

Interpreting MRS Results from LCModel + Performing the CSF correction

(please contact sofia.chavez@camhpet.ca for further assistance/clarification)

1. Qualitative evaluation:

- check baseline (bottom black line) : it should have no large dips or hills
- check noise/left-over after fit (top plot): it should be random and centred on 0 without any obvious structure (a few 'blips' around 3.6-3.8 is OK)
- the 3 peaks (Cho-Cr-NAA) should be apparent and more or less in order: Cho<Cr<NAA (except in cerebellum where Cr=NAA)

2. Quantitative evaluation:

- under: MISCELLANEOUS OUTPUT, accept only if: FWHM<0.1 and S/N>10
- check Cramer-Rao bounds (%SD): should be <20% (ideally, <15%)

Always check the size of your voxel along the title. It should remain the same for a given protocol.

Both Conc. (which is in institutional units (i.u.) -not absolute- scaled to the water peak, also called water-referenced) and /Cr+PCr should be used and effects on both can be studied (if no changes in Cr+PCr are expected, both should yield the same trends).

3. CSF correction

Here is a paper that uses the correction and explicitly writes the "formula" (see Eq.[2] of Jang et al, 53:708 –712, MRM 2005, DOI 10.1002/mrm.20387).

Please be aware that when I refer to concentration (conc) I don't mean absolute in mmol or anything because we have not corrected for various things (T1,T2..) to get that. We are always referring to a relative concentration but at least you can compare across subjects or time points for a given protocol if you do the following CSF correction to the first column of the LCmodel output (water-referenced values):

$$(\text{conc in voxel}) = (\text{conc in tissue}) * (\text{fraction of tissue in voxel})$$

We measure (conc in voxel) but want (conc in tissue), also using the fact that:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{fraction of tissue in ROI (f_t)} + \text{fraction of CSF in ROI (f_csf)} = 1 \\ \text{i.e. } &\text{f_t} + \text{f_csf} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

We can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{conc in tissue} &= (\text{conc in voxel}) / \text{f_t} \\ \text{conc in tissue} &= (\text{conc in voxel}) / (1 - \text{f_csf}) \quad \text{*** this is Eq.[2] given in the paper above ****} \end{aligned}$$

I don't think it is necessary to write this equation nor reference this paper in your work, just state the method you used to get f_t (what segmentation algorithm did you use) and then state that you performed a CSF correction to the water-referenced values.