Isolation Game Heuristic Analysis:

A good heuristic function must be capable of capturing the following:

- 1. Number of remaining moves of both player and Opponent
- 2. Distance(Simple Vs Euclidean) of player and opponent from center of board since the center provides positional advantages for the winner.
- 3. Sum of Maximum moves over Minimal number of remaining squares a player or opponent can possibly make before they are cornered. i.e exploiting the maximum number of moves of a player/opponent over the minimum number of available squares or positions.

Thus, we have implemented our Heuristic functions(custom_score, custom_score_2, custom_score_3) to capture a combination of these different properties of a heuristic and selected the best combination we believe from data showed our best player performance.

Given center position to of the isolation board to be (cx, cy) and a player position (x, y) then:

- 1) Simple Distance = abs(x-cx) + abs(y-cy)
- 2) Euclidean Distance = $sqrt(|x-cx|^2 + |y-cy|^2)$

COMBINATION I

custom_score:

It combines both:

- 1) The number of player + opponent moves
- 2) The distance(Simple) measure to capture positional advantage
- 3) Sum of Maximum moves over Minimal number of remaining squares.

custom score 2:

It combines both:

1) Sum of Maximum moves over Minimal number of remaining squares

custom score 3:

It combines both:

- 1) The distance measure(Simple) to capture positional advantage
- 2) Sum of Maximum moves over Minimal number of remaining squares

Result for Combination 1:

Matcl	h# Opponent		_	om AB_Cus Won Lost	tom_2 AB_Custo	m_3
1	Random	8 2	10 0	5 5	7 3	
'		•	•	9 9	7 3	
2	MM_Open	5 5	7 3	8 2	4 6	
3	MM_Center	8 2	8 2	6 4	7 3	
4	MM_Improved	4 6	7 3	5 5	5 5	
5	AB_Open	5 5	7 3	6 4	3 7	
6	AB_Center	6 4	6 4	7 3	3 7	
7	AB_Improved	5 5	4 6	1 9	4 6	
	Win Ra	ite: 58.6%	70.0%	54.3%	47.1%	

Your ID search forfeited 176.0 games while there were still legal moves available to play.

COMBINATION II

custom_score:

It combines:

- 1) The number of player + opponent moves
- 2) The distance(Simple) measure to capture positional advantage
- 3) Sum of Maximum moves over Minimal number of remaining squares

custom_score_2:

It combines:

- 1) Number of Player/Opponent remaining moves
- 2) Sum of Maximum moves over Minimal number of remaining squares

custom_score_3:

It combines both:

- 1) The distance(Euclidean) measure to capture positional advantage
- 2) Sum of Maximum moves over Minimal number of remaining squares

Result for Combination II:

Match	n# Opponent	AB_Improved	AB_Custom	AB_Custom_2	AB_Custom_3
		Won Lost	Won Lost	Won Lost	Won Lost
1	Random	6 4	7 3	10 0	5 5
2	MM_Open	5 5	6 4	6 4	7 3
3	MM_Center	6 4	9 1	8 2	7 3
4	MM_Improved	6 4	6 4	6 4	2 8
5	AB_Open	6 4	5 5	7 3	3 7
6	AB_Center	7 3	7 3	6 4	6 4
7	AB_Improved	6 4	4 6	3 7	7 3
	Win Ra	te: 60.0%	62.9%	65.7%	52.9%

Your ID search forfeited 178.0 games while there were still legal moves available to play.

COMBINATION III

custom_score:

combines:

- 1) The number of player + opponent moves
- 2) The distance(Simple) measure to capture positional advantage
- 3) Sum of Maximum moves over Minimal number of remaining squares

custom_score_2:

combines:

- 1) Number of Player/Opponent remaining Moves!
- 2) Sum of Maximum moves over Minimal number of remaining squares

custom_score_3:

combines:

- 1) The distance(Simple) measure to capture positional advantage
- 2) Sum of Maximum moves over Minimal number of remaining squares

Result for Combination III:

Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved Won Lost	AB_Custom Won Lost	AB_Custom_2 Won Lost	AB_Custom_3 Won Lost
1	Random	7 3	8 2	8 2	7 3
2	MM_Open	7 3	7 3	6 4	3 7
3	MM_Center	8 2	6 4	8 2	7 3
4	MM_Improved	8 2	6 4	7 3	6 4
5	AB_Open	5 5	6 4	7 3	4 6
6	AB_Center	8 2	4 6	7 3	6 4
7	AB_Improved	2 8	5 5	6 4	4 6
	Win Rat	e: 64.3%	60.0%	70.0%	52.9%

Your ID search forfeited 171.0 games while there were still legal moves available to play.

COMBINATION IV

custom_score:

combines:

- 1) The number of player + opponent moves
- 2) The distance(Euclidean) measure to capture positional advantage
- 3) Sum of Maximum moves over Minimal number of remaining squares

custom_score_2:

combines:

- 1) Number of Player/Opponent remaining moves!
- 2) Sum of Maximum moves over Minimal number of remaining squares
- 3) The distance(Simple) measure to capture positional advantage

custom_score_3:

combines:

- 1) Number of player/opponent remaining moves!
- 2) The distance(Simple) measure to capture positional advantage.

Result for Combination IV:

Match #	Opponent		-	AB_Custom_2	
		Won Los	: Won Lost	Won Lost	Won Lost
1	Randon	n 8 2	10 0	9 1	8 2
2	MM_Op	en 6 4	8 2	8 2	6 4
3	MM_Cer	nter 8 2	6 4	7 3	8 2
4	MM_Impr	roved 8 2	6 4	6 4	8 2
5	AB_Ope	en 7 3	6 4	7 3	7 3
6	AB_Cent	ter 5 5	6 4	6 4	6 4
7	AB_Impro	oved 5 5	4 6	6 4	4 6
	Win Ra	te: 67.1%	65.7%	70.0%	67.1%

Your ID search forfeited 160.0 games while there were still legal moves available to play.

COMBINATION V

custom_score_3:

combines:

- 1) The number of player + opponent moves
- 2) The distance(Simple) measure to capture positional advantage
- 3) Sum of Maximum moves over Minimal number of remaining squares custom_score_2:

combines:

- 1) Number of Player/Opponent remaining moves!
- 2) Sum of Maximum moves over Minimal number of remaining squares
- 3) The distance(Simple) measure to capture positional advantage

custom_score:

combines:

- 1) Number of Player/Opponent remaining moves!
- 2) The Simple distance measure to capture positional advantage
- 3) The Euclidean distance measure to capture positional (L-shaped) advantage

Result for Combination V:

Match #	Opponent	AB Improved	AB Custo	m AB Cust	om 2 AB Cı	ustom 3
				Won Lost		_
1	Random	7 3	8 2	8 2	9 1	
2	MM_Open	10 0	9 1	9 1	6 4	
3	MM_Center	6 4	9 1	6 4	9 1	
4	MM_Improve	d 7 3	6 4	7 3	6 4	
5	AB_Open	8 2	5 5	6 4	6 4	
6	AB_Center	5 5	8 2	5 5	6 4	
7	AB_Improved	6 4	6 4	6 4	2 8	
	Win Ra	te: 70.0%	72.9%	67.1%	62.9%	

Your ID search forfeited 158.0 games while there were still legal moves available to play.

Conclusion:

After trying several combinations, it became self evident that, the heuristic function was mostly influenced by the Number of Player/Opponent Remaining moves and a combining it with any of the other factors(introducing the other property if the result from a given properties led to a draw e.g Number of remaining moves produced a draw or tie game).

Looking at custom_score_3 heuristic function of **COMBINATION II** and **COMBINATION III**, we observed that whether we used Euclidean or Simple distance the heuristic performance was the same.

Using **COMBINATION V** as the final implementation, we believe custom_score heuristic function takes into account all these separate contributions and gives the most stable performance if the same combination is ran repeatedly several times. So we consider **custom_score** our best heuristic function although even custom_score_2 or custom_score_3 could equally be our chosen heuristic function.

The heuristic implementation(custom_score_3, custom_score_2, custom_score) which led to the best performance as shown in the code.