# 432 Quiz 1 for Spring 2023

# Thomas E. Love, Ph.D.

# 2023 - 02 - 25

# Table of contents

Li	nks		4
ln	struc	tions	4
	0.1	The Google Form Answer Sheet	4
	0.2	The Data Sets	5
	0.3	Getting Help	5
	0.4	When Should I Ask for Help?	5
	0.5	Scoring and Timing	6
	0.6	What does the Quiz cover?	6
	0.7	Writing Code into the Answer Sheet	6
	0.8	R Packages and Settings	7
Se	tup f	For Questions 1-8	8
1	Que	estion 1 (2 points)	8
2	Que	estion 2 (2 points)	8
3	Que	estion 3 (2 points)	8
4	Que	estion 4 (5 points)	9
	4.1	Q4 Target Plot	9
	4.2	Q4 Code Attempt	9
5	Que	estion 5 (3 points)	10
6	Que	estion 6 (3 points)	10
7	Que	estion 7 (3 points)	10

8	Question	8 (3 points)	10
9	Question	9 (4 points)	11
10		10 (4 points) Nomogram	<b>11</b> 12
11		11 (4 points) Output	<b>13</b>
12		12 (3 points) Output	<b>14</b>
13	13.1 Q13	13 (3 points) Output (start)	15 15 16
14		14 (3 points) Spearman $\rho^2$ Plot	<b>17</b> 18
15	Question	15 (4 points)	18
16		16 (5 points) Code Attempt	<b>19</b>
Set	up for Qւ	uestions 17-27	19
17		17 (4 points) Output	<b>20</b> 20
18	Question	18 (5 points)	21
19	Question	19 (5 points)	21
	_	<b>20 (5 points)</b> Output	<b>22</b> 22
21	Question	21 (4 points)	23
22	Question	22 (3 points)	23
23	Question	23 (3 points)	23
Set	ting Up (	Questions 24-27	23
24	Question	24 (4 points)	23

25	Question	25 (5 points)	24
26	Question	26 (3 points)	24
27	Question	27 (3 points)	25
	28.1 Q28	28 (3 points)         Output (start)	
Th	is is the e	nd of the Quiz.	26

### Links

We'll post all Quiz 1 links at https://thomaselove.github.io/432-2023/quiz1.html no later than 5 PM on Thursday 2023-02-23.

This will include links to:

- the Main Document (this PDF document) containing the instructions and questions
- the Google Form Answer Sheet, and
- the **five** data sets we're providing

### Instructions

This PDF document is **26** pages long. There are **28** questions on this Quiz. It is to your advantage to answer all of the Questions. Your score is based on the number of correct responses, so there's no chance a blank response will be correct, and a guess might be, so you should definitely answer all of the questions.

### 0.1 The Google Form Answer Sheet

All of your answers should be placed in the Google Form Answer Sheet, and we will provide a link to that sheet on 2023-02-23. All of your answers must be submitted through the Google Form by **9 PM** on Monday 2023-02-27, without exception. The form will close at 9:30 PM, and no extensions beyond that time will be made available, so please do not wait until Monday evening to submit. We will not accept any responses except through the Google Form.

The Google Form contains places to provide your responses to each question, and a final affirmation where you'll type in your name to tell us that you followed the rules for the Quiz. You must complete that affirmation and then submit your results. When you submit your results (in the same way you submit a Minute Paper) you will receive an email copy of your submission, with a link that will allow you to edit your results. The Answer Sheet works like a Minute Paper, in that you must be logged into Google via CWRU to access it.

If you wish to work on some of the quiz and then return later, you can do this by [1] completing the final question (the affirmation) which asks you to type in your full name, and then [2] submitting the quiz. You will then receive a link at your CWRU email which will allow you to return to the Quiz Answer Sheet as often as you like without losing your progress.

#### 0.2 The Data Sets

I have provided **five** data sets (called **dat1.Rds**, **dat10.Rds**, **dat13.csv**, **dat16.csv** and **datC.csv**) that are mentioned in the Quiz. Each of these may (or may not) be helpful to you.

### 0.3 Getting Help

This is an open book, open notes quiz. You are welcome to consult the materials provided on the course website and that we've been reading in the class, but you are not allowed to discuss the questions on this quiz with anyone other than Professor Love and the teaching assistants. You will be required to complete a short affirmation that you have obeyed these rules as part of submitting the Quiz.

If you need clarification on a Quiz question, you have exactly two ways of getting help:

- 1. You can ask your question in a **private** post on Campuswire to the instructors and TAs using the "Quiz 1" label.
- 2. You can ask your question via email to 431-help at case dot edu.

During the Quiz period (2023-02-23 through 2023-02-27) we will not answer questions about the Quiz except through the two approaches listed above. We promise to respond to all questions received before 5 PM on 2023-02-27 in a timely fashion.

A few cautions:

- Specific questions are more likely to get helpful answers.
- We will not review your code or your English for you.
- We will not tell you if your answer is correct, or if it is complete.
- We will post to Campuswire in the "Quiz 1" folder and to the Quiz 1 page if we find an error in the Quiz that needs fixing.

### 0.4 When Should I Ask for Help?

We recommend the following process.

- If you encounter a tough question, skip it, and build up your confidence by tackling other questions.
- When you return to the tough question, spend no more than 10-15 minutes on it. If you still don't have it, take a break (not just to do other questions) but an actual break.
- When you return to the question, it may be much clearer to you. If so, great. If not, spend 5-10 minutes on it, at most, and if you are still stuck, ask us for help.
- This is not to say that you cannot ask us sooner than this, but you should **never**, **ever** spend more than 20 minutes on any question without asking for help.

### 0.5 Scoring and Timing

All questions are worth 2, 3, 4, or 5 points, as indicated, adding to a total of 100 points. The questions are not in any particular order, and range in difficulty from "things Dr. Love expects everyone to get right" to "things that are deliberately tricky". Some questions will take more time than others to answer.

The Quiz is meant to take 4-5 hours to complete. I expect most students will take 3-6 hours, and some will take as little as 2 or as many as 8. Again, it is **not** a good idea to spend a long time on any one question.

Dr. Love will grade the Quiz, and results (including an answer sketch) will be available by class time on Thursday 2023-03-02.

### 0.6 What does the Quiz cover?

Quiz A includes material from the first 10 classes in 432, as well as:

- Chapters 5, 8-17 and 19-22 of the 432 course notes, and
- all of Jeff Leek's How to be a Modern Scientist.

### 0.7 Writing Code into the Answer Sheet

Occasionally, we ask you to provide R code in your response. You need not include the library command at any time for any of your code. Assume in all questions that all relevant packages have been loaded in R.

### 0.8 R Packages and Settings

This is the set of packages I drew from in creating this test and the sketch.

- 1. This doesn't mean you need to use all of these packages.
- 2. This doesn't mean that I used all of these packages in building my sketch and the test itself.
- 3. This also doesn't mean that you are prevented from using other packages we've discussed in class to complete the Quiz, but it is definitely possible to complete all tasks using only these packages.

```
knitr::opts_chunk$set(comment = NA)
library(broom)
library(car)
library(caret)
library(equatiomatic)
library(GGally)
library(glue)
library(gt)
library(Hmisc)
library(janitor)
library(knitr)
library(MASS)
library(mosaic)
library(naniar)
library(patchwork)
library(pROC)
library(rms)
library(ROCR)
library(rsample)
library(simputation)
library(tidyverse)
# Note that all data files were downloaded onto
# my machine into a sub-folder called data below
# my main R Project directory for Quiz 1.
theme_set(theme_bw())
```

### **Setup for Questions 1-8**

The dat1.Rds data file will be used for Questions 1-8. The dat1 tibble contains three variables.

- subject is an identifying code, called the subject number,
- calories expended is a quantitative variable, and
- satisfaction is a quantitative rating scored on a 0-10 point scale, including one decimal place.

# 1 Question 1 (2 points)

Which subject in dat1 expended the largest number of calories among the subjects in dat1? Your response should be the subject's identifying code (their subject value.)

# 2 Question 2 (2 points)

How many of the subjects in dat1 have satisfaction values which are less than 5?

# 3 Question 3 (2 points)


How many of the subjects in dat1 have both calories above 2400 and satisfaction below 5?

# 4 Question 4 (5 points)

Using the dat1 tibble, a student attempted unsuccessfully to generate the Q4 Target Plot shown below, in R, developing the code shown in the Q4 Code Attempt also shown below. Explain, in a couple of sentences, how you would FIX the code in the Q4 Code Attempt to generate the Q4 Target Plot. Be specific about the changes you would make. Note the colors in the Q4 Target Plot are "purple" for the points and "red" for the smooth fit.

### 4.1 Q4 Target Plot

# Satisfaction as a function of calories Including loess smooth, dat1 data



### 4.2 Q4 Code Attempt

```
ggplot(dat1, aes(x = calories, y = satisfaction)) +
    geom_point() +
    geom_smooth(formula = y ~ x, method = "lm") +
    theme_bw() +
    labs(title = "Satisfaction as a function of calories",
        subtitle = "Including loess smooth, dat1 data")
```

# 5 Question 5 (3 points)

Using the dat1 tibble, specify the code required to fit (using lm) a model called mod5 that predicts the satisfaction score across these subjects using a restricted cubic spline with 4 knots in the calories variable.

# 6 Question 6 (3 points)

Summarize the mod5 model you built in Question 5. What is the observed (unadjusted)  $R^2$  value for your model mod5, expressed as a proportion, and rounded to three decimal places?

# 7 Question 7 (3 points)

What does the mod5 model predict will be the satisfaction value for a new subject who expends 2000 calories, rounding your answer to one decimal place? A point estimate is what we are looking for.

# 8 Question 8 (3 points)

Suppose we fit a new model (which I'll call mod8) to the dat1 data, now using a restricted cubic spline with 3 knots in our calories variable. The glance function applied to mod8 shows an AIC of 412.1 and a BIC of 423.2. Compare these results to those you obtain for mod5.

Which of the following conclusions is most appropriate based on these results?

- a. The addition of the fourth knot in Model mod5 is not helpful according to either AIC or BIC.
- b. The addition of the fourth knot in Model mod5 is helpful according to exactly one of AIC or BIC.
- c. The addition of the fourth knot in Model mod5 is helpful according to both AIC and BIC.
- d. None of these conclusions are appropriate.

# 9 Question 9 (4 points)

In addition to the raw data, which of the following should be part of the "data package" that you share, according to Jeff Leek in *How to be a Modern Scientist*, when you are trying to maximize speed in the analysis of the data?

### [CHECK ALL RESPONSES THAT APPLY]

- a. A research question.
- b. A tidy data set.
- c. The results of an exploratory data analysis of the outcome of interest.
- d. A code book describing each variable and its values.
- e. An explicit recipe describing how you went from the raw data to the tidy data set and code book.
- f. A substantial bribe.

# 10 Question 10 (4 points)

In Question 10, we look at a new model, built using the dat10.Rds data I have made available


Use the nomogram shown on the next page as Q10 Nomogram to make a prediction about the outcome variable (called out, which is measured in hours) for two new subjects, Noah and Sophia.

- Noah and Sophia each have var4 = 3, but ...
  - Noah has var1 = 45, var2 = 150 and var3 = 0.
  - Sophia, on the other hand, has var1 = 30, var2 = 200 and var3 = 1.

Which of the following conclusions is most appropriate?

- a. Noah and Sophia will have the same predicted outcome.
- b. Noah's predicted outcome is longer than Sophia's, but by 100 hours or fewer.
- c. Noah's predicted outcome is longer than Sophia's, and by more than 100 hours.
- d. Noah's predicted outcome is shorter than Sophia's, but by 100 hours or fewer.
- e. Noah's predicted outcome is shorter than Sophia's, and by more than 100 hours.
- f. It is impossible to tell from the information provided.

# 10.1 Q10 Nomogram



# 11 Question 11 (4 points)

In attempting to measure complex relationships between four potential treatments and primary insurance on a health measure obtained after treatment among Northeast Ohio residents, two linear models were developed, called model1 and model2. The 179 subjects each received one of four Treatments (Treatments W and X were more common than Y or Z), and the sample included similar numbers of Medicare, Medicaid and Commercially insured subjects.

Consider the Q11 Output below. What was included in model2 but not included in model1?

### 11.1 Q11 Output

```
favstats(health ~ treatment + insurance, data = dat11) |> kable(dig = 1)
```

treatment.insurance	min	Q1	median	Q3	max	mean	$\operatorname{sd}$	n	missing
W.Commercial	136.8	169.5	185.5	194.7	226.9	182.2	22.0	20	0
X.Commercial	169.8	184.9	200.8	218.1	251.1	202.3	21.7	20	0
Y.Commercial	163.8	194.2	197.0	217.4	233.8	203.4	21.3	10	0
Z.Commercial	148.8	166.9	174.6	177.9	185.4	169.8	12.7	9	0
W.Medicaid	163.1	173.0	185.9	195.0	214.2	185.1	14.0	20	0
X.Medicaid	124.4	152.7	159.6	175.4	181.9	161.0	16.7	20	0
Y.Medicaid	158.8	188.9	195.4	208.8	227.2	196.2	19.0	10	0
Z.Medicaid	147.6	153.4	166.3	176.7	183.4	165.3	13.3	10	0
W.Medicare	161.9	179.8	195.4	205.2	213.6	192.1	15.4	20	0
X.Medicare	132.6	162.7	174.9	186.7	208.4	174.1	18.5	20	0
Y.Medicare	184.4	207.6	223.4	225.5	264.0	219.3	21.9	10	0
Z.Medicare	146.2	161.5	175.3	182.1	197.0	172.1	16.6	10	0

```
anova(model1, model2)
```

Analysis of Variance Table

```
Model 1: health ~ treatment + insurance

Model 2: health ~ treatment * insurance

Res.Df RSS Df Sum of Sq F Pr(>F)

1 173 69757

2 167 55203 6 14554 7.338 5.622e-07 ***

---

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```


# 12 Question 12 (3 points)

Consider again the situation described in Question 11. In the Q12 Output below, we built an additional plot to help us study our data and choose between model1 and model2. Specifically, the plot shows group means with intervals indicating one standard deviation in either direction.

What does the Q12 output suggest about the best choice of model, comparing model1 to model2?

- a. model1 seems like the better choice.
- b. model2 seems like the better choice.
- c. This plot does not help us make the decision.

### 12.1 Q12 Output



# 13 Question 13 (3 points)

In Question 13, we consider four potential models for an outcome, using various combinations of seven available predictors, which are labeled a, b, c, d, e, f and g. I have provided you with the data I used, in the dat13.csv file.

Consider the validation summaries provided for the four potential models shown in the Q13 Output below. Which of the models shown in the Q13 Output displays the strongest  $R^2$  after bootstrap validation and the best mean squared error results after bootstrap validation?

- a. The model that uses two of the seven predictors (c and d).
- b. The model that uses three of the predictors (c, d and g).
- c. The model that uses four of the predictors (c, d, e and f).
- d. The model that uses all seven predictors (a through g).
- e. None of these models.
- f. It is impossible to tell from this output.

### 13.1 Q13 Output (start)

```
d <- datadist(dat13)</pre>
   options(datadist = "d")
  m13w \leftarrow ols(outcome \sim c + d,
               data = dat13, x = TRUE, y = TRUE)
  m13x \leftarrow ols(outcome \sim c + d + e + f,
               data = dat13, x = TRUE, y = TRUE)
  m13y \leftarrow ols(outcome \sim a + b + c + d + e + f + g,
               data = dat13, x = TRUE, y = TRUE)
  m13z \leftarrow ols(outcome \sim c + d + g,
                data = dat13, x = TRUE, y = TRUE)
  set.seed(43201); validate(m13w)
           index.orig training
                                    test optimism index.corrected n
R-square
               0.5549
                         0.5582 0.5525
                                            0.0057
                                                              0.5492 40
MSE
              27.7986
                        27.7392 27.9510
                                          -0.2118
                                                             28.0104 40
               6.6831
                         6.6972
                                  6.6666
                                            0.0306
                                                              6.6525 40
               0.0000
                         0.0000
                                  0.3091
                                           -0.3091
                                                              0.3091 40
Intercept
Slope
               1.0000
                         1.0000 0.9936
                                            0.0064
                                                              0.9936 40
```

The Q13 Output continues on the next page.

# 13.2 Q13 Output (continued)

```
set.seed(43202); validate(m13x)
```

	<pre>index.orig</pre>	training	test	optimism	$\verb"index.corrected"$	n
R-square	0.5590	0.5630	0.5548	0.0082	0.5508	40
MSE	27.5439	27.0075	27.8088	-0.8013	28.3452	40
g	6.7246	6.7127	6.7157	-0.0030	6.7276	40
Intercept	0.0000	0.0000	0.0556	-0.0556	0.0556	40
Slope	1.0000	1.0000	0.9995	0.0005	0.9995	40

# set.seed(43203); validate(m13y)

	index.orig	training	test	optimism	<pre>index.corrected</pre>	n
R-square	0.5634	0.5759	0.5563	0.0196	0.5438	40
MSE	27.2691	26.9538	27.7136	-0.7598	28.0289	40
g	6.7604	6.8856	6.7261	0.1595	6.6009	40
Intercept	0.0000	0.0000	1.4345	-1.4345	1.4345	40
Slope	1.0000	1.0000	0.9768	0.0232	0.9768	40

### set.seed(43204); validate(m13z)

	index.orig	training	test	${\tt optimism}$	$\verb"index.corrected"$	n
R-square	0.5590	0.5588	0.5553	0.0035	0.5555	40
MSE	27.5441	27.6752	27.7737	-0.0985	27.6426	40
g	6.7239	6.7242	6.7035	0.0206	6.7033	40
Intercept	0.0000	0.0000	0.4654	-0.4654	0.4654	40
Slope	1.0000	1.0000	0.9926	0.0074	0.9926	40

### 14 Question 14 (3 points)

You are building a linear regression model for a quantitative outcome called **out** with only a limited number of observations, and need to include four predictors: **age** (in years), **prior** (1 = had prior surgery, 0 = no prior surgery), **severity** (three categories: High, Medium, Low) and **length** (in centimeters). Note that I have not provided you with the data set for this Question.

Suppose you are permitted to spend an additional four degrees of freedom beyond the five accounted for by the intercept term and the main effects of these four predictors. Based on the Q14 Spearman  $\rho^2$  Plot shown on the next page, which of these models best does this additional spending?

```
Model Specification

A out ~ age*severity + prior*length

B out ~ rcs(age, 3) + rcs(length, 3) + prior + severity + severity

%ia% prior

C out ~ rcs(age, 4) + length + rcs(severity, 3) + prior

D out ~ rcs(age, 4) + length + severity + prior + severity %ia% age
```

Note that each specification listed above is just a part of the full specification. Each specification would be preceded by an appropriate datadist setup, and then the actual model fit would start with ols( and would end with , data = dat14, x = TRUE, y = TRUE).

So the actual specification for Model A, for example, would be

Now, which of the models does the best job of meeting our requirements?

- a. Model A
- b. Model B
- c. Model C
- d. Model D
- e. None of these models are appropriate.

Note: The Q14 Spearman  $\rho^2$  Plot is on the next page.

# 14.1 Q14 Spearman $\rho^2$ Plot

Spearman  $\rho^2$ Response: out N df 100 1 age 1002 severity length 100 1 100 1 prior 0.12 0.10 0.11 0.13 0.14 0.15 Adjusted  $\rho^2$ 

# 15 Question 15 (4 points)

Suppose you are reviewing an academic paper and you have the four options listed below. In "How to be a Modern Scientist", Jeff Leek suggests that there is a #1 way to be a jerk reviewer. Which of the following recommendation decisions could be made by someone who was actively TRYING TO BE a jerk reviewer?

### [CHECK ALL RESPONSES THAT APPLY]

- a. Reject
- b. Major revisions
- c. Minor revisions
- d. Accept

# 16 Question 16 (5 points)

The dat16.csv file provided to you contains insurance data on thousands of subjects, each of whom is classified as falling into one of four different insurance categories, specifically Medicare, Commercial, Medicaid, and Uninsured. Some of the subjects (less than 5%) have missing data on this insurance variable.

Assume that all of the packages I listed at the top of this Quiz have been loaded in R, and that the data have been ingested into a tibble called dat16.

Suppose you now want to create a variable called gov\_ins within the dat16 tibble that (a) is a factor, and (b) which takes the value Yes if the subject's insurance is provided by the government (Medicare or Medicaid) but No otherwise, while (c) retaining NA for the missing values. Your first attempt is as shown below in the Q16 Code Attempt.

Fix the call to the mutate function in the Q16 Code Attempt so that your resulting code will actually do what is required. Your response should begin with mutate(gov\_ins = on the answer sheet.

### 16.1 Q16 Code Attempt

# **Setup for Questions 17-27**

The data in the datC.csv file contain information for 232 subjects on

- a binary outcome (Good or Bad),
- a size (quantitative, between 10 and 140, measured in centimeters),
- an indicator of whether a treatment was used (Yes = treatment was used or No = treatment was not used), and
- a specification as to which of five ordered groups (1 = lowest, 5 = highest) by socioeconomic status (ses\_group) the subject falls in,
- along with a subject ID code.

Import the data into a tibble called datC and use that tibble to respond to Questions 17-27.

# 17 Question 17 (4 points)

Using your datC tibble, fit a logistic regression model to predict the log odds of a Good outcome using the subject's size, treatment status and ses\_group, treating the ses\_group as a categorical variable through the creation of a new variable called ses\_grpf.

Ignore the missing values for now, so that you generate a complete-case analysis, so that some values are deleted due to missingness. We will deal with the missing values starting with Question 22.

The Q17 Output provided below will guide you as to what we're looking for.

You will have to create appropriate additional code in order to fit this modC1 model (including the creation of the ses\_grpf variable.) Note that you should then use the data and the output to verify that your code produces results that match those presented below.

Once you have accomplished that, we ask that you find the value of Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) for your modC1 model, by running summary(modC1). Some of the output from my version of that summary appears below.

Your task on the answer sheet for Question 17 is to specify that AIC value (rounded to zero decimal places.)

### 17.1 Q17 Output

An appropriate analysis yields the following results. Note that you do not need to filter for complete cases in Questions 17-21.

Note that the fitting of the actual modC1 and the creation of ses\_grpf are not shown here.

```
exp(confint(modC1))
```

Waiting for profiling to be done...

```
2.5 % 97.5 % (Intercept) 0.007857692 0.131734 size 1.004331658 1.030396 treatmentYes 1.222946023 4.166074 ses_grpf2 0.698235166 9.876384 ses_grpf3 0.711071534 7.191661 ses_grpf4 1.020048790 9.641941 ses_grpf5 0.854207790 9.698395
```

Here is a partial listing of the summary of the fitted modC1 I created.

#### Deviance Residuals:

```
Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -1.3563 -0.8844 -0.6293 1.2056 2.2171
```

(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

```
Null deviance: 265.16 on 214 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 244.64 on 208 degrees of freedom
(17 observations deleted due to missingness)
```

# 18 Question 18 (5 points)

Interpret the treatmentYes value of 2.24 specified in the Q17 Output shown above, using a complete English sentence or two.

# 19 Question 19 (5 points)

What does the provided 95% confidence interval (1.22, 4.17) for treatmentYes in the Q17 Output tell you about the treatment variable? Provide your response in the form of 1-2 complete English sentences.

# 20 Question 20 (5 points)

Consider the Q20 Output provided below. Why is the odds ratio shown in the Q20 Output referring to size different from that shown in the earlier presentation (in the Q17 Output) of exp(coef(modC1)) for the size variable in the same model? Again provide your response in the form of 1-2 complete English sentences.

### 20.1 Q20 Output

The output below comes from another approach to fitting the identical logistic regression model that we saw in Question 17, still using only the complete cases. I'll call this model modC1L, to emphasize that it contains the same outcome and predictors as were used in modC1.

summary(modC1L)

Factor	Low	High	Diff.	Effect	S.E.	Lower 0.95	Upper 0.95
size	60.575	96.65	36.075	0.607940	0.23481	0.14773	1.068100
Odds Ratio	60.575	96.65	36.075	1.836600	NA	1.15920	2.910000
treatment - Yes:No	1.000	2.00	NA	0.808230	0.31180	0.19711	1.419400
Odds Ratio	1.000	2.00	NA	2.243900	NA	1.21790	4.134400
ses_grpf - 1:4	4.000	1.00	NA	-1.065800	0.56325	-2.16980	0.038141
Odds Ratio	4.000	1.00	NA	0.344450	NA	0.11421	1.038900
ses_grpf - 2:4	4.000	2.00	NA	-0.139290	0.52301	-1.16440	0.885780
Odds Ratio	4.000	2.00	NA	0.869980	NA	0.31212	2.424900
ses_grpf - 3:4	4.000	3.00	NA	-0.318660	0.40628	-1.11500	0.477640
Odds Ratio	4.000	3.00	NA	0.727120	NA	0.32793	1.612300
ses_grpf - 5:4	4.000	5.00	NA	-0.068906	0.44912	-0.94917	0.811360
Odds Ratio	4.000	5.00	NA	0.933410	NA	0.38706	2.251000

# 21 Question 21 (4 points)

Again ignoring missingness in the datC tibble, obtain a Spearman  $\rho^2$  plot and use it to identify a good way to add **ONE** non-linear term to this model (you may spend up to four additional degrees of freedom beyond the main effects model, but you can spend less if that makes sense.) Which of the following additions does the Spearman plot suggest?

- a. A restricted cubic spline with 5 knots in size.
- b. A restricted cubic spline with 5 knots in SES grouping.
- c. A restricted cubic spline with 5 knots in treatment.
- d. An interaction term between treatment and size.
- e. An interaction term between treatment and SES grouping.
- f. An interaction term between SES grouping and size.

### 22 Question 22 (3 points)

How many subjects in the datC tibble are missing data in at least one variable?

# 23 Question 23 (3 points)

How many missing observations are there on the outcome for your logistic regression models (i.e. the goodout variable) in the datC tibble?

# **Setting Up Questions 24-27**

Note that in Questions 24-27, you will again be using the datC data, and you will fit a new model (which we'll call modC2) adding in the non-linear component that you specified in Question 21 to what was fit in modC1 and modC1L, while also accounting for missing data using multiple imputation.

# 24 Question 24 (4 points)

The code listed below uses the aregImpute() function to fit a multiple imputation model, using set.seed(2023).

Run the code above, to complete the imputation process, and then consider the results by looking at what's stored in datC imp.

Which of the variables has the largest observed  $R^2$  value for predicting its non-missing values based on the last imputations completed by this approach?

- a. the variable describing SES group
- b. the treatment variable
- c. the size variable
- d. the goodout variable
- e. It is impossible to tell.

# 25 Question 25 (5 points)

Fit the outcome model called modC2 using fit.mult.impute(). Your modC2 model should incorporate the multiple imputations from Question 24 that you stored in datC\_imp and the outcome model you develop should include each of the original set of predictors of goodout augmented by the non-linear component you selected in Question 21. Your fit of model modC2 should also save the important features of the design matrix to allow for subsequent assessment of calibration and discrimination.

Specify the code you used to fit model modC2. In the Answer Sheet, your code should begin with

```
modC2 <- fit.mult.impute(</pre>
```

# 26 Question 26 (3 points)

What is the in-sample estimated area under the ROC curve for your modC2, rounded to three decimal places?

# 27 Question 27 (3 points)

Validate your results in modC2 using bootstrap validation with the default number of bootstrap replications. Set your seed as 2023 immediately before executing the relevant R function. What is the bootstrap-validated estimate of the area under the ROC curve for your modC2, rounded to three decimal places?

# 28 Question 28 (3 points)

Consider the information provided below (in the Q28 Output) on the distribution of a potential outcome variable in a linear regression model to be built using the dat28 tibble. Note that I have deliberately not provided you with these data.


Based on the three pieces of output provided, which of the following transformations of the outcome data would be most appropriate?

- a. No transformation is needed. Fit the model to the raw outcome.
- b. A logarithmic transformation is likely to be helpful.
- c. Squaring the data would be helpful.
- d. We should use a restricted cubic spline.
- e. We should center the data.
- f. It is impossible to tell from the information provided.

### 28.1 Q28 Output (start)

Here's a boxplot of the outcome data for Question 28.

### Boxplot with Violin for Question 28




The Q28 Output continues on the next page.

### 28.2 Q28 Output (conclusion)

min	Q1	median	Q3	max	mean	$\operatorname{sd}$	n	missing
0.3	0.96	2.15	3.99	18.77	2.99	2.95	240	0

Below, please find the Box-Cox plot fit to our kitchen sink model for the outcome using all available predictors.



# This is the end of the Quiz.

Be sure to complete the Affirmation at the end of the Answer Sheet, and that you have submitted your Answer Sheet, and received your copy in your CWRU email by the deadline.