

Definition. A zero of a continuous function is called *isolated* if there exists an open set containing that zero but no others zeros of f .

Proposition. If $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is continuous and all of its zeros are isolated, show that f has only finitely many zeros on $[0, 1]$.

Proof. This statement is equivalent to the following: if f has infinitely many zeros on $[0, 1]$, then f being continuous implies there exists a zero which is not isolated.

Let $\{x_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq [0, 1]$ be an infinite family of zeros of f . Since this set is bounded, by the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem there exists a convergent sequence $(x_n)_n$, where $x_n \in \{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ for each $n \geq 1$. Define $x_0 := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$. Since f is continuous, $(x_n)_n \rightarrow x_0$ implies $(f(x_n))_n \rightarrow f(x_0)$. But since $f(x_n) = 0$ for each n , it must be the case that $f(x_0) = 0 \dots$ \square

This is where I'm starting to have trouble. What would the negation of the definition of an isolated zero be? If c is not isolated, does that mean for every open set containing c , there is another zero contained in the open set?

I'm also having trouble using the negation of this definition in my proof. If $(x_n)_n \rightarrow x_0$, then *for every* neighborhood of x_0 , call it V , there is some $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $x_N \in V$. Hence $x_N, x_0 \in V$. But V isn't necessarily open!