NLP4RE ID Card

The NLP4RE ID-Card is a means of capturing a descriptive overview of an NLP4RE paper by highlighting key information that can be useful in diverse scenarios such as replication, teaching support, or secondary research.

The ID-Card consists of seven dimensions concerning (I) the problem tackled by the paper (RE Task), (II) the solution proposed (NLP task(s)), (III) the input and output of the solution, (IV) the raw data and the annotated dataset, (V) the annotators and annotation process, (VI) the implementation of the solution proposed in the paper (Tool), and finally (VII) how the solution is evaluated (Evaluation).

To get an informative summary, the ID-Card can be applied on one RE task at a time. Multiple Cards can be used to describe a paper tackling multiple RE tasks (e.g., domain model generation and incompleteness detection). However, the ID-Card allows multiple answers in most of the questions, since the same RE task (e.g., requirements classification) can be solved using multiple NLP tasks (e.g., information extraction and classification).

In the following, we present some hints to facilitate generating an ID-Card for a particular paper:

- We included the field "Other/Comments" as a possible answer in all questions. This field can be used to add remarks when the question is not applicable, the answer is not specified in the paper, introduce another alternative that is not among the possible answers, or further comments about the question.
- In Dimension III (NLP Task Details), we are interested in the "initial input" and the "final output" of the proposed solution. Different questions about the output are adapted to suit the NLP task.
- In case a dimension or specific questions are not considered in the paper (e.g., the paper uses a dataset from the existing literature and so no details are given about the annotation process), then the dimension/questions can be skipped.
- If a question with a free-text field has multiple answers (the paper is using multiple datasets in IV), then the respective answers should be provided in a comma-separated format (e.g., "dataset1, dataset2, dataset3").

Title and authors of the paper

I. RE Task

I.1. What RE Task is your study addressing?

Please choose one option only, if multiple options apply fill multiple sheets

Requirements Classification

Requirements tracing

Requirements defect detection

Model generation

Test generation

Requirements retrieval

Information extraction from legal documents

App review analysis

Dependency and relation extraction

Information extraction (e.g., features, terms) from requirements

Other/Comments

II. NLP task(s)

II.1. What types of NLP task is your study tackling? Select all options that apply

Classification (choose among classes)

 $Translation\ (models,\, tests,\, etc.)$

Information Extraction (glossary, terms)

Information Retrieval (search / rank)

Other/Comments

III. NLP Task Details

Input Granularity III.1. What is the input of your NLP task? Document Paragraphs Sentences Phrases Words Structured/tabular text: e.g., use case specification, feature table Other (e.g., models, trace links, diagrams, code comments)/Comments **Output Type (NLP Task: Classification)** Fill in only you answered Classification in Section II III.2. What type of classification is the study about? Binary-Single label (e.g., ambiguous vs. unambiguous) Binary-Multi label (e.g., functional vs non-functional) Multi class-Single label (e.g., predicting number of stars, given a review. any scoring task) Multi class- Multi label (e.g., type of quality in non-functional requirements, feature requests vs. bug reports vs. other) Other/Comments III.3. What are the labels that can be assigned? Please provide the labels, or point to the specific part of the original paper where the labels are specified **Output Type (NLP Task: Information Extraction)** Fill in only you answered Information Extraction in Section II III.4. What is the level of granularity of the extracted elements? III.5. What is the type of the extracted elements? Sentences Phrases Words Other/Comments **Output Type (NLP Task: Translation)** Fill in only you answered Translation in Section II III.6. What is the type of output? Text (e.g., from a diagram generate description) Table (e.g, from requirements generate a table of features) Graphical diagram (e.g., generate UML diagram from text) $Executable\ model\ (e.g.,\ from\ requirements\ to\ Simulink\ or\ executable\ sequence/activity/state\ diagrams)$ Test Cases Other/Comments III.7. What is the translation mapping cardinality between initial input and final output? 1 to many (e.g., 1 use case description to 3 diagrams) Many to 1 (e.g., many requirements translated into a single model, many feature table translated into a single feature diagram) Many to many (e.g., from many use case descriptions to one class diagram and multiple other diagrams) Other/Comments **Retrieved Elements (NLP Task: Information Retrieval)** Fill in only you answered Information Retrieval in Section II III.8. What are the types of elements retrieved with the query? Document Paragraphs Sentences Phrases Words Structured/tabular text: e.g., use case specification, feature table

Output Type (NLP Task: Other)

Fill in only you answered Other in Section II

Other (e.g., models, trace links, diagrams, code comments)/Comments

III.9. What is the type of output?

IV.2. In which year or interval of year were the data produced?

IV.1. How many data items do you process? Please report the numerical information and details about all the data that is used in your evaluation

IV.3. What is the source of the data?

Industrial Project, proprietary data

Industrial Project, publicly available data

Community-based Open Source Projects (i.e., the community is organic and there is no top-down control from a company)

Textbook examples or cases

Student Projects (i.e., requirements developed by students in a realistic settings, where the project lasts months)

Toy Requirements (e.g., used for courses, developed by students during exercises)

Legal/regulatory documents

User generated content (e.g., app stores, user forums, ...)

Other/Comments

IV.4. What is the level of abstraction of the data (not limited to requirements)?

User-level (e.g., "Uploading pictures with the app is so annoying!")

Normative-level (e.g., "The processor shall not engage another processor without prior consent of the controller.")

Business-level (e.g., "Provide customer with a pleasant online shopping experience")

System-level (e.g., "When a GSI component constraint is violated, STS shall deliver a warning message to the system operator")

Module-level (e.g., module design description, sub-system design description)

Code-level (e.g., code or comments)

Other/Comments

Type of data and formulation

IV.5. What is the format of the data? Please consider all the data that are used in the paper

"Shall" requirements

User stories Use cases User reviews

Social media posts (e.g., Tweets)

Bug/defect reports Messages in User forums **Graphical Diagrams**

Scenarios (other than use cases)

Legal text

Other artefacts (e.g., slides)/Comments

IV.6. How rigorous is the format of the data?

Unconstrained natural language

Template-based controlled natural language (i.e. the language follows a template or at least complies to some basic rules)

Restricted grammar based controlled natural language (i.e., the language follows a restricted grammar)

Semantically-augmented natural language (i.e., tags identifying semantic functions of part of the text, normally export from tools)

Other/Comments

IV.7. What is the natural language of the data (if applicable)?

Heterogeneity

IV.8. Please list which domains your data belongs to (e.g., automotive, satellite, entertainment, information systems) [comma separated text]

IV.9. From how many different sources your data comes from?

Licensing

IV.10. Is the dataset publicly available (also from other authors)?

Fully **Partially Upon Request**

Other/Comments

IV.11. What license has been used?

No license

License: reuse only for noncommercial purposes License: reuse for any purposes

License: modification only for non-commercial purposes

License: modification for any purposes

Other/Comments

IV.13. Provide a URL to the dataset, if available, or to the original paper that proposed the dataset.

IV.12. Where is the dataset stored?

On a private/corporate website In a repository (GitHub, GitLab) In a persistent platform with DOI

(Zenodo, FigShare) Other/Comments

V. Annotators and Annotation process

If no annotation has been done, go to Section VI.

Refer to the annotations for the NLP task listed in Section II.

If you have multiple NLP tasks, separate your answers below with a comma.

V.1. How many annotators have been involved?

V.2. How are the entries annotated?

Multiple annotators per entry

One annotator per entry (no quality control)

One annotator per entry (quality control, possibly on a sample, by a supervisor)

Partly multiple annotators per entry, partly one annotator per entry

Other/Comments

V.3. What is the average level of application domain experience of

the annotators?

None or unknown Informed outsider Domain expert

Other/Comments

V.4. Who are the annotators? The designers of the technique/tool

People who have direct contact with the designers

Independent annotators (e.g., crowd workers, pre-annotated data)

Other/Comments

Annotation scheme

V.5. How was the annotation scheme established among the annotators?

Only via class labels

Oral agreement among the taggers

Written guidelines with label definitions

Written guidelines with definitions and examples Other/Comments

V.6. Did you make the written guidelines public?

No

No, but are made available upon request

Yes, via a non-persistent URL Yes, via a persistent URL

Other/Comments

Annotation process

V.7. Did you share other information that could support the annotators other

than the elements to annotate?

No $\label{eq:context} Surrounding \ context \ (e.g., \ the \ entire \ paragraph \ where \ the \ sentence \ to$

annotate appears)

Entire document
Other/Comments

V.8. Did you employ techniques to mitigate fatigue effects during the

annotation sessions?

Yes No

Other/Comments

Agreement

V.9. What are the metrics used to measure intercoder reliability?

Cohen's K

Krippendorf's Alpha

Fleiss' K

Other/Comments

V.10. How were conflicts resolved?

Majority voting

Discussion among taggers
Resolution by authors
Resolution by independent
expert (not a tagger)

Disagreements were disregarded

Not resolved Other/Comments V.11. What is the measured agreement?

VI. Tool

By tool, we intend any implementation of the approach(es) proposed in the paper. This includes scripts, executable programs, APIs, etc.

VI.2. What algorithms are used in the tool?

VI.1. What is the type of proposed solution?

Supervised ML

Unsupervised ML

Supervised DL

Unsupervised DL

Rule-based

Other/Comments

VI.3. What has been released?

Tool (e.g., a binary file) -- standalone

Service on the web (e.g., REST)

Library / API

Source code

Executable notebook (e.g., Jupyter)

Pre-trained model (ML-based trained model)

No tool has been released

Other/Comments

If no tool has been released go to Section VII.

VI.4. What needs to be done for running the tool?

No installation is needed (e.g., standalone tool)

Import and integrate into your own code (e.g., via MAVEN)

Compile and run

Virtual machine / Docker containers

Reproduce the tool from the explanation in the paper

Other/Comments

VI.6. What type of dependencies does the tool have?

None

Open source libraries / software (e.g., python libraries,

NLTK)

Proprietary libraries / software (e.g., Google Cloud NLP)

Specific OS

Specific hardware (e.g., hardware GPU acceleration required)

External knowledge bases (e.g., Wikipedia)

Other/Comments

VI.9. Where is the tool released? [url]

VI.5. What type of documentation has been provided alongside the tool?

README file

Pseudocode / illustration in the paper

Wiki or dedicated website

Tutorial

Ready-to-use examples
An academic paper
No documentation
Other/Comments

VI.7. How is the tool released?

On a private/corporate website

In a repository (GitHub, GitLab)
In a persistent platform with

DOI (Zenodo, FigShare) Other/Comments VI.8. What license has been used?

No license

License: reuse only for noncommercial purposes

License: reuse for any purposes

License: modification only for non-commercial purposes

License: modification for any

purposes

Other/Comments

VII. Evaluation

VII.1. What metrics are used to evaluate the approach(es)?
Precision/Recall
F-Score
Accuracy
AUC
MAP
LAG
WER (word error rate)
NIST - METEOR - ROUGE - BLEU
Other/Comments
VII.2. What is the validation procedure?
Train-test split
Cross-validation
Cross-project validation
Entire dataset (e.g., no training)
Other/Comments
VII.3. What baseline do you compare against?
Existing tool or algorithm (e.g., from another paper for the same NLP task)
Reconstructed tool from other research
Automated, but self-defined (e.g., using ML algorithm not reported elsewhere)
Theoretical / conceptual (e.g., majority class)
Human baseline (i.e., comparison against human performance)
None
Other/Comments

 $\mbox{\sc VII.4.}$ Please provide more details about the baseline you compare against, if any.

Questionnaire for the participants

Time Spent

How many minutes did you spend filling out the NLP4RE ID Card?

Ease of Use

	Difficult	Somewhat Difficult	Neutral	Somewhat Easy	Easy
How easy is it to fill out the NLP4RE ID Card?					

Appropriateness

Indicate how appropriate the NLP4RE ID Card is for each of the intended use.

	Inappropriate	Somewhat Inappropriate	Neutral	Somewhat Appropriate	Appropriate
To facilitate data collection for literature surveys					
An educational tool on reporting research					
To facilitate reuse and replication					

Level of detail

Indicate the level of detail of the information captured by the NLP4RE ID Card for each of the intended use.

	Too generic	Somewhat too generic	Appropriate level of detail	Somewhat too detailed	Too detailed
To facilitate data collection for literature surveys					
An educational tool on reporting research					
To facilitate reuse and replication					

Intention to use

 $Indicate\ how\ likely\ is\ it\ that\ you\ or\ your\ team\ will\ use\ the\ NLP4RE\ ID\ Card\ for\ each\ of\ the\ intended\ use.$

	Very Unlikely	Unlikely	Neutral	Likely	Very Likely
To facilitate data collection for literature surveys					
An educational tool on reporting research					
To facilitate reuse and replication					

Do you have any other remarks for the NLP4RE ID Card?

Do you have any other intended uses for the NLP4RE ID Card in addition to those we proposed?