

YangZhou-B 扬州-B

Package for Tuning (2<sup>nd</sup> Generation)

11/04/2023

# **Background**

YangZhou, the native city of Chinese Paramount Leader Jiang Zemin (江泽民), is what this Second Generation Tuning Package is named after. The purpose of this package is to provide a sophisticated framework for Greedy tuning. *The Criteria of Second Generation Tuning is to not have to train every specified discrete combination to get the optimum discrete result – or close to the optimum.* 

The package takes in X and y data for train, validate and test as DataFrame, as well as a dictionary of {hyperparameters name -> string: hyperparameter values as a list}, and autogenerates all combinations of these hyperparameters to be tuned.

#### **Algorithm Description**

**YangZhou-B** begins by train-searching (i.e. searching the field by training the combination) all *Cruise Combinations* (mathematical combinations of all *cruise indices* from each dimension: *cruise indices* being i.e. [0, 4], [0, 5], [0, 3, 6] or [0, 4, 7] for dimensions containing 5, 6, 7 and 8 values respectively. The maximum gap between two indices is 5, minimum is 3).

Then, starting with the median combination (median index of each dimension) as the initial core, the *Guidance Algorithm* is activated, in which the all the horizontal/vertical neighbouring combinations are searched (i.e. all the combinations which is same as the core except for one dimension being +1 or -1 compared to previously). If score(neighbour)-score(core)>= -0.005, then the the neighbour is added as the new core.

The *Guidance Algorithm* is then repeated for each of the new cores. When no new cores need to be tested, the maximum scoring combination have all surrounding neighbours searched, and if a new maximum scoring combination is found, then it will also get its neighbours searched until no new maximum scoring combination can be found. The *Guidance Algorithm* is then terminated.

The *Cruise algorithm* is then subsequently activated, in which each of the cruise combinations scores will be compared to the current best scoring combination and its surrounding +1/-1 neighbour block (including itself). If a cruise combination's score is higher than the

warning threshold = mean(best surrounding block) - 
$$qt(0.95) * \frac{sd}{\sqrt{3^d}}$$

then the Guidance Algorithm will be restarted on that Cruise combination.

The *Cruise Algorithm* terminates once all cruise combinations have been compared to the warning threshold (which could change as the *Cruise Algorithm* goes on)

Once the *Cruise Algorithm* ends, the *Guidance Algorithm* gets activated one more time starting at the current maximum scoring combination, and the whole *YangZhou-B Algorithm* ends when this call of *Guidance Algorithm* is finished.

Note: although scores of certain combinations will undoubtedly be called upon multiple times, they can be stored and thus the expensive basic operation of train-searching a combination will only ever need to be completed once for each combination.

# **Algorithm Assumptions**

1. The scores observed from the same {data, model, hyperparameter combination, split size} belongs to the same underlying population which are normally distributed around a theoretical value.

i.e. Accuracies of SVM on a fixed set of hyperparameters with 80-20 split size on the same set of data (but with random holdouts of 80% training data) is considered to be sampled from the same population (and thereby same distribution)

# Class

Class	<u>Purpose</u>
YangZhouB	Object that performs greedy tuning

# **Methods:**

<u>Methods</u>	<u>Purpose</u>
YangZhouB()	Initialisation
<pre>read_in_data(train_x, train_y, val_x, val_y, test_x, test_y)</pre>	Read in Train Test Split data
	Parameters:
	train_x – pd.DataFrame
	train_y - pd.Series
	val_x - pd.DataFrame
	val_y - pd.Series
	test_x - pd.DataFrame
	test_y – pd.Series
read_in_model(model, type)	Read in the underlying model
	class that we want to tune to
	get optimal parameters for
	Parameters:
	model – any model <b>class</b> that
	allows .fit() and .predict()
	type – str – either
	"Classification" or
	"Regression"
<pre>set_hyperparameters(parameter_choices)</pre>	Read in the different values
	of each hyperparameters we
	want to try. Function will
	automatically generate each
	combination
	D
	Parameters:
	parameter_choices – dict of
	str:list – str is hyperparameter

	name (strictly as defined in
	model class), and list is sorted
	values of hyperparameter
	which we want to try out.
set_non_tuneable_hyperparameters(non_tuneable_hyperp	Reads in values for non-
arameter_choice)	tuneable hyperparameters
	(i.e. doesn't need to clog up
	the tuning output csv)
	Parameters:
	non_tuneable_hyperparamete
	r_choices – dict of str:int
set_features(ningxiang_output)	Reads in feature
	combinations for tuning
	Parameters:
	ningxiang_output - dict of
	tuple:float
set_tuning_result_saving_address(address)	Set saving address for tuning
	output csv
	Parameters:
	Address – str - does not need
	to include '.csv'
<pre>tune(key_stats_only = False)</pre>	Begin tuning process
	If key_stats_only = True then
	don't calculate non important
	stats
	Parameters:
	key_stats_only - bool
<pre>tune_parallel(part, splits, key_stats_only = False)</pre>	Begin tuning process,
	splitting all combinations into

	1
	splits parts and tune the part-
	th part (of Cruise).
	If key_stats_only = True then
	don't calculate non important
	stats
	Parameters:
	key_stats_only - bool
read_in_tuning_result_df(address)	Read in existing DataFrame
	from .csv consisting of tuning
	result.
	Automatically populates
	result array and checked array
	if csv columns match
	parameter choices
	Parameters:
	address – str – include '.csv'
set_tuning_best_model_saving_address( address)	Set address for exporting best
	model as a pickle
	Parameters:
	address – str – does not need
	to include '.pickle'
<pre>view_best_combo_and_score()</pre>	View the current best
	combination and its
	validation score
	1

# **Objects:**

<u>Purpose</u>
DataFrame
Series
DataFrame
Series
DataFrame
Series
DataFrame
model class
Dictionary
-str:list – str is hyperparameter name
(strictly as defined in model class), and list
is sorted values of hyperparameter which
we want to try out.
list
Dictionary
-str:float – str is hyperparameter name
(strictly as defined in model class), and float
is its NingXiang score
Dictionary
-str:str/float/int - str is hyperparameter name
(strictly as defined in model class), and
values are valid hyperparameter values for
model
np.array
np.array
np.array

	value = 1: appended onto list of cores to be		
	checked		
	value = 2: actually checked as a core		
been_cruised	np.array		
	value = 1: been checked as core, so don't		
	, and the second		
	need to be appended as a cruise		
	value = 2: actually checked as a cruise		
	combo		
been_best	np.array		
tuning_result_saving_address	str		
	str		
best_score = -np.inf	int		
best_combo	list		
best_clf	model <b>object</b>		
clf_type	str - 'Regression' or 'Classification'		
n_items	list - denoting how many values in each		
	hyperparameter dimensions		
	** 1		
regression_extra_output_columns = [	List (pre-setted)		
'Train r2',	** 1		
'Train r2', 'Val r2',	** 1		
'Train r2', 'Val r2', 'Test r2',	** 1		
'Train r2', 'Val r2', 'Test r2', 'Train RMSE',	** 1		
'Train r2', 'Val r2', 'Test r2', 'Train RMSE', 'Val RMSE',	** 1		
'Train r2', 'Val r2', 'Test r2', 'Train RMSE',	** 1		
'Train r2', 'Val r2', 'Test r2', 'Train RMSE', 'Val RMSE', 'Test RMSE', 'Test RMSE',	** 1		
'Train r2', 'Val r2', 'Test r2', 'Train RMSE', 'Val RMSE', 'Test RMSE',	** 1		
'Train r2', 'Val r2', 'Test r2', 'Train RMSE', 'Val RMSE', 'Test RMSE', 'Train MAPE', 'Val MAPE',	** 1		
'Train r2', 'Val r2', 'Test r2', 'Train RMSE', 'Val RMSE', 'Test RMSE', 'Train MAPE', 'Val MAPE', 'Test MAPE',	** 1		
'Train r2', 'Val r2', 'Test r2', 'Train RMSE', 'Val RMSE', 'Test RMSE', 'Train MAPE', 'Val MAPE', 'Test MAPE', 'Time']	List (pre-setted)		
<pre>'Train r2', 'Val r2', 'Test r2', 'Train RMSE', 'Val RMSE', 'Test RMSE', 'Train MAPE', 'Val MAPE', 'Test MAPE', 'Time'] classification_extra_output_columns = [</pre>	List (pre-setted)		
<pre>'Train r2', 'Val r2', 'Test r2', 'Train RMSE', 'Val RMSE', 'Test RMSE', 'Train MAPE', 'Val MAPE', 'Test MAPE', 'Time'] classification_extra_output_columns = [ 'Train accu', 'Val accu', 'Test accu',</pre>	List (pre-setted)		
<pre>'Train r2', 'Val r2', 'Test r2', 'Train RMSE', 'Val RMSE', 'Test RMSE', 'Train MAPE', 'Val MAPE', 'Time'] classification_extra_output_columns = [ 'Train accu', 'Val accu', 'Test accu', 'Train balanced_accu',</pre>	List (pre-setted)		
<pre>'Train r2', 'Val r2', 'Test r2', 'Train RMSE', 'Val RMSE', 'Test RMSE', 'Train MAPE', 'Val MAPE', 'Time'] classification_extra_output_columns = [ 'Train accu', 'Val accu', 'Test accu', 'Train balanced_accu', 'Val balanced_accu',</pre>	List (pre-setted)		
<pre>'Train r2', 'Val r2', 'Test r2', 'Train RMSE', 'Val RMSE', 'Test RMSE', 'Train MAPE', 'Val MAPE', 'Time'] classification_extra_output_columns = [ 'Train accu', 'Val accu', 'Test accu', 'Train balanced_accu', 'Val balanced_accu', 'Test balanced_accu',</pre>	List (pre-setted)		
'Train r2', 'Val r2', 'Test r2', 'Train RMSE', 'Val RMSE', 'Test RMSE', 'Train MAPE', 'Val MAPE', 'Time'] classification_extra_output_columns = [ 'Train accu', 'Val accu', 'Test accu', 'Train balanced_accu', 'Val balanced_accu', 'Train f1',	List (pre-setted)		
'Train r2', 'Val r2', 'Test r2', 'Train RMSE', 'Val RMSE', 'Train MAPE', 'Val MAPE', 'Time'] classification_extra_output_columns = [ 'Train accu', 'Val accu', 'Train balanced_accu', 'Val balanced_accu', 'Train f1', 'Val f1',	List (pre-setted)		
'Train r2', 'Val r2', 'Test r2', 'Train RMSE', 'Val RMSE', 'Test RMSE', 'Train MAPE', 'Val MAPE', 'Time'] classification_extra_output_columns = [ 'Train accu', 'Val accu', 'Test accu', 'Train balanced_accu', 'Val balanced_accu', 'Train f1',	List (pre-setted)		

```
'Val precision',
'Test precision',
'Train recall',
'Val recall',
'Test recall',
'Time']
```

# **Dependencies**

pandas	
numpy	
scipy	

sklearn

#### **Test Result**

#### 1. Time

YangZhou-B's algorithm will undoubtedly take more time than JiXi on top of the required time for tuning; but from testing, the maximum time required to run YangZhou-B on a dataset modelled on real data was 2.07 seconds on Google Colab, which is approximately the time to train one combination for the average model.

Thus, YangZhou-B should be a time saver considering the amount of hyperparameter combinations it doesn't need to tune, especially if each hyperparameter combination takes a long time to tune.

## 2. Accuracy

<b>Batch</b>	Percentage of test cases	Percentage of test cases
	when Algorithm output ==	Algorithm output >=
	Actual Max	Actual Max – 0.005
1	93.35%	99.88%
2	86.15%	96.20%
3	88.33%	100.00%
7	77.50%	95.00%

<b>Batch</b>	Algorithm output ==	Algorithm output >=	
	Actual Max	Actual Max – 0.005	
Real (4)	78.26%	91.30%	

The maximum difference between algorithm output and actual max in batch 4 (real data) was 0.0007.

## 3. Percentage of Hyperparameter Combinations searched

Batch	Mean	Median	Max
1	15.45%	5.87%	1%

2	12.51%	5.71%	73.47%
3	8.48%	1.35%	65.71%
7	10.60%	1.46%	83.33%

<b>Batch</b>	Mean	Median	Max
Real (4)	23.29%	19.11%	52.92%

On average, YangZhou-B only tunes less than 25% of all designated hyperparameter combinations.

### **Test Result (Interact)**

### 1. Time

YangZhouB's algorithm will undoubtedly take more time than JiXi on top of the required time for tuning; but from testing, the maximum time required to run YangZhouB on a dataset modelled on real data was 13.5435 seconds on Google Colab, which is approximately the time to train one combination for the average model.

Thus, YangZhouB should be a time saver considering the amount of hyperparameter combinations it doesn't need to tune, especially if each hyperparameter combination takes a long time to tune.

## 2. Accuracy

Batch (Interact)	Percentage of test cases	Percentage of test cases	
	when Algorithm output ==	Algorithm output >=	
	Actual Max	Actual Max – 0.005	
1	92.71%	97.74%	
2	88.33%	95.83%	

<b>Batch</b>	Algorithm output == Algorithm output >=	
	Actual Max	Actual Max – 0.005
Real (3)	78.26%	91.30%

The maximum difference between algorithm output and actual max in batch 3 (real data) was 0.0007.

## 3. Percentage of Hyperparameter Combinations searched

Batch (Interact)	Mean	Median	Max
1	21.75%	11.28%	100%
2	14.22%	5.99%	66.67%

<b>Batch</b>	Mean	Median	Max
Real (3)	23.29%	19.11%	52.92%

On average, YangZhouB only tunes less than 25% of all designated hyperparameter combinations.