

Worldwide Gaming Project Grading Rubric

Tucker Mackie

Grading Rubric

| Criteria | Full Credit | Partial Credit 1 | Partial Credit 2 | No Credit |
|-------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Visual (40 points) | | | | |
| Clarity & Readability | Visuals are clear, labeled, easy to interpret; color schemes consistent | Minor labeling/readability issues; color or layout slightly off | Labels or legends confusing; visual clutter reduces comprehension | Visuals illegible, missing labels, or unclear |
| Relevance & Accuracy | Data accurately reflects analysis goals; all figures support key findings | Minor inaccuracies or missing data; overall message understandable | Several inaccuracies; visuals partially misleading or incomplete | Visuals do not reflect data; misleading or incorrect |
| Interactivity / Functionality | Filters and interactive elements work; enhance exploration | Some interactive elements work; minor issues | Many interactive elements broken or confusing; limited usability | No interactive elements or completely non-functional |
| Insightfulness | Visuals reveal clear patterns or insights supporting research questions | Some patterns observable; limited insights | Minimal insights; hard to interpret | Visuals convey no meaningful insight |
| Paper (35 points) | | | | |

Continued on next page

Continued from previous page

| Criteria | Full Credit | Partial Credit 1 | Partial Credit 2 | No Credit |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Structure & Organization | Well-organized sections: Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, Conclusion | Minor flow issues; small sections missing | Sections disorganized or missing important parts | Paper lacks structure; incoherent |
| Clarity & Writing Quality | Writing is clear, concise, professional; technical terms explained | Minor grammar or style issues; some unclear sentences | Frequent grammar/style issues; hard to follow | Writing is confusing or unclear |
| Analysis & Interpretation | Paper accurately interprets visuals and data; conclusions supported | Minor misinterpretations or unclear explanations | Major misinterpretations or unsupported claims | Analysis incorrect or unsupported |
| References & Figures | Figures properly referenced; all sources cited; captions informative | Minor errors in citations or figure references | Missing/incomplete citations; captions inadequate | No citations or figure references; captions missing |
| Presentation (25 points) | | | | |
| Delivery & Clarity | Speaker confident, clear, well-paced; explanations easy to follow | Minor issues with pace, clarity, or confidence | Frequent hesitations; hard to follow | Very unclear or confusing delivery |
| Content Coverage | All major points covered: visualizations, methods, results, discussion, conclusion | One minor point missing | Several major points missing/not explained | Little or no content covered |
| Engagement & Questions | Engages audience; answers questions accurately | Some engagement; minor gaps in answering questions | Minimal engagement; struggles with questions | No engagement; cannot answer questions |