

Given a compact Riemann surface  $\mathcal{X}$  of genus  $g$ . We can consider the space of closed holomorphic 1-forms  $\Omega^{1,0}(\mathcal{X})$  [?]. By the maximum principle all exact holomorphic one forms are identically zero. One can show that  $\dim \Omega^{1,0}(\mathcal{X}) = \dim \Omega^{0,1}(\mathcal{X}) = g$  where  $\Omega^{0,1}(\mathcal{X})$  is the space of antiholomorphic closed one forms,  $\Omega^{1,0}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \Omega^{0,1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$  and consequently that  $H^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}) = \Omega^{1,0}(\mathcal{X}) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(\mathcal{X})$

One can also consider the meromorphic 1-forms on  $\mathcal{X}$ , for any non-zero meromorphic 1-form  $\lambda$  we have that  $\sum_{P \in \mathcal{X}} \text{ord}_P(\lambda) = 2g - 2$  as a consequence of the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, also,  $\sum_{P \in \mathcal{X}} \text{Res}_P(\omega) = 0$ .

Choosing a basis for the homology as  $\{A_1, \dots, A_g, B_1, \dots, B_g\}$ , because there is a natural isomorphism  $H^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C}) = \Omega^{1,0}(\mathcal{X}) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(\mathcal{X}) \cong \text{Hom}(H_1\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{C})$  provided by integration over one-cycles, there then exists a unique, linearly independent, basis  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g\}$  for  $\Omega^{1,0}(\mathcal{X})$  such that

$$\int_{A_j} \omega_i = \delta_{ji} \quad (1)$$

$$\int_{B_j} \omega_i = \tau_{ji} \quad (2)$$

$\tau_{ji} = \tau_{ij}$  is symmetric and obeys  $\Im \tau > 0$  (by Riemann's bilinear relations). Explicitly, for a hyperelliptic curve  $y^2 = F(x, \dots)$  where, considered as a polynomial in  $x$ ,  $\deg F = 2N = 2g + 2$ . An explicit basis is given by  $\omega_i = x^{i-1} dx/y$ .

Now define a mapping  $f : H_1\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^g$  given by  $\gamma \mapsto (\int_\gamma \omega_1, \dots, \int_\gamma \omega_g)$  which is an embedding of  $H_1\mathcal{X}$  into the lattice  $\Lambda$ .

We now focus our attention to the case  $g = 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$  and the pair of cycles  $a_1 = [0, 1]$  and  $b_1 = [0, \tau]$  give a basis for  $H_1\mathcal{X}$ . Such a Riemann surface can be thought of as a two-sheeted covering of  $\mathbb{S}^2$  with 4-branch points, by a Möbius transformation these branch points can be taken to be at  $x = 0, 1, \infty, q$ . We can then take the curve  $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-q)$  then  $\omega_1 = \omega = \frac{dx}{y}$  is the unique (up to a constant multiple) holomorphic 1-form on  $\mathcal{X}$  and hence satisfies all of the above discussions. How is  $\lambda$  related to the complex structure  $\tau$  of the torus? To answer this question we rewrite the curve as  $y^2 = \prod_{i=1}^3 (x - e_i)$  because the discriminant  $\Delta \neq 0$  then  $e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = 0$ . Then  $q = \frac{e_2 - e_3}{e_1 - e_3}$ . After computing the periods (1) & (2) and inverting one finds that

$$q = \lambda(\tau) = \frac{\theta_2(\tau)^4}{\theta_3(\tau)^4} \quad (3)$$

$\lambda(\tau)$  is called the modular lambda function.

For hyperelliptic curves, which can be realised as two-sheeted branch coverings of  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , they have  $2g + 2$  branch points and explicit computations of the period matrix are harder to perform.

**Relation to gauge theory** In the Seiberg-Witten theory of the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  gauge theory we have

- The SW curve  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathcal{X}_{\{u_i\}}$  which is a rank  $\mathfrak{g}$  cover of a Riemann surface  $\mathcal{X}_{\{u_i\}} \xrightarrow{\text{rank } \mathfrak{g}:1} \mathcal{C}$ . The period matrix of  $\mathcal{X}_{\{u_i\}}$ , in turn, is identified with the IR  $U(1)$  gauge couplings and therefore computes the pre-potential  $\mathcal{F}$ . For a  $SU(N)$   $\mathcal{N} = 2$  gauge theory, we have the following relations

$$\frac{\partial a_i^D}{\partial a^j} = \tau_{ij} \quad (4)$$

we then define  $a_i$  and  $a_i^D$  as integrals of the  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  cycles respectively over a certain meromorphic differential  $\lambda_{\text{SW}} \sim \lambda_{\text{SW}} + df$  since the addition of an exact form  $df$  does not affect the integration under closed one-cycles.

$$a^i = \int_{A_i} \lambda, \quad a_i^D = \int_{B_i} \lambda_{\text{SW}}. \quad (5)$$

and we demand

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_{\text{SW}}}{\partial u_i} \Big|_{\text{fixed } x} = \omega_i + df_i \quad (6)$$

furthermore we require that for all  $p \in X$   $\text{Res}_p \lambda_{\text{SW}}$  is at most linear in the quark bare masses and that  $\sum_{p \in X} \text{Res}_p \lambda_{\text{SW}} = 0$ .

- For theories of class  $\mathcal{S}$  the space of UV gauge couplings is identified with the space of complex structure deformations  $\mathcal{E}$  of the underlying surface  $\mathcal{C}$ . We have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{E} \cong \text{Teich}(\mathcal{C}) / \text{MCG}(\mathcal{C}) \quad (7)$$

where  $\text{Teich}(\mathcal{C})$  is the Teichmüller space of  $\mathcal{C}$  and is parametrised by the same cross ratios  $q$  appearing in the SW curve.  $\text{MCG}(\mathcal{C})$  is the mapping class group of  $\mathcal{C}$ , in physics terms this is the ‘generalised S-duality group’.

We begin with the SW-curve for the pure  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$   $\mathcal{N} = 2$  theory

$$y^2 = (x - \Lambda^2)(x + \Lambda^2)(x - u). \quad (8)$$

The curve becomes singular at  $u = -\Lambda^2, +\Lambda^2, \infty$ . The  $B$ -cycle is taken to enclose  $-\Lambda^2, +\Lambda^2$  while the  $A$ -cycle is taken from  $+\Lambda^2, u$ . We first change coordinates by  $x = \Lambda^2(2x' + 1)$  and  $y = (2\Lambda^2)^{3/2}y'$

$$y'^2 = x'(x' - 1) \left( x' - \frac{\Lambda^2 + u}{2\Lambda^2} \right) \quad (9)$$

Defining  $q = \frac{\Lambda^2 + u}{2\Lambda^2}$  we arrive at the desired form

$$y^2 = x(x - 1)(x - q). \quad (10)$$

In these coordinates the singular points of the curve are mapped to  $q = 0, 1, \infty$ . So we have to compute integrals of the form

$$p(\gamma) = \oint_{\gamma} \omega = \oint_{\gamma} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(x-1)(x-q)}}. \quad (11)$$

The we deform the cycle  $A$  to enclose  $1, q$  and  $B$  to enclose  $0, 1$ . So we can write

$$p(A) = 2 \int_1^q \omega + \oint_{C_1} \omega + \oint_{C_q} \omega, \quad p(B) = 2 \int_0^1 \omega + \oint_{C_0} \omega + \oint_{C_1} \omega \quad (12)$$

where  $C_{x_0}$  denotes sphere centred around the point  $x = x_0$  with infinitesimal radius  $\epsilon$ . However, one can immediately see that

$$\oint_{C_p} \omega \sim \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{i\sqrt{\epsilon}e^{i\theta/2}d\theta}{\sqrt{\prod_{p' \in \{0,1,q\} \setminus \{p\}} (p-p')}} = \frac{4i\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{\prod_{p' \in \{0,1,q\} \setminus \{p\}} (p-p')}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (13)$$

for any  $p \in \{0, 1, q\}$ . Writing  $x = w^2$

$$\int_0^p \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(x-1)(x-q)}} = \int_0^{\sqrt{p}} \frac{2dw}{\sqrt{(w^2-1)(w^2-q)}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{q}} F(\sqrt{p}; 1/\sqrt{q}) \quad (14)$$

where  $F(x; k)$  is the incomplete elliptic integral of the first kind in Jacobi form. We can therefore write

$$p(A) = \frac{4}{\sqrt{q}} (F(\sqrt{q}; 1/\sqrt{q}) - F(1; 1/\sqrt{q})) , \quad p(B) = \frac{4}{\sqrt{q}} F(1; 1/\sqrt{q}) . \quad (15)$$

Therefore the period matrix

$$\tau = \frac{p(A)}{p(B)} = \frac{F(\sqrt{q}; 1/\sqrt{q})}{F(1; 1/\sqrt{q})} - 1 \quad (16)$$