

evaluation
functions

26 sept
2022



CSCI
373

MINIMAX($q, p, (m, u)$):

- ▶ if $q \in F$: return $U(q, p)$
- ▶ children = $\{ \text{minimax}(q', p, (m, u)) \mid \langle q, \sigma, q' \rangle \in \Delta(m) \}$
- ▶ return $\max(\text{children})$ if $p(q) = p$ else $\min(\text{children})$

effectively minimax works bottom-up,
starting at the **leaves** of the search tree

but what if we can't get to
the leaves to evaluate their utilities?



examples?

on math.stackexchange.com

How many legal states of chess exists?

- ⚠ You should clarify whether you wish to differentiate between positions based on en passant, castling, and whose side it is to move. Francis Labelle and others have used the term "chess position" to indicate a board state including the above information, and "chess diagram" to indicate a board state not including the above information, i.e. just what pieces are on the board and where. In neither case is information for drawing rules like the 50-move rule or the triple repetition rule included.

The best upper bound found for the number of chess positions is $772877297795919677164873487685453137329736522$, or about 7.7×10^{45} , based on a complicated program by John Tromp; according to him, better documentation is required in order for the program to be considered verifiable. He also has a much simpler program that gives an upper bound of 4.5×10^{46} .


For chess diagrams, Tromps simpler program gives an upper bound of about 2.2×10^{46} ; he does not say what bound is obtained by the complicated program, but it is probably a little less than half (since side to move doubles the bound, whereas castling and en passant add relatively little), so likely about 3.8×10^{45} . More information at [Tromp's website](#)

The best bound published in a journal was obtained by Shirish Chinchalkar in "An Upper Bound for the Number of Reachable Positions". I do not have access to this paper, but according to Tromp it is about $10^{46.25}$. Although it refers to "positions", it could very easily be a bound for the number of diagrams.

As for lower bounds, they are much more difficult, since a given position could be illegal for very subtle reasons.

Wikipedia has claimed that the number of positions is "between 10^{43} and 10^{47} ", but I think it is unlikely that the lower bound has been proven.

I would guess that the actual number of diagrams is between 10^{44} and 10^{45} , but this is purely speculation.



at some point we need to "cut off" the search and estimate the goodness of a state

but how do we estimate the goodness of a non-final state?

first though...
what is
missing from
this state?



but how do we estimate the goodness of a non-final state?




thoughts?


but how do we estimate the goodness of a non-final state?

EVAL

black
to
move



,



$$= 4 - 5 = -1$$

but how do we estimate the goodness of a non-final state?

EVAL

black
to
move



,



$$= 13 - 8 = 5$$

$\text{MINIMAX}(q, p, (m, U), \text{EVAL}, \text{CUTOFF})$:

- ▶ if $q \in F$: return $U(q, p)$
- ▶ if $\text{CUTOFF}(q)$: return $\text{EVAL}(q, p)$
- ▶ children = $\left\{ \text{minimax}(q', p, (m, U)) \mid \langle q, \sigma, q' \rangle \in \Delta(m) \right\}$
- ▶ return $\max(\text{children})$ if $p(q) = p$ else $\min(\text{children})$

the game of nim

