

Name: Gianluca Crescenzo

**Question 1.** Consider the population regression testing the relationship whether college GPA is affected by hours of studying.  $\text{GPA}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Study}_i + \delta \mathbf{X}_i + \epsilon_i$ .  $\mathbf{X}$  refers to other controls in the regression. Upon estimation in the data, the 95% confidence interval corresponding to  $\beta_1$  is (0.012, 0.024). Assume you have 200 degrees of freedom ( $(n - k - 1) = 200$ ).

- (a) Can you reject the null hypothesis of  $\beta_1 = 0$  at the 5% level of significance in a 2-sided test? What about the null hypothesis  $\beta_1 = 0.02$  in a 2-sided test? Justify your reasoning.

*Solution.* Since  $\beta_1 = 0$  is not within the interval (0.012, 0.024), we reject the null-hypothesis. Since  $\beta_2 = 0.02$  is within the interval (0.012, 0.024), we fail to reject the null-hypothesis.

- (b) Can you reject the null hypothesis of  $\beta_1 = 0$  at the 1% level significance in a 2-sided test? What about the null hypothesis of  $\beta_1 = 0$  at the 10% level of significance in a 1-sided test? Justify your reasoning and show any steps.

*Solution.*

**Question 2.** Consider the population regression testing the relationship whether college GPA is affected by hours of studying.  $\text{GPA}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Study}_i + \delta \mathbf{X}_i + \epsilon_i$ .  $\mathbf{X}$  refers to other controls in the regression. Upon estimation in the data,  $\hat{\beta}_1 = 0.025$  and the accompanying standard error is  $\text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1) = 0.013$ . Assume you have 200 degrees of freedom.

- (a) Can you reject the null hypothesis of  $\beta_1 = 0$  in a two-sided test at the 10 percent level of significance?

*Solution.* Our  $t$ -value is:

$$t_k = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{\text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \frac{0.025}{0.013} \approx 1.923$$

The critical value for a two-sided test with a 10% level of significance is  $t_c \approx 1.652$ . Since  $t_k > t_c$ , we reject the null-hypothesis.

- (b) Given the estimated coefficient and standard error, what is the minimum level of Type-1 error with which you can reject the null of  $\beta_1 = 0$  in a two-sided test? What would be your answer if you were considering a one-sided test? (The alternate hypothesis being  $\beta_1 > 0$ ) Your answer in both instances should be a probability.

*Solution.* We can use  $t_k$  from part (a). For a two-sided test, we have that  $2P(T > |t_k|) \approx 0.056$ , so the minimum level of Type-1 error is 5.6%. For a one-sided test, we have  $P(T > t_k) \approx 0.028$ , so the minimum level of Type-1 error is 2.8%.

- (c) Can you reject the null hypothesis of  $\beta_1 = 0.04$  at the 10 percent level in a two-sided test? What about a one-sided test? Show your steps.

*Solution.* Our  $t$ -value is:

$$t_k = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \frac{0.025 - 0.04}{0.013} \approx -1.154$$

Using  $t_c$  from part (a), since  $|t_k| \not> t_c$ , we fail to reject the null-hypothesis. For a one-sided test,  $t_c \approx 2.345$ . Since  $|t_k| > t_c$ , we reject the null-hypothesis.

**Question 3.** Use *hw4data* for the following question. The data refers to manufacturing firms. A list of variable definitions are provided at the end of the problem set. Consider the population regression function:

$$\ln(\text{Output}_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln(\text{Wages}_i) + \beta_2 \ln(\text{Capital}_i) + \beta_3 \ln(\text{Materials}_i) + \beta_4 \text{Importer}_i + \beta_5 \text{Rural}_i + \beta_6 \text{Listed}_i + \beta_7 \text{Age}_i + \beta_8 \text{Age}_i^2 + \epsilon_i$$

- (a) How much additional output can a firm currently aged 5 years expect when it reaches the age of 10 years. How much additional output can a firm currently aged 50 years expect when it reaches the age of 55 years? (Note: you will first need to compute the natural log of output, wages paid, capital, and materials, and also generate the squared age variable).

*Solution.* From the regression, we found that  $\widehat{\beta}_7 = -0.0108897$  and  $\widehat{\beta}_8 = 0.0001206$ . So we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \ln(\widehat{\text{Output}})_i &= (\widehat{\beta}_7 \cdot 10 + \widehat{\beta}_8 \cdot 10^2) - (\widehat{\beta}_7 \cdot 5 + \widehat{\beta}_8 \cdot 5^2) = -.04540269, \\ \Delta \ln(\widehat{\text{Output}})_i &= (\widehat{\beta}_7 \cdot 55 + \widehat{\beta}_8 \cdot 55^2) - (\widehat{\beta}_7 \cdot 50 + \widehat{\beta}_8 \cdot 50^2) = .00887165. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Based on your regression estimates, from what point can firm owners expect output to display an increasing relationship with age?

*Solution.* Consider:

$$\frac{\partial \ln(\widehat{\text{Output}}_i)}{\partial \text{Age}_i} = \beta_7 + 2\beta_8 \text{Age}_i = 0$$

Solving for  $\text{Age}_i$  gives:

$$\text{Age}_i = -\frac{\beta_7}{2\beta_8}$$

This will be a local-minimum, whence after age 45 firm owners can expect output to display an increasing relationship with age.

- (c) Based on your regression estimates, what will be the minimum Type-1 error you would need to tolerate to reject the null of  $\beta_2 = 0.3$  using a two-sided test?

*Solution.* Our  $t$ -value is:

$$t_{\widehat{\beta}_2} = \frac{\widehat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2}{\text{SE}(\widehat{\beta}_2)} = \frac{0.207 - 0.3}{0.006} \approx -15.5$$

The probability that a Type-1 error occurs is basically 0.

- (d) Based on your regression estimates, can you reject the null of  $\beta_6 = -0.1$  at the 5% level using a two-sided test?

*Solution.* Our  $t$ -value is:

$$t_{\widehat{\beta}_6} = \frac{\widehat{\beta}_6 - \beta_6}{\text{SE}(\widehat{\beta}_6)} = \frac{-.0773319 + 0.1}{.0251632} \approx 0.9008$$

At the 5% level, the critical value is  $t_c = 1.96$ . Thus we fail to reject the null hypothesis.

- (e) Based on your regression estimates, test the null hypothesis of  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = 1$ . Can you reject the null hypothesis at the 5% level of significance using a two-sided test? What about the 1% level of significance?

*Solution.* Using the *test* command in Stata gave  $\text{Prob} > F = 0.0144$ . So at the 5% level of significance, we reject the null hypothesis. For the 1% level of significance, we fail to reject the null hypothesis.

- (f) Use an  $F$ -test to argue whether  $\text{Age}_i$  and  $\text{Age}_i^2$  should be a part of the population regression function.

*Solution.* The value Stata reported is very small. This means  $\text{Age}_i$  and  $\text{Age}_i^2$  are jointly statistically significant and should be included in the model.