD-based recombination mapping studies using inferred haplotypes, suggesting that error in phase inference needs to be carefully considered before attempting to estimate recombination rates and/or recombination hotspots using LD-based approaches.

Consistent with studies in a variety of organisms, we found a positive correlation between genetic diversity at putatively neutral sites and the rate of recombination. Both unscaled nucleotide diversity and diversity divided by divergence between mouse and rat, a proxy for the mutation rate, are positively correlated with recombination (Table 2). Cai et al. (2009) found evidence suggesting that recombination may be mutagenic, though insufficient to account for the correlations they observed between recombination and diversity. The Kendall correlation between  $r$ /drat and recombination rate of 0.20 for all 4-fold sites, a value that is similar in magnitude to the corresponding value of 0.09 reported by Cai et al. (2009) in humans. The correlations we report may be downwardly biased, however, because switch errors may result in

inflated recombination rates inferred for regions of the genome where the true recombination rate is low (see above). Genes that have recombination rates lower than the detection limit set by the switch error rate may be reported as having inflated /bp (Figure 1; Figure S1), and this would have the effect of reducing correlation statistics. It is difficult to assess the extent of this bias, however, and in any case the correlations we observed between diversity and recombination suggest that our recombination map does indeed capture real variation in  $N_e$  across the genome. This indicates that a recombination mediated process influences levels of genetic diversity. Previously, Halligan et al. (2013) showed that there are troughs in nucleotide diversity surrounding protein coding exons in *M. m. castaneus*, characteristic of natural selection acting within exons reducing diversity at linked sites. Their results and ours suggest pervasive natural selection in the genome of *M. m. castaneus*. In contrast, a previous study by Geraldès et al. (2011) examining the correlation between levels of polymorphism and recombination rate in wild mice found that *M. m. musculus* exhibited a significant correlation between diversity and recombination while for both *M. m. castaneus* and *M. m. domesticus* the relationship was non-significant. Using genome-wide data, we found a fairly weak, but significant, positive correlation for *M. m. castaneus* so perhaps the Geraldès et al. (2011) study was underpowered as it only analyzed 27 autosomal loci. However, it should be noted that both the measure of recombination rate we used and neutral genetic diversity are

compounded with effective population size, so part of the positive correlation we detected could be driven by random fluctuation in  $N_e$  across the genome.

In conclusion, we find that sex-averaged estimates of the ancestral recombination landscape for *M. m. castaneus* are highly correlated with contemporary estimates of the recombination rate observed in crosses of inbred lines that predominantly reflect *M. m. domesticus* (Cox et al. 2009). It has been demonstrated previously that the turnover of hotspots has led to rapid evolution of fine-scale rates of recombination in the *M. musculus* sub-species complex (Smagulova et al. 2016) and our results suggest that even within sub-species, hotspot locations have diverged. On a broad scale, however, our results suggest that the recombination landscape is very strongly conserved between, at least, *M. m. castaneus* and *M. m. domesticus*. In addition, our estimate of the switch-error rate implies that phasing errors leads to upwardly biased estimates of the recombination rate when the true recombination rate is low. This is a source of bias that should be assessed in future studies. Finally, we showed that the variation in recombination rate is positively correlated with genetic diversity, suggesting that natural selection reduces diversity at linked sites across the *M. m. castaneus* genome, consistent with the findings of Halligan et al. (2013).

To further our understanding of the evolution of the rate of recombination in the house mouse we need to directly compare sub-species. The comparison of our results and previously published maps indicates that there is broad-

scale agreement in recombination rates between *M. m. castaneus* and *M. m. domesticus*. In this study, we have assumed that inbred lines derived from *M. m. domesticus* reflect natural variation in recombination rates in that sub-species, though this is not necessarily the case. Furthermore, previous studies have shown that recombination rates in *M. m. musculus* are perhaps the most distinct of the sub-species: The overall rate of crossing-over is higher in *M. m. musculus* males is higher than in the other sub-species (Dumont and Payseur 2011) and there is also evidence of recombination rate modifiers of large effect segregating within *M. m. musculus* (Dumont et al. 2011). Despite these predictions, the hotspots we detected in our study and those of Smagulova et al. (2016) show more overlap with *M. m. musculus* than with *M. m. domesticus*. Samples of natural populations, like the one studied here, could be used to more clearly elucidate the variation in recombination rate landscape specific to the different sub-species. A broad survey of this kind would most efficiently be generated using LD-based approaches.

## Chapter 3

# Understanding the factors that shape patterns of nucleotide diversity in the house mouse genome

*This chapter has been prepared as a research paper and submitted to PLoS Genetics: CITATION The following is a reproduction of that article with some slight modifications to the text*



## Chapter 4

Estimating parameters of  
selective sweeps from patterns of  
genetic diversity in house mice

## Chapter 5

### Discussion and summary