**TNTLab Meeting Minutes**

**Date**: 9/5/2017

**Attendance**: Richard, Andrew, Bo, Elena, Sebastian, Martina

1. Richard: Meeting opened

**ANNOUNCEMENTS – none**

**OLD BUSINESS**

**ACTION ITEM (ANDREW):** Andrew should submit at least 2 journal submissions (Thesis & Mturk), but ideally 3 this semester (maybe Revelian)

**ACTION ITEM (ANDREW):** 3 SIOP submissions (by Sept 6th). Match name of Revelian SIOP symposium with future JAP article name

**UPDATE:** working on 2/3 of those, will have drafts to Richard by tomorrow. Third submission was already accepted (yay!)

**DECISION:** As soon as we hit participant threshold with Revelian, should submit as JAP article

**ACTION ITEM (RICHARD):** Email about Klabbers article

**UPDATE:** Done. Within the next month, they will send back comments/revisions and then it will be done. No heavy revisions!

**ACTION ITEM** **(RICHARD):** Email Select International to follow up about mobile diversity study –

**UPDATE:** Still need to email Select

**ACTION ITEM (MARTINA):** To sign up for supervised research - need to identify a graduate student mentor, fill out a research contract from advising, send to Richard and register for the actual credit

1. Richard: discussed Glassdoor project– after SIOP deadline, progress will start back up after SIOP submissions

**ACTION ITEM (RICHARD):** Send email after SIOP deadline to see who still wants to work on project

1. Richard: discussed interdisciplinary rankings project; have most of the dataset, need to get classification in journal for cited by list

**ACTION ITEM (RICHARD):** get classifications in journal for cited by list by identifying journal names that don’t already appear in that dataset and then sorting out anything that is not a journal name

**UPDATE:** pushed to post-SIOP to-do list

1. Revelian discussion

Kory contacted IT about university announcements and found out only faculty can post announcements

**ACTION ITEM (BO & ANDREW):** Grad student should use their faculty email to post announcements 2x a week –

**UPDATE:** Bo is talking to IT, only posted to faculty and staff

**ACTION ITEM (KORY & MARTINA):** Need drop off new flyers every two weeks at help-desk in Webb

**ACTION ITEM (ELENA):** Set up github/central place for lab documents and code

**NEW BUSINESS**

1. Richard: Lab-wide we have too many open projects, need to figure out what we’re close to getting done and make a dedicated push
2. Andrew: SIOP Trace data submission- *Suggested title:* Artificial neural networks as a way of enhancing measurement of CA using trace data

**ACTION ITEM (ANDREW):** Email Solly(sp?) about authorship and ask for their input, need to determine what results we can share

We’re treading on new IP development; our work needs to be an “exploration” and we can’t create a scoring system

Examining latency probably won’t work great because there are a lot of situational and individual causes of latency (e.g., Personality swipe project latency didn’t contribute to self-report beyond dichotomous scoring)

For the SIOP submission, in last paragraph we should describe which analyses we will do. Be vague about what we’re looking at but be specific about analyses

We should treat the data as 7 separate games, not multi-level

Even data translation is novel for SIOP – we can discuss how to deal with events nested in events and then give a general overview of using trace data with cognitive ability (CA)

1. Elena: Twitter study- both PNAS submission and SIOP

**ACTION ITEM (ELENA):** Demographic data

**ACTION ITEM (ELENA):** Clean up R script for PNAS submission; anything in a table will need corresponding code

1. Bo: SIOP submissions: Interdisciplinary submission was sent a while ago, no further action needed

NLP Symposium – have all submissions, need to write overview and send to Richard

**ACTION ITEM (BO)**: Write 750 word overview for NLP symposium, should include Richard’s discussion piece at the end of the summary

1. Sebastian: working on trace data lit review- summaries/annotated references

**ACTION ITEM (SEBASTIAN):** confirm set up of data camp

1. Richard: general discussion of R vs Python

R has memory limitations – sometimes people create relational databases using SQL so that they can work off of a larger dataset

1. Richard: Tech Handbook

**ACTION ITEM (ELENA)**: send Richard list of outstanding handbook chapters & list of reviewers for chapters we do have

**ACTION ITEM (RICHARD)**: Send out invites for reviewers ASAP

Book isn’t due until March but would like to have full feedback by mid-October and revisions by December

1. Elena: need to start recruiting more URAs

**ACTION ITEM (BO, ANDREW, & RICHARD): Recruit URAs from 303/318 via email/class**

1. Bo: dissertation – wanted to know if he can use another student’s dissertation as a footing for his dissertation

**ACTION ITEM (BO): email author of said dissertation, ask him if he is looking to get it published, i.e., “is there a journal cite I can use?”**

Can use this dissertation if all you need is that differences exist

Need to make an argument that organizations are using resume screening in the first place if adverse impact is to be relevant

1. Richard: LIWC – successfully purchased LIWC, will download on his computer and then figure out how to disperse to lab (will likely need to install it on Bo’s for remote desktop or lab computer)

**ACTION ITEM (RICHARD):** Install LIWC and figure out how to disperse to rest of lab

1. Elena: Implementing article discussion. Finding articles that are both readable by URAs and relevant to current lab research is difficult.

**DECISION: Hold off on article discussion until we have a few more URAs**