

Uncovering the structure and dynamics of information flow on the Telegram network

Thomas Louf, Aurora Vindimian, Riccardo Gallotti

June 25, 2025



UNIVERSITÀ
DI TRENTO

Dipartimento di
Matematica



FONDAZIONE
BRUNO KESSLER

Telegram is...

- Not just a messaging app, it hosts **millions of public channels**, some gathering tens / hundreds of thousands of users

Telegram is...

- Not just a messaging app, it hosts **millions of public channels**, some gathering tens / hundreds of thousands of users
- An online social media = large-scale, privately-owned “public” spheres → hard to limit **spread of misinformation, hate speech** and other goodies

Telegram is...


- Not just a messaging app, it hosts **millions of public channels**, some gathering tens / hundreds of thousands of users
- An online social media = large-scale, privately-owned “public” spheres → hard to limit **spread of misinformation, hate speech** and other goodies
- Increasingly **popular**, and already very popular in some countries (Russia, Iran)

so...

Telegram is...

- Not just a messaging app, it hosts **millions of public channels**, some gathering tens / hundreds of thousands of users
- An online social media = large-scale, privately-owned “public” spheres → hard to limit **spread of misinformation, hate speech** and other goodies
- Increasingly **popular**, and already very popular in some countries (Russia, Iran)

so...



Not exactly!

- Its **structure is based on channels**

Not exactly!


- Its **structure is based on channels**
 - ↳ information is more containerised

Not exactly!

- Its **structure is based on channels**
 - ↳ information is more containerised
 - ↳ not easy to *search* for content

Not exactly!

- Its **structure is based on channels**
 - ↳ information is more containerised
 - ↳ not easy to *search* for content
- Largely **unregulated**



Not exactly!

- Its **structure is based on channels**
 - ↳ information is more containerised
 - ↳ not easy to *search* for content
- Largely **unregulated**
- ... and also **under-studied** scientifically

Not exactly!

- Its **structure is based on channels**
 - ↳ information is more containerised
 - ↳ not easy to *search* for content
- Largely **unregulated**
- ... and also **under-studied** scientifically

→ Lots of work to be done



Is the network of Telegram channels anything like a social network?




What are the main mechanisms giving rise to it?

→ Analysis of the Telegram network using the Pushshift dataset (Baumgartner et al., 2020)


→ Model that reproduces its *topological* and *temporal* features

Structural analysis > A network of information flow ✓

- Nodes: 29 609 channels
- Edge from B to A when A forwards a message from B → 501 897 directed edges




Do we have the usual rich club?




Structural analysis > Clustering ✓

Tendency to forward from friends of my friends?




Structural analysis > Assortativity ✓

Ties formed preferably with same language...



...also reflected in community partition (SBM)




Aversion to form too many ties

→ probability to form new ties should decrease with in-degree k_{in} .


Model from (Ubaldi et al., 2016)

$$p_{\text{new}}(k_{\text{in}}) = \left(1 + \frac{k_{\text{in}}}{c}\right)^{-b}$$




Temporal analysis > Inter-event times ✓

For all channels, get times between two forwarded messages = *inter-event times* τ




↪ $f(\tau)$ is piecewise power-law, with two main regimes separated by $\tau = 1$ day



Temporal analysis > Burstiness ✓


Investigate shape of distribution of *burst train sizes* E (Karsai et al., 2012):



We do have

$$p(E) \sim E^{-\beta}$$

➡ forwarding is bursty




So this temporal network features:

- clustering
- power-law in/out-strength distributions
- language assortativity
- tendency to reinforce existing ties
- burstiness

Simple-enough model that can reproduce these properties? *No!*

↪ Could help simulate contagion model or equivalent and test effect of interventions on synthetic networks


With already n events in a burst train, probability $p(n)$ to generate another within the same train?




→ Train size distribution generated from memory process (Karsai et al., 2012)

$$p(E) \sim E^{-\beta} \Leftrightarrow p(n) = \left(\frac{n}{n+1} \right)^\nu \quad \text{with } \nu \approx \beta - 1$$


Generalisation from (Karsai et al., 2012)




Generalisation from (Karsai et al., 2012)




Generalisation from (Karsai et al., 2012)




Generalisation from (Karsai et al., 2012)



Adapted from (Laurent et al., 2015)



Fitted time model $(\pi, \mu_{A_{1/2}}, \mu_B, k)$ to reproduce piecewise power-law $p(\tau)$



↪ *It fits* (+ it runs fast: $\sim 10s$)

Can generate synthetic networks by creating event sequence for each node, and then pick who they forward using topology model.

Issue: no guarantee average event rate for nodes is conserved


→ What if we just contract/dilate time to fit event rates?

Can generate synthetic networks by creating event sequence for each node, and then pick who they forward using topology model.

Issue: no guarantee average event rate for nodes is conserved

→ What if we just contract/dilate time to fit event rates?

↪ slight deformation of $p(\tau)$




Can generate synthetic networks by creating event sequence for each node, and then pick who they forward using topology model.

Issue: no guarantee average event rate for nodes is conserved

→ What if we just contract/dilate time to fit event rates?

↪ very similar β in $p(E) \sim E^{-\beta}$



What we've shown...

- Network of Telegram channels is very social-network-like
- Main mechanisms behind its emergence: tie reinforcement, clustering, language assortativity + memory process

...and what this leads to

- Model information propagation and effect of interventions
- Very global view of temporal process: what about local coordination?

Thanks for your attention 🙌

🦋 @TLouf

🐙 @TLouf

✉️ tlouf@fbk.eu

Bibliography ✓

- Baumgartner, J., Zannettou, S., Squire, M., & Blackburn, J. (2020). The Pushshift Telegram Dataset. *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, 14, 840–847. <https://doi.org/10.1609/icwsm.v14i1.7348>
- Karsai, M., Kaski, K., Barabási, A.-L., & Kertész, J. (2012). Universal Features of Correlated Bursty Behaviour. *Scientific Reports*, 2(1), 397. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep00397>
- Laurent, G., Saramäki, J., & Karsai, M. (2015). From Calls to Communities: A Model for Time-Varying Social Networks. *The European Physical Journal B*, 88(11), 301. <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2015-60481-x>
- Ubaldi, E., Perra, N., Karsai, M., Vezzani, A., Burioni, R., & Vespignani, A. (2016). Asymptotic Theory of Time-Varying Social Networks with Heterogeneous Activity

Bibliography ✓

and Tie Allocation. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1), 35724. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep35724>