## Fluctuations of the rapidity distribution

## J. Dubail

## April 10, 2025

In the coarse-grained Lieb-Liniger model, expectation values w.r.t an ensemble are given by

$$\langle \hat{A} \rangle_w = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\rho \, e^{L(S[\rho] - W[\rho])} \, A[\rho]}{\int \mathcal{D}\rho \, e^{L(S[\rho] - W[\rho])}} \tag{1}$$

where  $A[\rho]$  is the expectation value of  $\hat{A}$  in an eigenstate with rapidity distribution  $\rho$ ,  $\mathcal{D}\rho$  denotes the functional integral over all possible rapidity distributions, L is the length of the system,  $S[\rho]$  is the Yang-Yang entropy, which is of the form

$$S[\rho] = \int s(\nu(\theta))\rho_{\rm s}(\theta)d\theta, \qquad (2)$$

and the weight functional that defines the ensemble is

$$W[\rho] = \int w(\theta)\rho(\theta)d\theta. \tag{3}$$

Since the system size L is assumed to be large, the expectation value (5) can be evaluated by saddle point, leading to the Yang-Yang equation,

$$w(\theta) = \frac{\delta S[\rho]}{\delta \rho(\theta)}. (4)$$

This fixes all one-point functions. If we are interested in two-point functions,  $\langle \hat{A}\hat{B}\rangle^{\text{conn.}} = \langle \hat{A}\hat{B}\rangle - \langle \hat{A}\rangle \langle \hat{B}\rangle$ , then

$$\langle \hat{A}\hat{B}\rangle_w^{\text{conn.}} = \int d\theta \int d\theta' \frac{\delta A}{\delta\rho(\theta)} \frac{\delta B}{\delta\rho(\theta')} \langle \delta\rho(\theta)\delta\rho(\theta')\rangle$$
 (5)

where

$$\langle \delta \rho(\theta) \delta \rho(\theta') \rangle = \frac{\int \mathcal{D} \delta \rho \, e^{\frac{L}{2} \int d\lambda \int d\lambda' \frac{\delta^2 S_{YY}}{\delta \rho(\lambda) \delta \rho(\lambda')} \delta \rho(\lambda) \delta \rho(\lambda')} \delta \rho(\theta) \delta \rho(\theta')}{\int \mathcal{D} \delta \rho \, e^{\frac{L}{2} \int d\lambda \int d\lambda' \frac{\delta^2 S_{YY}}{\delta \rho(\lambda) \delta \rho(\lambda')} \delta \rho(\lambda) \delta \rho(\lambda')}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{L} \left[ -\frac{\delta^2 S_{YY}}{\delta \rho \delta \rho} \right]^{-1} (\theta, \theta'). \tag{6}$$

After a calculation (see below), I arrive at the following formula,

$$\langle \delta \rho(\theta) \delta \rho(\theta') \rangle = \frac{1}{L} \int \frac{\left[ \delta(\theta - \lambda) + \nu(\theta) C(\theta, \lambda) \right] \left[ \delta(\theta' - \lambda) + \nu(\theta') C(\theta', \lambda) \right]}{-s''(\nu(\lambda))} \rho_{s}(\lambda) d\lambda,$$
(7)

with

$$C(\theta, \lambda) = \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta(\lambda - .)\right]^{dr}(\theta). \tag{8}$$

This result is compatible with formula (1.1) in arXiv:1705.08141 by Herbert and Benjamin, who say that it is 'an immediate consequence of the (generalized) thermodynamic Bethe ansatz formalism'. To check the equivalence with my formula, one specifies  $s(\nu) = -\nu \log \nu - (1 - \nu) \log(1 - \nu)$  so that  $\frac{1}{-s''(\nu)} = \nu(1 - \nu)$ , and then one uses the fact that

$$h^{\mathrm{dr}}(\lambda) = \int d\theta \, h(\theta) \left[ \delta(\theta - \lambda) + \nu(\theta) C(\theta, \lambda) \right].$$

**Derivation.** To first order we have

$$\delta(S - W) = \int \left[ s'(\nu(\theta))(\delta\rho(\theta) - \nu(\theta)\delta\rho_{s}(\theta)) + s(\nu(\theta))\delta\rho_{s}(\theta) - w(\theta)\delta\rho(\theta) \right] d\theta$$
$$= \int \left[ (s'(\nu) - w)\delta\rho + (s(\nu) - \nu s'(\nu)\delta\rho_{s}) \right] d\theta$$

and, remarkably, to second order

$$\delta(S-W) = \int s''(\nu) (\delta \nu)^2 \rho_{\rm s}(\theta) d\theta .$$

It is a miracle that the result is diagonal in  $\delta\nu(\theta)$ . There must be a deeper meaning to this, but for now I don't know what it is. Since  $\delta^2 S$  is diagonal, it can be inverted straighforwardly:

$$\langle \delta \nu(\theta) \delta \nu(\theta') \rangle = \frac{1}{L} \left[ -\frac{\delta^2 (S - W)}{\delta \nu \delta \nu} \right]^{-1} (\theta, \theta')$$
$$= \frac{1}{L} \frac{1}{-s''(\nu(\theta)) \rho_s(\theta)} \delta(\theta - \theta') \tag{9}$$

where the last  $\delta$  is the Dirac delta function, not a differential. We also have

$$\delta\rho(\theta) = \int \left[\delta(\theta - \lambda) + \nu(\theta)C(\theta, \lambda)\right] \rho_{s}(\lambda)\delta\nu(\lambda)d\lambda \tag{10}$$

where  $C(\theta, \lambda)$  is defined in (8). This follows as usual from the definition of the dressing. Putting everything together, one gets formula (7).