Example of a Grading System and descriptors relating to student attainment

GRADE	% VALUE	DESCRIPTION
A +	90 – 100	Work of high to exceptionally high quality showing excellent knowledge and understanding of subject matter and
A	85 – 89	appreciation of issues; well formulated arguments based on strong and sustained evidence; maps and diagrams, graphs and tables, etc included where appropriate; relevant literature
A -	80 - 84	referenced; high level of creative ability, originality and critical thinking; excellent communication and presentation skills.
B +	75 – 79	Work showing good to strong grasp of subject matter and understanding of major issues though not necessarily of the
В	70 – 74	finer points; arguments clearly developed and based on convincing evidence; relevant literature referenced; evidence of creative ability, originality and critical thinking; good
В-	65 - 69	communication and presentation skills.
C +	60 – 64	Work showing a knowledge of subject matter and appreciation of main issues though possibly with some lapses and
С	55 – 59	inadequacies; arguments developed and supported by some evidence and references; creative ability, originality and critical thinking present but limited; adequate communication and
C -	50 - 54	presentation skills.
D +	45 – 49	Work lacking breadth and depth. Work generally has gaps. Frequently work of this grade takes a simple factual approach and understanding and coverage of material is inadequate; does not attempt to interpret the material; at the lower end,
D	40 - 44	indicates a need for considerable effort to achieve improvement; communication and presentation skills are poor.
D-	0 - 39	Highly unsatisfactory. Work shows a lack of knowledge about and understanding of the topic. Inadequate in degree of relevance, sometimes completeness, sometimes both. Communication and presentation skills are weak.

Please note that the attainment descriptors must be adapted to different levels of learning or degree study.

Approved by Senate: 10 May 2004