

Contents

Contents	i
1 Euclidean Domains, PIDs, UFDs	1
1.1 Euclidean Domains	1

Euclidean Domains, PIDs, UFDs

1.1 Euclidean Domains

Definition 1.1.1. Let R be an integral domain. Any function $N : R \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ with $N(0) = 0$ is called a norm on the integral domain R . If $N(a) > 0$ for $a \neq 0$ define N to be a positive norm.

Definition 1.1.2. The integral domain R is said to be a Euclidean Domain (or possess a Division Algorithm) if there is a norm N on R such that for any two elements a and b of R with $b \neq 0$ there exist elements q and r in R with

$$a = qb + r \quad \text{with } r = 0 \text{ or } N(r) < N(b).$$

The element q is called the quotient and the element r is called the remainder of the division.

Example 1.1.1 (Euclidean Algorithm). Let a and b be any two elements of the Euclidean domain R . By successive "divisions" (these actually are divisions in the field of fractions of R) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} a &= q_0b + r_0 \\ b &= q_1r_0 + r_1 \\ r_0 &= q_2r_1 + r_2 \\ &\vdots \\ r_{n-2} &= q_nr_{n-1} + r_n \\ r_{n-1} &= q_{n+1}r_n \end{aligned}$$

where r_n is the last nonzero remainder. Such an r_n exists since $N(b) > N(r_0) > N(r_1) > \dots > N(r_n)$ is a decreasing sequence of nonnegative integers if the remainders are nonzero, and such a sequence cannot continue indefinitely. Note also that there is no guarantee that these elements are unique.

Example 1.1.2.

- (1) Fields are trivial examples of Euclidean Domains where any norm will satisfy the defining condition (e.g., $N(a) = 0$ for all a). This is because for every a, b with $b \neq 0$ we have $a = qb + 0$, where $q = ab^{-1}$.
- (2) The integers \mathbf{Z} are a Euclidean Domain with norm given by $N(a) = |a|$, the usual absolute value.
- (3) If F is a field, then the polynomial ring $F[x]$ is a Euclidean Domain with norm given by $N(p(x)) = \deg p(x)$. The Division Algorithm for polynomials is simply "long division" of polynomials. The proof is very similar to that for \mathbf{Z} and is given in the next chapter. We will prove in Section ?? that $R[x]$ is not a Euclidean Domain if R is not a field.

Proposition 1.1.1. *Every ideal in a Euclidean Domain is principle. More precisely, if I is any nonzero ideal in the Euclidean Domain R then $I = (d)$, where d is any nonzero element of I of minimum norm.*

Proof. If I is the zero ideal there is nothing to prove. Otherwise let $d \in I$ be any nonzero element of minimum norm (such a d exists since the set $\{N(a) \mid a \in I\}$ has a minimum element by the well-ordering of \mathbf{Z}). Clearly $(d) \subseteq I$ since d is an element of I . To show the reverse inclusion let $a \in I$ and use the Division Algorithm to write $a = qd + r$ with $r = 0$ or $N(r) < N(d)$. Then $r = a - qd$ and note that $a \in I$ and $qd \in I$, so r is an element of I . By the minimality of the norm of d , it must be the case that $r = 0$. Hence $a = qd \in (d)$, showing $I \subseteq (d)$ which establishes the proposition that $I = (d)$. \square

Example 1.1.3. Let $R = \mathbf{Z}[x]$. Since the ideal $(2, x)$ is not principle, it follows that the ring $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ of polynomials with integer coefficients is not a Euclidean Domain.

Definition 1.1.3. Let R be a commutative ring and let $a, b \in R$ with $b \neq 0$.

- (1) a is said to be a multiple of b if there exists an element $x \in R$ with $a = bx$. In this case b is said to divide a or be a divisor of a , written $b \mid a$.
- (2) A greatest common divisor of a and b is a nonzero element d such that
 - (i) $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$, and
 - (ii) if $d' \mid a$ and $d' \mid b$, then $d' \mid d$.

A greatest common divisor of a and b will be denoted by $\gcd(a, b)$, or (abusing the notation) simply (a, b) .

Definition 1.1.4. If I is the ideal of R generated by a and b (that is, $I = (a, b)$), then d is the greatest common divisor of a and b if

- (i) I is contained in the principal ideal (d) , and
- (ii) if (d') is any principal ideal containing I then $(d) \subseteq (d')$.

Proposition 1.1.2. *If a and b are nonzero elements in the commutative ring R such that the ideal generated by a and b is a principal ideal (d) , then d is a greatest common divisor of a and b .*

Proof. This follows directly from the previous definition. \square

Proposition 1.1.3. *Let R be an integral domain. If two elements d and d' of R generate the same principal ideal; i.e. $(d) = (d')$, then $d' = ud$ for some unit $u \in R$. In particular, if d and d' are both greatest common divisors of a and b , then $d' = ud$ for some unit u .*

Proof. If either d or d' are 0 then we are done. Assume d and d' are nonzero. Since $d \in (d')$ there is some $x \in R$ such that $d = xd'$. Since $d' \in (d)$ there is some $y \in R$ such that $d' = yd$. Thus $d = xyd$ and so $d(1 - xy) = 0$. Since $d \neq 0$, it must be the case that $xy = 1$, that is, both x and y are units. This proves the first assertion.

The second assertion follows from the first since any two greatest common divisors of a and b generate the same principle ideal (they divide eachother). \square

Theorem 1.1.4. *Let R be a Euclidean Domain and let a and b be nonzero elements of R . Let $d = r_n$ be the last nonzero remainder in the Euclidean Algorithm for a and b described in Example 1.1.1. Then*

- (1) d is the greatest common divisor of a and b , and
- (2) the principal ideal (d) is the ideal generated by a and b . In particular, d can be written as an R -linear combination of a and b ; i.e., there are elements x and y in R such that

$$d = ax + by.$$

Proof. By Proposition 1.1.1, the ideal generated by a and b is principal so a, b do have a greatest common divisor, namely any element which generates the (principal) ideal (a, b) . Both parts of the theorem will follow once we show $d = r_n$ generates this ideal; i.e., once we show that

- (i) $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$ (which means $(a, b) \subseteq (d)$)
- (ii) d is an R -linear combination of a and b (which means $(d) \subseteq (a, b)$.)

To prove that d divides both a and b , simply keep track of the divisibilities in the Euclidean Algorithm. Recall the following set of equations from Example 1.1.1

$$\begin{aligned}
 a &= q_0b + r_0 & (0) \\
 b &= q_1r_0 + r_1 & (1) \\
 r_0 &= q_2r_1 + r_2 & (2) \\
 &\vdots \\
 r_{k-1} &= q_{k+1}r_k + r_{k+1} & (k+1) \\
 &\vdots \\
 r_{n-2} &= q_nr_{n-1} + r_n & (n) \\
 r_{n-1} &= q_{n+1}r_n & (n+1)
 \end{aligned}$$

We proceed with induction with n as the base case. Equation $(n+1)$ gives $r_n \mid r_{n-1}$ and clearly $r_n \mid r_n$. Assume $r_n \mid r_{k+1}$ and $r_n \mid r_k$ as our inductive hypothesis. By Equation $(k+1)$ we see that r_n divides both terms on the right hand side—hence $r_n \mid r_{k-1}$. From Equation (1) $r_n \mid b$ and from Equation (0) $r_n \mid a$, which establishes (i). \square