A SUMMARY ON: A PIVOTING ALGORITHM FOR CONVEX HULLS AND VERTEX ENUMERATION OF ARRANGEMENTS AND POLYHEDRA

September 26, 2020

Tilman Hinnerichs

Matrikelnummer: 4643427

Technische Universität Dresden

Tutor: Dr. Florian Funke

Summer semester 2020

Abstract

Contents

- What audience? students with simple to no prior knowledge

- Don't just formulate presentation in words → add more stuff from paper

- add facet enumeration

- future work → paper citing this paper

- rewrite their definitions with yours for extra points

- add some of the theorems from the Simplex summary

This is a summary of the approach from Avis and Fukuda to the Vertex Enumeration problem mollified with various references to basic concepts such as the SIMPLEX algorithm.

1 General remarks on the paper

The paper „A Pivoting Algorithm for Convex Hulls and Vertex Enumeration of Arrangements and Polyhedra" was written by David Avis and Komei Fukuda. It was published in 1992 in the journal „Discrete & Computational Geometry" and is regarded as one of the most cited papers in this very field with a number of 751 citations (as of 26.09.2020, according to Google Scholar). As a non-book, non-survey paper publication in such a specific field of research, this can be considered a fairly high amount of citations.

2 Polyhedra and Arrangements

In this first section we will introduce the needed notations and basics for the Vertex Enumeration Problem (VEP). At first, we will have to clarify the terms and concepts of the *vertex* and *polyhedra*.

Definition 1. *(Polyhedron)*
Given a matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and a vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

A convex polyhedron P is defined as

$$P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax + b \geq 0\} \tag{1}$$

Remark. *In general, this is the definition of a polytope, as polyhedra are the 3-dimensional special case of a polytope. As Avis and Fukuda use these terms interchangeably, we will do so as well in the following.*

This definition states that the set of points is constrained by a set of m linear inequalities with n that are basically hyperplanes in the n dimensional space. In the following we will abbreviate a *convex polyhedron* simply as a *polyhedron*.

An *arrangement of hyperplanes* or *arrangement* for short, is a decomposition of the given underlying space using a set of hyperplanes/ linear inequalities. As both concepts are fairly similar, we will not explicitly list *arrangements* in every definition, as every following statement holds for both polyhedra and arrangements. If that is not the case, arrangements will be named separately.

As the convex property is crucial for this approach to work, we will define it using convex combinations.

Definition 2. *(Convex combination)*

Let $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ be a finite set of points. A convex combination is a point of the form

$$y = a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \cdots + a_n x_n$$

while $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and

$$a_1 + \cdots + a_n = 1$$

A convex combination is a linear combination.

Based on this definition we can further describe the constraints to our polyhedra and arrangements.

Definition 3. *A set is called convex if it contains all convex combinations of its points.*

As a polytope is in fact a set of points, too, this also applies to the polyhedra and arrangements.

Definition 4. *For a given set of points, the convex hull is the set set of all convex combinations of these points.*

In order to fully understand the last part of the title we additionally have to clarify the term *vertex*, which we do by the following definition.

Definition 5. *(Vertex)*
*A point $v \in P$ is called a vertex of any set P **iff** there are no two other points $a, b \in P$ such that*

$$v = \lambda_1 a + \lambda_2 b \tag{2}$$

which is also called a convex combination of a, b.

In order to make this definition a little more specific with regard to the definition of a polahedron, we will introduce our first corollary.

Corollary 1. *[1]*
*A point $v \in P$ is a vertex of P **iff** it is the unique solution to a subset of m inequalities solved as equations.*

3 The Vertex Enumeration Problem

3.1 Definition and general remarks

We are now ready to introduce the VEP itself with the following definition.

Definition 6. *(Vertex Enumeration Problem)*
For a given polytope/polyhedron or hyperplane arrangement P determine all vertices of the object given its formal representation.

Theorem 1. *(Complexity of the VEP)*
The VEP is NP-hard for unbounded polyhedra.[2]

The VEP is dual to the *Facet Enumeration problem*(FEP), that is finding all facets of a convex hull for a given set of points. The solution to the dual problem also yields the solution to the primal problem, too. We will introduce the term of duality in the following chapter.

While these recent definitions lack the ability to intrinsically motivate the applicability of this very problem, we will introduce a fairly simple example, which was taken and simplified from Avis' lecture notes.

Example 1. *(All meals for 1 Euro)*
Lets assume you are on a diet and additionally quite poor or minimalistic. Thus, you would like to plan your future meals, such that they only contain 3 different ingredients. Additionally, we would love to have sufficient nutrition intake, where we will only consider energy, protein and calcium intake.
Our decision is also constrained by the fact that we will only have 1 Euro per day, and can also endure just up to a certain amount of each ingredient, in order not just eat dry oatmeal all day long.
The values describing our problem are given in the following table:

Var	Food type	Energy (kcal/100g)	Protein (g)	Calcium (mg)	Price (Euro cents)	Maximum
x_1	Oatmeal[1]	250	15	40	10	500g
x_2	Milk[2]	80	4	130	4	1000g
x_3	DD Stollen[3]	340	7	30	20	200g
	Min. daily	**2000**	**55**	**800**		

The variables x_1, x_2, x_3 each denote the amount of each ingredient in 100g.

Before discussing the example, we have to define the term *convex combination*

By solving the VEP, we yield all vertices of the corresponding polyhedron, that is all points that cannot be written as convex combinations of two other points. Inverting this very statement, all other points within P are convex combinations of at least two other points. More specifically we are able to rewrite each point within P as convex combinations of vertices of P. Thus, if we find all vertices of the given arrangement of linear inequalities, we can produce infinitely many food combinations by randomly choosing a convex combinations of the given vertices. However, we still have to find these vertices.

3.2 Types of approaches

In history there have been various approaches to the VEP, that can be classified into two categories. First, there are the so called *Pivot based* methods, where this very method can be placed, too. These methods rely on traversing along the vertices using so called Simplex tableaus, which we will introduce in the following chapter. These approaches are mostly based on solving corresponding linear optimization problems.

The other method is called *Fourier-Motzkin* or *double description* method[3]. These methods yield the vertices by successively adding hyperplanes and keeping track of the remaining possible vertices. The double description method in fact solves the dual problem of FEP.

While this classification was made in 1992 within this very publication, there haven't been exceptions to this ever since. We will discuss this fact in the last chapter.

4 Simplex algorithm

4.1 Linear programs

4.2 The Simplex-Algorithm

- what does is do?

- How does it work

- why does it work? → translate some stuff from last presentation

5 Avis and Fukudas Algorithm

5.1 How to move up the tree?

Bland's rule and Criss-Cross rule

5.2 What is it even doing?

5.3 Degeneracy

with visual example from presentation

5.4 Why is that good?

Complexity

6 Future Work based on this

References

[1] Thomas H. Cormen, Charles E. Leiserson, Ronald L. Rivest und Clifford Stein. *Introduction to Algorithms*. Third Edition. The MIT Press, 2009.

[2] L. Khachiyan, E. Boros, K. Borys, K. Elbassioni, and V. Gurvich. Generating all verticesof a polyhedron is hard.Discrete and Computational Geometry, 39(1):174–190, 2008.

[3] T. S. Motzkin, H. Raiffa, G. L. Thompson, and R. M. Thrall, The Double Description Method, Annals of Mathematical Studies, vol. 8, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N J, 1953.