# Contents

Contents

Last update: 2024 November 11

## Monotone Convergence Theorem

#### **Definitions**

(1) A sequence  $(x_n)_n$  is <u>monotone</u> if it is either increasing, decreasing, strictly increasing, or strictly decreasing.

## Theorems/Propositions/Lemmas

(1) A convergent sequence is bounded.

*Proof.* Suppose  $(x_n)_n \to x$ . Since  $(x_n)_n$  is convergent, we know:

$$(\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbf{N}) \ni n \geqslant N \implies |x_n - x| < \epsilon.$$

Pick  $\epsilon = 1$ . Then there exists  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \ge N_1$  implies  $x_n \in V_1(x)$ . Define:

$$c = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, ..., |x_N|, |x-1|, |x+1|\}.$$

If  $n \leq N$ , then  $|x_n| \leq c$ . If  $n \geq N_1$ , then  $|x_n| \leq c$ .

(2) (Monotone Convergence Theorem) Let  $(x_n)_n$  be a monotone sequence.  $(x_n)_n$  is convergent if and only if  $(x_n)_n$  is bounded. Moreover, If  $(x_n)_n$  is increasing and bounded above, then  $\lim x_n = \sup\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  or if  $(x_n)_n$  is decreasing and bounded below, then  $\lim x_n = \inf\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ 

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) This direction was showed in (1). ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $(x_n)_n$  is bounded above and increasing. Let  $u = \sup\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Supremum property says given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $u - \epsilon < x_N$ .



But for  $n \geqslant N$ :

$$u - \epsilon < x_N \leqslant x_n \leqslant u < u + \epsilon$$
.

Hence  $|x_n - u| < \epsilon$ , establishing that  $(x_n)_n \to u$ . Now let  $y_n = -x_n$ . Then  $y_n$  is increasing and bounded above. We get:

$$\lim y_n = \sup\{y_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\} \implies -\lim x_n = \sup\{-x_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$$
$$\implies -\lim x_n = -\inf\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}$$
$$\implies \lim x_n = \inf\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

(3) If  $(x_n)_n$  is increasing and unbounded, then  $(x_n)_n$  diverges properly to  $+\infty$ .

*Proof.* Pick M large. Since  $(x_n)_n$  is unbounded, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $x_N > M$ . Hence if  $n \ge N$ , then  $x_n \ge x_N > M$ , establishing  $(x_n)_n \to +\infty$ .

#### **Examples**

(1) Let  $x_1 = 8$  and inductively set  $x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}x_n + 2$ . Show that  $(x_n)_n$  converges and find its limit. Solution. Note that  $(x_n)_n = (8, 6, 5, \frac{9}{2}, ...)$ . We will show this sequence is bounded below by 4 and decreasing. Clearly  $x_1 = 8 \ge 4$ . Now assume  $x_n \ge 4$ . Then:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}x_n + 2$$

$$\geqslant \frac{1}{2}(4) + 2$$

$$= 4.$$

Moreover,

$$x_{n+1} \leqslant x_n \iff \frac{1}{2}x_n + 2 \leqslant x_n$$
  
 $\iff 4 \leqslant x_n.$ 

Thus  $(x_n)_n$  is bounded below by 4 and decreasing. By MCT  $(x_n)_n \to L$ . Observe that:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}x_n + 2 \iff L = \frac{1}{2}L + 2$$
$$\iff L = 4.$$

(2) Let  $x_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2}$ . Show that  $(x_n)_n$  converges.

Solution. Clearly  $x_n \leqslant x_{n+1}$ . We have:

$$x_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2}$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{k^2}$$

$$\leq 1 + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{k(k-1)} \quad \text{Since } k^2 \geqslant k(k-1)$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{k=2}^n \left(\frac{1}{k-1} - \frac{1}{k}\right) \quad \text{Partial fractions}$$

$$= 1 + \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\right) + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n}\right)\right]$$

$$= 1 + 1 - \frac{1}{n}$$

$$= 2 - \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\leq 2$$

Since  $(x_n)_n$  is increasing and bounded above, by MCT  $(x_n)_n \to L$ .

CONTENTS

(3) Given a > 0, construct a sequence  $(x_n)_n$  which converges to  $\sqrt{a}$ .

Solution. Let  $x_1 = 1$  and inductively set  $x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( x_n + \frac{a}{x_n} \right)$ . Observe that:

$$2x_{n+1} = x_n + \frac{a}{x_n} \implies 2x_{n+1}x_n = x_n^2 + a$$
  
 $\implies x_n^2 - 2x_{n+1}x_n + a = 0.$ 

By assumption  $(x_n)_n$  converges, hence this polynomial has a real root. So:

$$\Delta \geqslant 0 \implies 4x_{n+1}^2 - 4a \geqslant 0$$
$$\implies x_{n+1}^2 \geqslant a.$$

Whence  $(x_n)_n$  bounded below. It remains to show that  $(x_n)_n$  is decreasing. Observe that:

$$x_n \geqslant x_{n+1} \iff x_n \geqslant rac{1}{2} \left( x_n + rac{a}{x_n} 
ight) \ \iff 2x_n \geqslant x_n + rac{a}{x_n} \ \iff x_n \geqslant rac{a}{x_n} \quad ext{Since } x_n + x_n \geqslant x_n + rac{a}{x_n} \ \iff x_n^2 \geqslant a \ \iff x_{n+1}^2 \geqslant a. \qquad ext{Since $a$ is a lowerbound}$$

Hence by MCT,  $(x_n)_n \to L$ . This gives:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( x_n + \frac{a}{x_n} \right) \stackrel{n \to \infty}{\Longrightarrow} L = \frac{1}{2} \left( L + \frac{a}{L} \right)$$
$$\implies L^2 = a$$
$$\implies L = \sqrt{a}.$$

(4) Let  $h_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$ . Show that  $(h_n)_n \to +\infty$ .

Solution. Clearly  $(h_n)_n$  is increasing. Observe that:

$$h_2 = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \geqslant 1 + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$h_{2^2} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} \geqslant 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = 1 + 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

$$h_{2^3} = \dots = 1 + 3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$$

Inductively,  $h_{2^n} \geqslant 1 + \frac{n}{2}$ . Since  $(1 + \frac{1}{n})_n \to +\infty$ ,  $(h_n)_n \to +\infty$ .

## Subsequences

#### **Definitions**

- (1) A natural sequence is a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers  $(n_k)_k$  with  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (2) Let  $(x_n)_n$  be a sequence. A <u>subsequence</u> of  $(x_n)_n$  is a sequence  $(x_{n_k})_k$  where  $(n_k)_k$  is a natural sequence. Formally, a subsequence is a composition of maps  $\mathbf{N} \xrightarrow{k \mapsto n_k} \mathbf{N} \xrightarrow{n_k \mapsto x_{n_k}} X$
- (3) If  $(x_n)_n$  is a sequence of real numbers, a <u>peak</u> of a sequence is a term  $x_m$  with  $x_m \ge x_n$  for all  $n \ge m$ .

#### Theorems/Propositions/Lemmas

(1) Given a natural sequence  $(n_k)_k$ ,  $n_k \ge k$  for all k.

*Proof.* Clearly 
$$n_1 \ge 1$$
. Now assume  $n_k \ge k$ . Then  $n_{k+1} \ge n_k + 1 \ge k + 1$ .

(2) Suppose  $(x_n)_n \to x$ . For any subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_k$ , we have  $(x_{n_k})_k \to x$ .

*Proof.* Since 
$$(x_n)_n \to x$$
,  $(\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbb{N}) \ni n \geqslant N \implies |x_n - x| < \epsilon$ . Consider  $K = N$ . Then  $k \geqslant K$  implies  $k \geqslant N$ . But by (1)  $n_k \geqslant k \geqslant N$ . Hence  $|x_{n_k} - x| < \epsilon$ , establishing  $(x_{n_k})_k \to x$ .  $\square$ 

(3) Let  $(x_n)_n$  be a sequence. Then  $(x_n)_n \not\to x$  if and only if there exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_k$  such that  $d(x_{n_k}, x) \ge \epsilon_0$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $(x_n)_n \to x$ , then any subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_k$  converges to x. ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $(x_n)_n \not\to x$ :

$$(\exists \epsilon_0 > 0)(\forall N \in \mathbf{N}) \ni (\exists n \in \mathbf{N})(n \geqslant N \land d(x_n - x) \geqslant \epsilon_0).$$

Note that:

$$N = 1 \implies (\exists n_1 \in \mathbf{N})(n_1 \geqslant 1 \land d(x_{n_1}, x) \geqslant \epsilon_0)$$

$$N = n_1 + 1 \implies (\exists n_2 \in \mathbf{N})(n_2 > n_1 \land d(x_{n_2}, x) \geqslant \epsilon_0)$$

$$N = n_2 + 1 \implies (\exists n_3 \in \mathbf{N})(n_3 > n_2 \land d(x_{n_3}, x) \geqslant \epsilon_0)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$N = n_k + 1 \implies (\exists n_{k+1} \in \mathbf{N})(n_{k+1} > n_k \land d(x_{n_{k+1}}, x) \geqslant \epsilon_0)$$

Hence  $(x_{n_k})_k$  is a subsequence satisfying  $d(x_{n_k}, x) \ge \epsilon_0$ .

(4) Let  $(x_n)_n$  be a real sequence. There is a subsequence that is monotone.

Proof. We proceed with cases. Case 1: there are infinitely many peaks. Let  $(x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, x_{n_3}, ...)$  be an enumeration of peaks. Then  $(x_{n_k})_k$  is decreasing by definition. Case 2: there are finitely many peaks. Let  $x_{m_1}, x_{m_2}, ..., x_{m_r}$  be the peaks of our sequence. Then  $m_1 < m_2 < ... < m_r$  by definition. Let  $n_1 = m_r + 1$ . Since  $x_{n_1}$  is not a peak, there exists  $n_2 > n_1$  such that  $x_{n_3} > x_{n_2}$ . Inductively, we obtain a sequence  $(x_{n_k})_k$  with  $x_{n_k} < x_{n_{k+1}}$ .

(5) (Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem) If  $(x_n)_n$  is a real sequence that is bounded, it admits a convergent subsequence.

*Proof.* Since  $(x_n)_n$  is a bounded real sequence it admits a monotone subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_k$  which is bounded. By the monotone convergence theorem  $(x_{n_k})_k$  converges.

(6) If  $(x_n)_n$  is an unbounded sequence of real numbers, show that there is a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_k$  such that  $\left(\frac{1}{x_{n_k}}\right)_k \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(x_n)_n$  is an unbounded real sequence:

$$(\exists \epsilon_0 > 0) (\forall N \in \mathbf{N}) \ni (\exists n \in \mathbf{N}) (n \geqslant N \land |x_n - 0| \geqslant \epsilon_0).$$

We can construct a subsequence as follows:

$$N=1 \implies (\exists n_1 \in \mathbf{N})(n_1 \geqslant 1 \land |x_{n_1}| \geqslant \epsilon_0)$$
  
 $N=n_1=1 \implies (\exists n_2 \in \mathbf{N})(n_2 \geqslant n_1 \land |x_{n_2}| \geqslant \epsilon_0)$   
:

Inductively, we obtain a sequence  $(x_{n_k})_k$  which properly diverges to  $+\infty$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , let K be arbitrarily big so that  $\epsilon > \frac{1}{n_K}$ . Then for  $k \ge K$ , we have  $\left|\frac{1}{n_k}\right| < \epsilon$ .

(7) Suppose that every subsequence of a sequence  $(x_n)_n$  has a subsequence that converges to 0. Show that  $(x_n)_n \to 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose towards contradiction that  $(x_n)_n \not\to 0$ . Then there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_k \not\to 0$ . By definition:

$$(\exists \epsilon_0 > 0) (\forall K \in \mathbf{N}) \ni (\exists k \in \mathbf{N}) (k \geqslant K \land d(x_{n_k}, 0) \geqslant \epsilon_0).$$

We will construct a subsequence of  $(x_{n_k})_k$  as follows:

$$K = 1 \implies (\exists k_1 \in \mathbf{N})(k_1 \geqslant 1 \land d(x_{n_{k_1}}, 0) \geqslant \epsilon_0)$$

$$K = k_1 + 1 \implies (\exists k_2 \in \mathbf{N})(k_2 \geqslant k_1 \land d(x_{n_{k_2}}, 0) \geqslant \epsilon_0)$$

$$\vdots$$

Inductively, we obtain a sequence  $(x_{n_{k_j}})_j \not\to 0$ . But this contradicts our claim that every subsequence has a subsequence which converges to 0. Hence it must be that  $(x_n)_n \to 0$ .

## Examples

## Limit Inferior & Limit Superior

#### **Definitions**

- (1) Let  $X = (x_n)_n$  be a fixed bounded sequence who's limit may not exist. Then  $\overline{X} = \{t \in \mathbf{R} \mid t = \lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n_k}, x_{n_k} \text{ some subsequence}\}$  is the set containing all <u>subsequential limits</u> (or <u>limit points</u>) of X.
- (2) Let  $(x_n)_n$  be a bounded sequence.
  - (i)  $l = \lim_{m \to \infty} l_m = \lim_{m \to \infty} (\inf_{n \ge m} x_n) := \liminf_{n \ge m} x_n$
  - (ii)  $u = \lim_{m \to \infty} u_m = \lim_{m \to \infty} (\sup_{n \ge m} x_n) := \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} x_n$ .

#### Theorems/Propositions/Lemmas

(1) Let  $X = (x_n)_n$  be a bounded sequence with  $l = \liminf x_n$  and  $u = \limsup x_n$ . If  $x \in X$ , then  $x \in [l, u]$ .

*Proof.* Note that:

$$egin{aligned} \inf_{n\geqslant n_k} x_n \leqslant x_{n_k} &\Longrightarrow \lim_{k o \infty} (\inf_{n\geqslant n_k} x_n) \leqslant \lim_{k o \infty} x_{n_k} \ &\Longrightarrow l \leqslant x. \end{aligned}$$
 $\sup_{n\geqslant n_k} x_n \geqslant x_{n_k} &\Longrightarrow \lim_{k o \infty} (\sup_{n\geqslant n_k} x_n) \geqslant \lim_{k o \infty} x_{n_k} \ &\Longrightarrow u \geqslant x.$ 

(2) Let  $(x_n)_n = X$  be a bounded sequence. Let  $l = \liminf x_n$  and  $u = \limsup x_n$ . Then  $l, u \in \overline{X}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u_m = \sup_{n \ge m} x_n$ . By the supremum property:

$$N = 1 \implies (\exists n_1 \in \mathbf{N})(n_1 \geqslant 1 \land u_1 - 1 < x_{n_1} \leqslant u_1)$$

$$N = n_1 + 1 \implies (\exists n_2 \in \mathbf{N})(n_2 > n_1 \land u_2 - \frac{1}{2} < x_{n_2} \leqslant u_2)$$

$$N = n_2 + 1 \implies (\exists n_3 \in \mathbf{N})(n_3 > n_2 \land u_3 - \frac{1}{3} < x_{n_3} \leqslant u_3)$$
:

Inductively:

$$u_k - \frac{1}{k} < x_{n_k} \leqslant u_k \implies \lim_{k \to \infty} u_k < \lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n_k} \leqslant \lim_{k \to \infty} u_k$$
  
 $\implies u < \lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n_k} \leqslant u.$ 

By the squeeze theorem,  $(x_{n_k})_k \to u$ . Now let  $l_m = \inf_{n \ge m} x_n$ . By the infimum property:

$$N = 1 \implies (\exists n_1 \in \mathbf{N})(n_1 \geqslant 1 \land l_1 \leqslant x_{n_1} < l_1 + 1)$$

$$N = n_1 + 1 \implies (\exists n_2 \in \mathbf{N})(n_2 > n_1 \land l_2 \leqslant x_{n_2} < l_2 + \frac{1}{2})$$

$$N = n_2 + 1 \implies (\exists n_3 \in \mathbf{N})(n_3 > n_2 \land l_3 \leqslant x_{n_3} < l_3 + \frac{1}{3})$$

$$\vdots$$

Inductively:

$$l_k \leqslant x_{n_k} < l_k + \frac{1}{k} \implies \lim_{k \to \infty} l_k \leqslant \lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n_k} < \lim_{k \to \infty} l_k + \frac{1}{k}$$
$$\implies l \leqslant \lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n_k} < l.$$

By the squeeze theorem,  $(x_{n_k})_k \to l$ . Hence  $l, u \in \overline{X}$ .

- (3) \* Let  $(x_n)_n$  be bounded.
  - (i)  $\liminf x_n \leqslant \limsup x_n$ .
  - (ii)  $(x_n)_n \to x$  if and only if  $\liminf x_n = \limsup x_n = x$ .

*Proof.* (i) Note that  $l_m \leqslant u_m$  for all  $m \geqslant 1$ . Taking the limit  $m \to \infty$  gives  $l \leqslant u$ .

(ii) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $(x_n)_n \to x$ , then every subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_k \to x$ . But we showed in (2) that there exists subsequences which converge to l and u. Whence x = l = u. ( $\Leftarrow$ ) If l = u = x, then  $\overline{X} = [x, x] = \{x\}$ . Hence every subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_k \to x$ . Thus  $(x_n)_n \to x$ .

Example

## **Series**

#### **Definitions**

- (1) Let  $(x_k)_k$  be a sequence of real numbers.
  - (i) The <u>sequence of partial sums</u>  $(s_n)_n$  is  $s_n := \sum_{k=1}^n x_k$ .
  - (ii) If  $(s_n)_n \to s$  in **R**, we say the <u>infinite series</u>  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$  converges and we write  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k = s$  or  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k < \infty$ .
  - (iii) If  $(s_n)_n$  diverges we say that the infinite series  $\sum_{k=1}^n x_k$  diverges. If  $(s_n)_n$  properly diverges to  $\pm \infty$ , we may write  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k = \pm \infty$ .
- (2) A series  $\sum x_k$  converges <u>absolutely</u> if  $\sum |x_k| < \infty$ .
- (3) An <u>alternating series</u> is an infinite series of the form  $\sum_{k} (-1)^k b_k$ ,  $b_k \geqslant 0$ .

## Theorems/Propositions/Lemmas

(1) Let  $(x_k)_k$  be a sequence and let  $k_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$  converges if and only if  $\sum_{k>k_0}^{\infty} x_k$  converges. In the case of convergence,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k = \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} x_k + \sum_{k>k_0} x_k$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_n = s$ . Then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_n = \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} x_k + \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} x_k = s$ . Rearranging gives  $\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} x_k = s - \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} x_k$ . Since  $\sum_{k=1}^{k_0} < \infty$ , it must be that  $\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} x_n < \infty$ . ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Now suppose  $\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} x_k = s$ . Since  $\sum_{k=1}^{k_0} x_k < \infty$ , we have that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k = s + \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} x_k$ ; i.e., the infinite series is convergent.

(2) (Divergence Test) If  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$  converges then  $(x_k)_k \to 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k = s$ . Then  $(s_n)_n \to s$ . We have  $x_n = s_n - s_{n-1}$ . Taking the limit on both sides gives  $(x_n)_n \to 0$ .

- (3) Let  $(x_k)_k$  be a sequence. The following are equivalent:
  - (i)  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$  converges.
  - (ii)  $(\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbf{N}) \ni (\exists m, n \in \mathbf{N})(m > n \geqslant N \implies \left|\sum_{k=n+1}^{m}\right| < \epsilon).$
  - (iii)  $(\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbf{N}) \ni |\sum_{k>N} x_k| < \epsilon$ .
  - (iv)  $\left(\sum_{k>n} x_k\right)_n \to 0$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\iff$  (2). Let  $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k$ . Note that  $s_m - s_n = \sum_{k=n+1}^m x_k$ . So  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty$  converges if and only if  $(s_n)_n$  converges if and only if  $(s_n)_n$  is Cauchy. (3)  $\iff$  (4) This follows from definitions. (1)  $\implies$  (3) Suppose  $(s_n)_n \to s$ . Then:

$$(\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbf{N}) \ni (\forall n \in \mathbf{N})(n \geqslant N \implies |s_n - s| < \epsilon).$$

But  $s = s_n + \sum_{k>N} x_k$ . So  $|s - s_n| < \epsilon$  is equivalent to  $|\sum_{k>N} x_k| < \epsilon$ . (3)  $\Longrightarrow$  (1) Since  $|\sum_{k>N} x_k| < \epsilon$ , it converges. This is a tail, hence  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$  converges.

(4) Let  $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$  with  $x_k \ge 0$  for all k. Then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$  converges if and only if  $(s_n)_n$  is bounded.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$  converges then  $(s_n)_n$  converges, hence  $(s_n)_n$  is bounded. ( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $(s_n)_n$  is bounded and increasing, then by MCT  $(s_n)_n$  converges, hence  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$  converges.

- (5) (Comparison Test) Let  $(x_k)_k$  and  $(y_k)_k$  be sequences with  $0 \le x_k \le y_k$ .
  - (i) If  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k < \infty$ , then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k < \infty$  with  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k$ .
  - (ii) If  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k = \infty$ , then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} = \infty$ .

Proof. https://www.math.uci.edu/~ndonalds/math2b/notes/11-4.pdf □

- (6) \* (Limit Comparison) Let  $(x_k)_k$  and  $(y_k)_k$  be sequences of positive terms.
  - (i) If  $\sum y_k < \infty$  and  $\limsup \frac{x_k}{y_k} < \infty$ , then  $\sum x_k < \infty$ .
  - (ii) If  $\sum y_k = \infty$  and  $\liminf \frac{x_k}{y_k} > 0$ , then  $\sum x_k = \infty$ .

*Proof.*