

## 553.633/433

### Homework #6

**Due Monday 10/15/18**

Four problems:

**A.** Suppose in a statistical experiment that  $\bar{X} = 1.75$ ,  $s^2 = 2.13$  (note: upper case  $S$  is usually used in the textbook;  $s$  and  $S$  are used interchangeably in 553.633), and  $n = 25$ . Compute a symmetric 95 percent confidence interval using the standard  $t$ -distribution-based method. Without knowing more about the nature of the data, mention at least two reasons why this interval could be flawed. (Note: This problem uses the basic statistical material from slides 23 – 25 in Chap1\_633\_handout.pdf; you should be familiar with that material from course prerequisites. The material will also be briefly reviewed in class on Monday, 10/8/17.)

**B.** Consider Example 2.14 in the textbook, including the settings for the coefficients (initial condition  $X(0) = 1$ , etc.). Do the following:

**(a)** Perform a statistical test of the accuracy of  $\Delta t = 10^{-4}$  (same  $h = \Delta t$  as in the textbook) versus  $\Delta t = 10^{-1}$  in the E-M method. That is, for each  $\Delta t$ , compute 100 independent runs of the E-M process, each starting from  $X(0) = 1$ . Then, for each  $\Delta t$ , compute the two-sided  $P$ -value from a  $t$ -test of the sample mean of the 100 runs of the E-M process at the terminal value ( $t = 1$ ) versus the true mean at the same (terminal) time. How do the two  $P$ -values compare? Does this experiment correspond to a test of the weak or strong measure of accuracy?

**(b)** For each  $\Delta t$  in (a), give a plot that is similar to Figure 2.12 in the text, except show the first *three* (of the 100) runs in part (a) versus the underlying true solution. (You should provide two plots, one for each  $\Delta t$ .)

**C.** Consider the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process:

$$dX(t) = \theta(\mu - X(t))dt + \sigma dW(t),$$

where  $\theta = 1$ ,  $\mu = 20$ , and  $\sigma = 10$ , and  $W(t)$  denotes the (standard) Wiener process. Simulate the stochastic process  $X(t)$  using the Euler–Maruyama method described in the slide “Numerical Solution of Itô SDE” from the class notes (see also Sect. 4 of Higham, 2001). (That is, use the *full* E-M form in the class notes as if no solution to the SDE were available, rather than the solution of the O-U process discussed on the slide “Example 2 of Itô SDE: Ornstein–Uhlenbeck Process” in the class notes.) Run the E-M process 50

separate (statistically independent) times over the time interval  $[0, 5]$  with a  $\Delta t = 0.01$  and  $X(0) = 0$  (i.e., carry out the E-M process 50 independent times, each beginning at the same initial condition  $X(0) = 0$ ). From these 50 runs, do the following:

(a) Show the first 5 (of 50) solution paths on one plot (one solution path is the sequence of  $X_j$  from the E-M process over all  $j$ , representing time from 0 to 5).

(b) Produce a separate line that represents the sample mean of the 50 paths and comment on how the sample mean differs from its limiting value as a function of  $t$  (this line may be on the plot in part (a) or on a separate plot). It is not necessary to run any formal statistical (or other) tests for analyzing the difference; a brief “words-only” discussion is sufficient.

(c) Perform a statistical  $t$ -test on whether the (unknown) true mean of the value of  $X_j$  (from the E-M process) that represents  $X(2)$  is  $\mu$ . That is, report a two-sided  $P$ -value and provide some brief interpretation. Do the same for  $X(5)$ .

**D.** Consider the formula for  $S^2$  based on i.i.d. data, as given in eqn. (4.2) of the textbook.

(a) Show that  $S^2$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$  (i.e.,  $E(S^2) = \sigma^2$ ).

(b) Show that  $S$  is a biased estimator of  $\sigma$ .