- Running title: Scavenging in vertebrates
- Number of words:  $\sim$ 9999
- Date of submission: January 4, 2016

# The natural history of scavenging in vertebrates

- Adam Kane, Kevin Healy, Thomas Guillerme Graeme Ruxton, & Andrew Jackson.
- 1. A. Kane (adam. kane@ucc. ie), University College Cork, Cooperage Building
  School of Biological Earth and Environmental Sciences Cork, Ireland.
- 2. K. Healy, T. Guillerme and A. Jackson, Trinity College Dublin, Department of Zoology; School of Natural Sciences, Dublin Ireland.
- 3. G. Ruxton, School of Biology, Sir Harold Mitchell Building, Greenside Place, St Andrews, KY16 9TH, United Kingdom

1 Abstract

- Scavengers existed in the past and they exist now. Often under appreciated.
- Three main habitat types considered: land, air and sea. Different drivers in these
- 4 areas. Review looks at these

## Introduction

- $_{2}\,$  Scavenging is a wide spread behaviour amonng vertebrates. Most vertebrate carnivores
- act as facultative scavengers. It is recognised that scavengers have an important role in
- 4 keeping energy flows at a higher trophic level in food webs than decomposers because
- they consume relatively more carrion (DeVault et al. 2003). Scavengers also provide
- 6 useful ecosystem services by acting as barriers to the spread of disease by quickly
- <sup>7</sup> consuming rotting carcasses which have often died from illness (Ogada et al. 2012).
- 8 Despite this, scavengers are a seriously understudied group (Sekercioglu 2006, Selva and
- 9 Fortuna 2007, Wilson and Wolkovich 2011). DeVault et al. (2003) propose that this is
- due to both human disgust at carrion itself and the difficulty in determining if an
- ingested prey item was killed or scavenged. The latter point means that studying the
- natural history of this behaviour is particularly problematic. Indeed, even data on the
- proportion of carrion in the diet of extant species are sorely lacking (Benbow et al.
- 14 2015).
- One avenue to infer scavenging from palaeontological data can be achieved by
- determining if a prey item was simply too big for the carnivore to have tackled in cases
- where tooth marks are found (Pobiner 2008). Comparative analysis can also allow us to
- ascertain which morphologies and physiologies are likely to have been found in
- scavenging species in the past (Ruxton and Houston 2004b).
- Our review is divided up into three sections, namely the land, air and sea. These are
- then subdivided into three geological eras the Cenozoic, Mesozoic and Palaeozoic. Each
- of these environments has a distinct physical character that affects how a species forages
- 23 for food which has obvious relevance for an animal searching for carrion. However, there
- 24 is some commonality to a scavenger's environment and the problems in finding food that
- one would encounter. Notably, the resource environment of a scavenger is a patchily

- distributed one, because it is difficult to predict both when and where a carcass is
- <sup>2</sup> produced. As a result of this, any animal existing as a scavenger must maximise its
- detection capabilities and minimise its locomotory costs (Ruxton and Houston 2004b).
- 4 Exploring which groups are likely to have moved towards these traits and thus existed as
- 5 sccavengers over palaentological time forms the basis of this work.

# 1 Terrestrial Scavengers

- As noted above the ease with which natural selection pushes an animal towards the
- 8 optima of cheap locomotion and large detection capabilities is dependent on the
- environment the species is in. Land-based scavengers can be thought of as existing in a
- 2-dimensional plane while foraging for carrion directly. The range at which they can
- detect carcasses is thus defined by the radius of their sensory organs, usually the visual
- 12 and olfactory senses.

### 13 Cenozoic

- <sup>14</sup> Among terrestrial African carnivores, hyenas, jackals, lions and leopards all take sizable
- proportions of carrion in their diet. In the case of the spotted hyena (Crocuta crocuta) it
- can be as high as 99% (Benbow et al. 2015). Yet, no contemporary terrestrial vertebrate
- exists as an obligate scavenger. The selective pressures that push mammals and reptiles
- towards scavenging do not seem to undermine their ability to hunt, perhaps explaining
- the absence of obligate scavengers in these groups (Ruxton and Houston 2004b).
- There is a long running debate on the tendency of human ancestors to scavenge.
- 21 Some recent studies have found "that passive scavenging from abandoned larger felid kills
- 22 could have been a high-yield, though potentially dangerous, foraging strategy for early
- hominins even without considering within-bone nutrients" (Pobiner 2015).

- Osteophagy is known across a range of terrestrial carnivores. Some fat-rich
- 2 mammalian bones have an energy density (6.7 kJ/g) comparable with that of muscle
- 3 tissue, making skeletal remains an enticing resource (Brown 1989). Hyenas have a bite
- 4 force capable of breaking open bones and early hominins had the ability to craft tools for
- this purpose (Hone and Rauhut 2010, Blasco et al. 2014). In light of this, the skeletal
- 6 remains of carrion may act as trove of food to carnivores who can access it.
- Ruxton and Houston (2004b) in a theoretical study suggested that "a 1 tonne
- 8 mammal or reptile, in an ecosystem yielding carrion at densities similar to the current
- <sup>9</sup> Serengeti, could have met its energy requirements if it could detect carrion over a
- distance of the order of 400–500 m."

#### Mesozoic

- In a recent publication a modelling approach found that theropods between 27 kg and
- 13 1044 kg would have gained a significant energetic advantage over individuals at both the
- small and large extremes of theropod body mass through their scavenging efficiency.
- 15 This humped pattern is the result of the disparity between the scaling of energetic cost
- which scales according to an exponent of 0.91 and energy input that scales according to
- a cubic polynomial that initially scales according to an exponent of 1.07 but plateaus
- after 1000kg. The polynomial behaviour of energy input is itself the result of the
- 19 limitations imposed by gut capacity and the overall availability of carcasses after
- 20 competition.
- As we discussed for the case of Cenozoic carnivores, osteophagy could be extremely
- beneficial to a scavenger. In Mesozoic systems some extremely large theropod dinosaurs
- 23 had a morphology which suggests an ability to process bone e.g. the robust skull and
- <sup>24</sup> dentition of Tyrannosaurus rex. There is direct evidence that T. rex did this in the form
- of distinctive wear marks on its tooth apices (Farlow and Brinkman 1994, Schubert and

- 1 Ungar 2005) and the presence of bone fragments in its coprolites (Chin et al. 1998). The
- 2 animal also had an enormous bite force, with one estimate putting it at 57000 Newtons
- 3 (Bates and Falkingham 2012). This is noted as being powerful enough to break open
- 4 skeletal material (Rayfield et al. 2001). Osteophagy may have been even more viable
- <sup>5</sup> during this era because the body mass distribution of herbviores tended to be skewed
- 6 towards larger sizes (O'Gorman and Hone 2012). When we couple this with the fact that
- skeletal mass scales greater than linearly with body mass (Prange et al. 1979) there
- 8 would have been a lot of bones to consume in the environment provided an animal had
- 9 the biology to process it.
- Allosaurus tooth marks on a hadrosaur in the Late Jurassic (Chure and Fiorillo
- 1997). Late Triassic scavenging on a prosauropod by basal carnivorous archosaurs
- 12 (Hungerbühler 1998).

### 13 Palaeozoic

Synapsids Sprawling gait

# 2 Aerial Scavengers

- Species capable of flight have effectively added an extra spatial dimension, i.e. the
- vertical component, to their sensory environment. This allows them to look down on a
- landscape where they are unencumbered by obstacles that would obstruct the view of a
- terrestrial scavenger. Moreover, flight is a cheaper means of locomotion than running
- <sup>20</sup> (Tucker 1975). Thus, it appear that would-be scavengers have a distinct advantage by
- 21 taking flight.

### Cenozoic

- 2 Birds are the dominant vertebrate fliers today and include the best known scavengers on
- Earth, the vultures. These birds consist of two convergent groups, old world and new
- 4 world vultures and represent the only example of obligate vertebrate scavengers. They
- b have a suite of adaptations that allow them to flourish as obligate scavengers. Vultures
- 6 extend the energetic advantage of flight further by engaging in soaring instead of
- <sup>7</sup> flapping flight, which is even cheaper (Hedenstrom 1993). Their efficiency is illustrated
- 8 by cases of predators like bears and wolves benefiting by taking more carrion in their
- 9 diet in areas bereft of vultures through competitive release (DeVault et al. 2003). In
- 10 flight, birds possess a huge advantage over any terrestrial obligate scavenger. Flight
- affords them the ability to range over a much larger area and detect carrion from an
- elevated vantage point. Pennycuick (1972) conservatively estimated that a Gyps vulture
- could identify activity at a carcass 4 km away.
- Many other bird species take a significant amount of carrion in their diet notably the
- eagles, storks and corvids. Although, none of them are obligate scavengers.

## 6 Mesozoic

- In ancient ecosystems, the volant pterosaurs have also been postulated as existing in a
- vulture-like niche (Witton and Naish 2008). Certain clades of these animals could reach
- enormous sizes (e.g. Azhdarchids) and look to have engaged in soaring flight. However,
- the inflexibility of their necks and straight, rather than hooked jaw morphology argues
- 21 against their existing as obligate scavengers (Witton and Naish 2008). As yet, no one
- 22 has ever attempted an energetics approach for this group which is likely due to the many
- uncertainties over their biology (Witton and Habib 2010).

#### Palaeozoic

- <sup>2</sup> The absence of flying vertebrates in the Palaeozoic may have permitted terrestrial forms
- 3 to take in a higher proportion of carrion in their diet.

# 4 3 Aquatic Scavengers

- The existence of an obligate scavenger in a marine setting also remains hypothetical
- 6 (Britton and Morton 1994, Smith and Baco 2003, Ruxton and Houston 2004a, Ruxton
- and Bailey 2005). Carrion in this environment is produced by dead flesh and marine
- 8 organisms when their carcasses descend to the sea floor. This low-light environment
- 9 means animals detect resources through chemo- and mechanoreception (Ruxton and
- Houston 2004a). Detection distances are far lower than they would be in the air (< 100
- m) as a result. However, water is a medium that is conducive to low-cost movement
- 12 (Tucker 1975) and so may be able to support a small obligate scavenging fish (Ruxton
- and Houston 2004a, Ruxton and Bailey 2005). Although, for the time being this remains
- 14 conjectural.

#### 5 Cenozoic

- A likely instance of scavenging between a 4-million-year-old white shark (Carcharodon)
- and mysticete whale from Peru (Ehret et al. 2009). Bite marks on early Holocene
- Tursiops truncatus fossils from the North Sea indicate scavenging by rays
- (Chondrichthyes, Rajidae) (van Netten and Reumer 2009). Possible scavenging on a
- <sub>20</sub> juvenile fur seal from the Late Neogene (Boessenecker and Perry 2011).

### Mesozoic

- <sup>2</sup> Evidence of scavenging in a Cretaceous shark species *Squalicorax* whereby remains of a
- mosasaur and a hadrosaur were discovered with embedded shark teeth (Schwimmer et al.
- 4 1997).

## 5 Palaeozoic

- 6 Evolution of sharks, known scavengers. Evidence of vertebrate scavenging dates back to
- <sup>7</sup> the early Permian approximately 300 MYA (Reisz and Tsuji 2006).

# Results

## **Discussion**

# **Acknowledgments**

11 A lot of people are to thank here.

## References

- Bates, K. and Falkingham, P. 2012. Estimating maximum bite performance in Tyrannosaurus
- rex using multi-body dynamics. Biology Letters 8: 660–664.
- <sup>4</sup> Benbow, M. E. et al. 2015. Introduction to carrion ecology, evolution, and their applications. –
- <sup>5</sup> Carrion Ecology, Evolution, and Their Applications: 1.
- 6 Blasco, R. et al. 2014. Breaking bones to obtain marrow: A comparative study between
- percussion by batting bone on an anvil and hammerstone percussion. Archaeometry 56:
- s 1085–1104.
- 9 Boessenecker, R. W. and Perry, F. A. 2011. Mammalian bite marks on juvenile fur seal bones
- from the late neogene purisima formation of central california. Palaios 26: 115–120.
- 11 Britton, J. C. and Morton, B. 1994. Marine carrion and scavengers. Oceanography and
- Marine Biology: an annual review 32: 369–434.
- Brown, C. J. 1989. A study of the Bearded Vulture Gypaetus barbatus in southern Africa.
- Ph.D. thesis, University of Natal (Pietermaritzburg).
- <sup>15</sup> Chin, K. et al. 1998. A king-sized theropod coprolite. Nature 393: 680–682.
- Chure, D. and Fiorillo, A. 1997. One big al to go and hold the mayo: evidence of scavenging of
- a specimen of allosaurus from the morrison formation (late jurassic) of wyoming. Journal
- of Vertebrate Paleontology 17: 38A.
- DeVault, T. L. et al. 2003. Scavenging by vertebrates: behavioral, ecological, and evolutionary
- perspectives on an important energy transfer pathway in terrestrial ecosystems. Oikos 102:
- 21 225–234.
- Ehret, D. J. et al. 2009. Caught in the act: trophic interactions between a 4-million-year-old
- white shark (carcharodon) and mysticete whale from peru. Palaios 24: 329–333.

- Farlow, J. and Brinkman, D. 1994. Wear surfaces on the teeth of tyrannosaurs. In: Dino Fest;
- Proceedings of a Conference for the General Public. Palaeontological Society Special
- Publications, vol. 7. pp. 165–175.
- 4 Hedenstrom, A. 1993. Migration by soaring or flapping flight in birds: the relative importance
- of energy cost and speed. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London.
- 6 Series B: Biological Sciences 342: 353–361.
- <sup>7</sup> Hone, D. W. and Rauhut, O. W. 2010. Feeding behaviour and bone utilization by theropod
- 8 dinosaurs. Lethaia 43: 232–244.
- 9 Hungerbühler, A. 1998. Taphonomy of the prosauropod dinosaur sellosaurus, and its
- implications for carnivore faunas and feeding habits in the late triassic. Palaeogeography,
- Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 143: 1–29.
- Ogada, D. L. et al. 2012. Dropping dead: causes and consequences of vulture population
- declines worldwide. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 1249: 57–71.
- O'Gorman, E. J. and Hone, D. W. E. 2012. Body size distribution of the dinosaurs. PLoS
- ONE 7: e51925.
- 16 Pennycuick, C. 1972. Soaring behaviour and performance of some east african birds, observed
- 17 from a motor-glider. Ibis 114: 178–218.
- Pobiner, B. 2008. Paleoecological information in predator tooth marks. Journal of
- taphonomy 6: 373–397.
- Pobiner, B. L. 2015. New actualistic data on the ecology and energetics of hominin scavenging
- opportunities. Journal of human evolution 80: 1–16.
- Prange, H. D. et al. 1979. Scaling of skeletal mass to body mass in birds and mammals. –
- American Naturalist 113: 103–122.

- 1 Rayfield, E. J. et al. 2001. Cranial design and function in a large theropod dinosaur. Nature
- <sub>2</sub> 409: 1033–1037.
- <sup>3</sup> Reisz, R. R. and Tsuji, L. A. 2006. An articulated skeleton of Varanops with bite marks: the
- 4 oldest known evidence of scavenging among terrestrial vertebrates. Journal of Vertebrate
- 5 Paleontology 26: 1021–1023.
- 6 Ruxton, G. D. and Bailey, D. M. 2005. Searching speeds and the energetic feasibility of an
- obligate whale-scavenging fish. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers
- s 52: 1536–1541.
- 9 Ruxton, G. D. and Houston, D. C. 2004a. Energetic feasibility of an obligate marine scavenger.
- Marine ecology. Progress series 266: 59–63.
- Ruxton, G. D. and Houston, D. C. 2004b. Obligate vertebrate scavengers must be large soaring
- fliers. Journal of Theoretical Biology 228: 431–436.
- Schubert, B. W. and Ungar, P. S. 2005. Wear facets and enamel spalling in tyrannosaurid
- dinosaurs. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 50: 93–99.
- 15 Schwimmer, D. R. et al. 1997. Scavenging by sharks of the genus squalicorax in the late
- cretaceous of north america. Palaios: 71–83.
- Sekercioglu, C. H. 2006. Increasing awareness of avian ecological function. Trends in Ecology
- <sup>18</sup> & Evolution 21: 464–471.
- 19 Selva, N. and Fortuna, M. A. 2007. The nested structure of a scavenger community. –
- 20 Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 274: 1101–1108.
- 21 Smith, C. R. and Baco, A. R. 2003. Ecology of whale falls at the deep-sea floor. -
- Oceanography and marine biology 41: 311–354.

- <sup>1</sup> Tucker, V. A. 1975. The energetic cost of moving about: Walking and running are extremely
- inefficient forms of locomotion. much greater efficiency is achieved by birds, fish and
- вісусlists. American Scientist 63: 413–419.
- 4 van Netten, H. and Reumer, J. 2009. Bite marks on early holocene tursiops truncatus fossils
- from the north sea indicate scavenging by rays (chondrichthyes, rajidae). Netherlands
- Journal of Geosciences 88: 169–175.
- Wilson, E. E. and Wolkovich, E. M. 2011. Scavenging: how carnivores and carrion structure
- communities. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 26: 129–135.
- 9 Witton, M. P. and Habib, M. B. 2010. On the size and flight diversity of giant pterosaurs, the
- use of birds as pterosaur analogues and comments on pterosaur flightlessness. PLOS ONE
- 5: e13982.
- Witton, M. P. and Naish, D. 2008. A reappraisal of azhdarchid pterosaur functional
- morphology and paleoecology. PLOS ONE 3: e2271.