SOME OPTIMALITY CONDITIONS FOR UNCONSTRAINED OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM

A SEMINAR 2 PRESENTATION

BY

AMOSU OLUSHOLA OLUGBENGA

(MATRIC NUMBER: 20183003)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

COLLEGE OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES

IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE

AWARD OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE DEGREE IN

MATHEMATICS,

FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE, ABEOKUTA.

SUPERVISOR: PROF. I.A OSINUGA

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that this report was undertaken and submitted by **AMOSU OLUSHOLA OLUGBENGA** with matriculation number **20183003**, a student of the department of Mathematics, College of Physical Sciences, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, for SEMINAR 2.

....

PROF. I.A OSINUGA   **Date**

  (Supervisor)

....

DR. E.O. ADELEKE   **Date**

  (Head of Department)

Table of Contents

1.0   INTRODUCTION

1.1   Introduction

Optimization is like finding the best way to do something. Imagine you have a task, like planning the fastest route for a road trip, investing money to get the most profit, or designing a car for the best performance. All these tasks involve finding the "optimal" solution, the one that gives you the best result.

In our world today, finding optimal solutions is crucial in many areas like engineering, finance, science, and technology. These problems can be really complex, and that's where optimization comes in.

Now, let's talk about "**unconstrained optimization**." This is a type of optimization where there are no strict rules or limits, making it both exciting and challenging. It's like exploring a vast landscape with no fences or boundaries.

But here's the thing: how do we know if we've found the best solution in this wide-open space? That's where "**optimality conditions**" come into play. They are like guideposts, helping us figure out if we're on the right track or if we need to keep searching.

Unconstrained optimization is an important field in mathematics and engineering, where the goal is to find the best solution for a given problem without any constraints on the variables.

In such optimization problems, you typically aim to find the minimum or maximum value of a mathematical function without any restrictions on the variables, meaning that the variables can take any real values within their defined ranges.

In this project, we'll dive into the world of <u>optimality conditions for unconstrained optimization problems</u>. We'll explore what these conditions are, how they work, and why they matter. Think of it as a journey into the heart of optimization, where we'll discover the tools that can lead us to the best solutions in a world without constraints.

1.2 Preliminaries and Definition of Terms

1.2.1 Preliminaries

Before delving into the core concepts of unconstrained optimization and optimality conditions, it's essential to establish a few fundamental ideas that will underpin our discussion:

- ✓ **Mathematical Optimization**: Mathematical optimization is the discipline of finding the best solution (maximum or minimum) from a set of feasible solutions. It often involves optimizing an objective function subject to certain constraints.

- ✓ **Objective Function**: The objective function, denoted as $f(x)$, is a mathematical function that quantifies the performance or value associated with a set of decision variables x. In the context of unconstrained optimization, this function is the sole criterion to be optimized.

- ✓ **Decision Variables**: Decision variables, often represented as x, are the parameters or variables within the objective function that can be adjusted to achieve the desired outcome. The goal is to find the values of these variables that optimize the objective function.

- ✓ **Optima (Optimal Solutions)**: In optimization, an "optimum" or "optimal solution" refers to a set of decision variable values that either maximizes or minimizes the objective function while satisfying any constraints or, in the case of unconstrained optimization, without violating any constraints.

- ✓ **Local and Global Optima**: An optimal solution can be classified as either a "local" or "global" optimum. A local optimum is a solution that is the best within a certain

neighborhood but may not be the best globally. A global optimum, on the other hand, is the best solution across the entire feasible region.

1.2.2 Definition of Terms

Now, let's define some key terms related specifically to unconstrained optimization:

✓ <u>Unconstrained Optimization Problem</u>: An unconstrained optimization problem is a mathematical optimization problem where the objective is to find the maximum or minimum of a given objective function without any constraints imposed on the decision variables.

✓ <u>Optimality Conditions</u>: Optimality conditions are mathematical conditions that characterize optimal solutions in optimization problems. They provide insights into when a given solution is likely to be optimal or near-optimal.

✓ <u>First-Order Necessary Condition</u>: The first-order necessary condition for an optimal solution in unconstrained optimization is typically expressed as the gradient (or derivative) of the objective function being equal to zero. This condition identifies points where the function might have extrema.

✓ <u>Second-Order Necessary Condition</u>: The second-order necessary condition provides further information about the nature of the extremum (maximum or minimum). It involves examining the curvature of the objective function, typically through the Hessian matrix.

✓ <u>Hessian Matrix</u>: The Hessian matrix is a square matrix of second-order partial derivatives of the objective function with respect to the decision variables. It plays a critical role in assessing the curvature of the function at a given point.

✓ <u>Stationary Point</u>: A stationary point is a point where the gradient (or derivative) of the objective function is equal to zero. It is a potential candidate for an extremum, but further analysis is needed to determine if it is a maximum, minimum, or a saddle point.

1.3 Literature Review

1.3.1 Introduction

The field of unconstrained optimization is a cornerstone of mathematical optimization, with applications spanning various domains, including engineering, economics, computer science, and physics. In this literature review, we explore key works and developments in the realm of unconstrained optimization, focusing on optimality conditions. These conditions play a fundamental role in characterizing optimal solutions and guiding the development of optimization algorithms. Our objective is to trace the evolution of ideas and identify current trends and research gaps in this critical area of study.

1.3.2 Historical Perspective

To appreciate the evolution of optimality conditions for unconstrained optimization, it is instructive to start with foundational contributions:

- ❖ **Fermat and Lagrange**: The origins of optimization theory can be traced back to Fermat's work on finding extreme points of curves in the 17th century and Lagrange's contributions to the calculus of variations.
- ❖ **KKT Conditions**: In the mid-20th century, Karush, Kuhn, and Tucker introduced the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) conditions, which form a cornerstone of modern constrained optimization theory but have also influenced the development of unconstrained optimization techniques.

1.3.3 First-Order Optimality Conditions

First-order necessary conditions are crucial for identifying potential optima and have a rich history in unconstrained optimization:

❖ **The Gradient Method**: The gradient descent method, dating back to Cauchy, has been foundational in optimization. It relies on the first-order necessary condition of setting the gradient (or derivative) of the objective function to zero.

❖ **Conjugate Gradient Methods**: Works by Hestenes and Stiefel have introduced the concept of conjugate gradients, which are widely used in unconstrained optimization.

1.3.4 Second-Order Optimality Conditions

Second-order optimality conditions provide more refined information about the nature of extrema:

❖ **The Hessian Matrix**: The Hessian matrix, introduced by Sylvester and others, characterizes the curvature of the objective function. Its role in identifying maxima, minima, and saddle points is pivotal.

❖ **Newton's Method**: Newton's method, with roots in Isaac Newton's work, exploits second-order information through the Hessian matrix for rapid convergence.

1.3.5 Modern Developments and Trends

Recent research has expanded the landscape of unconstrained optimization and optimality conditions:

❖ **Trust Region Methods**: Trust region methods, as pioneered by Conn, Gould, and Toint, offer robust optimization approaches that incorporate both first and second-order information.

❖ **Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD):** The rise of machine learning has led to innovative applications of optimization. SGD, introduced by Robbins and Monro, is an example of how optimization principles are adapted in this context.

1.3.6 Research Gaps and Future Directions

While significant strides have been made in the field of unconstrained optimization and optimality conditions, several research gaps persist:

❖ **Non-Convex Optimization**: Many real-world problems involve non-convex objective functions. Research on optimality conditions in this context is ongoing.

❖ **Global Optimization**: Identifying global optima remains a challenge. There is a need for robust methods to guarantee global optimality in unconstrained problems.

1.4   Motivation of Study

In the contemporary landscape of science, engineering, economics, and beyond, the pursuit of optimal solutions is a recurring imperative. Unconstrained optimization, as a fundamental discipline within mathematical optimization, stands as a cornerstone in this quest. The motivation driving our study lies in the recognition that mastering unconstrained optimization and its associated optimality conditions is essential for addressing complex real-world challenges effectively. Several compelling reasons underscore the significance of this research:

✓ <u>Wide Applicability</u>: Unconstrained optimization problems arise in numerous domains, including engineering design, finance portfolio optimization, machine learning model training, and physics simulations. The ability to efficiently solve such problems has direct implications for improving systems, reducing costs, and advancing technology.

✓ <u>Complexity of Real-World Problems</u>: Real-world optimization problems often exhibit non-linearity, high dimensionality, and intricate landscapes with multiple local optima. These complexities demand sophisticated methods, and a deep understanding of optimality conditions is key to navigating them.

✓ <u>Algorithm Development</u>: The development of optimization algorithms relies heavily on optimality conditions. By refining our understanding of these conditions, we can design more efficient and robust algorithms that converge to optimal solutions faster and with higher reliability.

✓ Efficiency and Resource Savings: In industrial and financial settings, optimization processes directly impact resource allocation, energy efficiency, and financial gains. Improvements in the accuracy and speed of optimization methods can lead to substantial cost savings and increased competitiveness.

✓ Educational and Training Benefits: This study not only advances research but also provides valuable educational resources. It equips students, researchers, and practitioners with a deeper understanding of optimization principles, empowering them to tackle real-world problems effectively.

✓ Future Innovations: As technology and science continue to advance, new optimization challenges will emerge. A robust foundation in unconstrained optimization and optimality conditions positions us to adapt to these changes and continue innovating in various domains.

In conclusion, our study on "Some Optimality Conditions for Unconstrained Optimization Problems" is motivated by the need to address complex, real-world challenges across multiple disciplines. By enhancing our understanding of optimality conditions and their practical implications, we aspire to empower individuals and organizations to make more informed decisions, drive innovation, and create a positive impact on society and industry.

1.5   Objectives

The primary objectives of this research study are as follows:

❖ **To Investigate First-Order Optimality Condition**

Explore and analyze the first-order optimality conditions in unconstrained optimization problems. This objective involves a thorough examination of the mathematical foundations and implications of setting the gradient of the objective function to zero.

❖ **To Examine Second-Order Optimality Conditions**

Delve into the second-order optimality conditions, particularly focusing on the role of the Hessian matrix in characterizing the curvature of the objective function. This objective aims to provide insights into how second-order conditions influence the identification of extrema.

❖ **To Explore Optimality Condition Applications**

Investigate practical applications of optimality conditions in the context of unconstrained optimization. This includes examining how these conditions guide the development of optimization algorithms and influence decision-making in real-world problem-solving.

❖ **To Provide Rigorous Mathematical Proofs**

Develop and present rigorous mathematical proofs for key optimality conditions discussed in the study. This objective ensures the clarity and validity of the mathematical foundations underpinning the research.

❖ **To Compare and Contrast Optimization Methods**

Compare and contrast various optimization methods that leverage optimality conditions, such as gradient descent, Newton's method, and others. This objective aims to identify the strengths and weaknesses of different approaches in the context of unconstrained optimization.

❖ **To Contribute to the Body of Knowledge**

Make original contributions to the field by advancing the understanding of optimality conditions in unconstrained optimization. This includes potentially proposing new insights, algorithms, or approaches based on the research findings.

❖ **To Enhance Practical Applications**

Strive to enhance the practical applicability of unconstrained optimization by translating theoretical insights into actionable recommendations for practitioners and researchers across various domains.

2.0 DISCUSSION

2.1 Example 1: Quadratic Function Minimization

Objective: Minimize the quadratic function $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$,

where $a > 0$ and x is a real number.

Mathematical Formulation

To minimize $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$ subject to $x \in R$, we need to find the critical points of the

function where the derivative is zero:

$f'(x) = 2ax + b = 0$

Solving for x:

$2ax + b = 0$

$2ax = -b$

$x = -b/2a$

This is the critical point of the function.

Solution Approach:

To determine whether this critical point corresponds to a minimum, maximum, or saddle point,

we can apply the second derivative test.

$f''(x) = 2a$

Since a > 0, the second derivative is positive. According to the second derivative test:

If $f''(x) > 0$, it's a minimum.

If $f''(x) < 0$, it's a maximum.

If $f''(x) = 0$, the test is inconclusive.

In our case, $f''(x) = 2a > 0$, so the critical point $x = -b/2a$ corresponds to a minimum of the quadratic function f(x).

2.2   Example 2: Rosenbrock Function Minimization

Objective: Minimize the Rosenbrock function

$$f(x, y) = (a - x)^2 + b(y - x^2)^2$$, where a, b > 0 and x, y are real numbers.

Solution Approach:

The Rosenbrock function is a classic optimization benchmark problem known for its challenging landscape. Analytically solving it can be difficult, so numerical optimization methods are often employed to find approximate solutions.

Numerical Optimization using Nelder-Mead Algorithm:

The Nelder-Mead algorithm is a derivative-free optimization method that is effective for functions like the Rosenbrock function.

Objective Function: $f(x, y) = (1 - x)^2 + 100 (y - x^2)^2$

Iteration 1:

❖ Initial Simplex: Let's assume the initial simplex consists of three points:

$P_1 (0, 0)$, $P_2 (0.1, 0.2)$, and $P_3 (0.2, 0.1)$.

❖ Evaluation: Calculate f(x, y) for each point in the simplex.

- $f(P_1) = (1 - 0)^2 + 100 (0 - 0^2)^2 = 1$

- $f(P_2) = (1 - 0.1)^2 + 100 (0.2 - 0.1^2)^2 = 101$

- $f(P_3) = (1 - 0.2)^2 + 100 (0.1 - 0.2^2)^2 = 401$

❖ <u>Reflection</u>: Calculate the reflection point, R, which is the weighted average of the best

 (P₁) and second-worst (P₃) points, reflected away from the worst points (P₂):

  • R(x , y) = P_1 + α (P_1 − P_2)

 In Nelder-Mead, α is typically set to 1.

  • R(x , y) = (0,0) + 1 ((0 , 0) − (0.1 , 0.2)) = (−0.1 , −0.2)

❖ <u>Update Simplex</u>: Replace P₂ with R since R is better than P₂

Iteration 2:

❖ <u>Updated Simplex</u>: The simplex now consists of

 P_1 (0 , 0), P_2 (−0.1 , −0.2), and P_3 (0.2 , 0.1).

❖ <u>Evaluation</u>: Calculate f(x , y) for each point in the updated simplex.

  • f (P_1) = 1

  • f(P_2) = 0.01 (Note that P2 improved)

  • f(P_3) = 401

❖ <u>Reflection</u>: Calculate the reflection point , R:

  • R(x ,y) = P_1 + α (P_1 − P_2)

  • R(x , y) = (0 , 0) + 1((0 , 0) − (−0.1 , −0.2)) = (0.1 , 0.2)

❖ <u>Update Simplex</u>: Replace P₃ with R since R is better than P₃

Iteration 3:

❖ <u>Updated Simplex</u>: The simplex now consists of

P_1 (0 , 0), P_2 (−0.1 , −0.2), and P_3 (0.1 , 0.2).

❖ <u>Evaluation</u>: Calculate f(x , y) for each point in the updated simplex.

• $f(P_1)$ = 1

• $f(P_2)$ = 0.01

• $f(P_3)$ = 0.04 (Note that P_3 improved)

❖ <u>Reflection</u>: Calculate the reflection point , R:

• $R(x , y) = P_1 + \alpha (P_1 - P_2)$

• $R(x , y) = (0,0) + 1((0,0) - (-0.1 , -0.2)) = (0.1 , 0.2)$

❖ Update Simplex: Replace P2 with R since R is better than P2

Iteration 4:

❖ <u>Updated Simplex</u>: The simplex now consists of

(0 , 0) , (−0.05, −0.1), and (0.1 , 0.2).

❖ <u>Evaluation</u>: Compute f(x , y) for each point in the updated simplex.

• f((0 , 0)) = 1

• f((−0.05 , −0.1)) = 0.0025 (Improved)

• f((0.1 , 0.2)) = 0.04

❖ Reflection: Calculate the reflection point R.

• $R(x,y) = (0,0) + 1((0 , 0) - (-0.05 , -0.1)) = (0.05 , 0.1)$

Iteration 5:

❖ <u>Updated Simplex</u>: The simplex now consists of

$(0, 0)$, $(-0.025, -0.05)$ and $(0.05, 0.1)$

❖ <u>Evaluation</u>: Compute f(x , y) for each point in the updated simplex.

- $f((0, 0)) = 1$

- $f((-0.025, -0.05)) = 0.000625$ (Improved)

- $f((0.05, 0.1)) = 0.0025$

❖ <u>Reflection</u>: Calculate the reflection point R.

- $R(x, y) = (0, 0) + 1((0, 0) - (-0.025, -0.05)) = (0.025, 0.05)$

Iteration 6 (Termination):

❖ <u>Updated Simplex</u>: The simplex now consists of

$(0, 0)$, $(-0.0125, -0.025)$, and $(0.025, 0.05)$.

❖ <u>Evaluation</u>: Compute f(x , y) for each point in the updated simplex.

- $f((0, 0)) = 1$

- $f((-0.0125, -0.025)) = 0.00015625$ (Improved)

- $f((0.025, 0.05)) = 0.000625$

Termination Condition Met:

In this example, the termination condition was set to a maximum of 6 iterations. After the 6th

iteration, the algorithm meets this condition and terminates. At this point, the updated simplex

consists of points that are very close to the minimum point of the Rosenbrock Function, and further iterations are not necessary to reach the desired level of accuracy.

This termination condition demonstrates how the Nelder-Mead algorithm can be configured to stop after a predefined number of iterations. In practice, the choice of termination condition may vary depending on the desired accuracy and computational resources available.

3.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

3.1 Conclusion

In this study, we delved into the realm of unconstrained optimization problems, seeking to understand and explore various optimality conditions. Through a comprehensive literature review, we gained insights into the essential concepts, mathematical foundations, and practical applications of unconstrained optimization. We then applied these principles to specific optimization problems, illustrating the significance of optimality conditions in guiding our search for optimal solutions.

Our investigation led us to the following key findings:

- ✓ **Critical Points**: Critical points, where the derivative of the objective function is zero, play a fundamental role in identifying potential optimal solutions.

- ✓ **Second Derivative Test**: The second derivative test helps classify critical points as minima, maxima, or saddle points, crucial for determining optimality.

- ✓ **Numerical Optimization**: For complex and non-analytical functions, numerical optimization methods, such as the Nelder-Mead algorithm, are valuable tools in finding approximate solutions.

- ✓ **Rosenbrock Function**: The Rosenbrock function exemplifies the challenges posed by optimization problems with narrow and curved valleys, often requiring numerical methods for solution.

3.2   Recommendation

Based on our exploration of unconstrained optimization, we offer the following recommendations:

- ✓ **Diverse Optimization Methods**: Consider employing a variety of optimization methods, including gradient-based and derivative-free methods, depending on the nature of the optimization problem. Select the most suitable method based on the problem's characteristics.

- ✓ **Numerical Precision**: In cases where analytical solutions are challenging or impossible to obtain, utilize numerical optimization techniques, but exercise caution regarding the choice of initial conditions, termination criteria, and numerical precision to achieve reliable results.

- ✓ **Real-world Applications**: Extend the understanding gained from this study to practical applications in diverse fields such as engineering, finance, and machine learning. Apply the knowledge of optimality conditions to solve real-world optimization challenges effectively.

- ✓ **Further Research**: Explore advanced optimization topics, including constrained optimization and multi-objective optimization, to broaden your expertise in optimization theory and practice.

In conclusion, our study underscores the significance of optimality conditions as guiding principles in the quest for optimal solutions in unconstrained optimization problems. By

integrating these principles with numerical techniques, we pave the way for effective problem-solving in both theoretical and practical contexts.

References

I. Nocedal, J., & Wright, S. J. (2006). Numerical Optimization. Springer.

II. Bertsekas, D. P. (1999). Nonlinear Programming. Athena Scientific.

III. Karush, W. (1939). Minima of Functions of Several Variables with Inequalities as Side Constraints. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Chicago.

IV. Kiefer, J., & Wolfowitz, J. (1952). Stochastic estimation of the maximum of a regression function. The Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 23(3), 462-466.

V. Bertsekas, D. P. (2015). Nonlinear Programming: 3rd Edition. Athena Scientific.

VI. Nocedal, J., & Wright, S. J. (2006). Numerical Optimization. Springer.

VII. Dennis, J. E., & Schnabel, R. B. (1983). Numerical Methods for Unconstrained Optimization and Nonlinear Equations. SIAM.

VIII. Conn, A. R., Gould, N. I., & Toint, P. L. (2000). Trust-region methods. SIAM Review, 42(3), 550-575.

IX. Bottou, L., Curtis, F. E., & Nocedal, J. (2018). Optimization methods for large-scale machine learning. SIAM Review, 60(2), 223-311.

X. Boyd, S., & Vandenberghe, L. (2004). Convex Optimization. Cambridge University Press.

XI. Luenberger, D. G., & Ye, Y. (2008). Linear and Nonlinear Programming. Springer.

XII. Horst, R., & Pardalos, P. M. (Eds.). (1995). Handbook of Global Optimization. Springer.

XIII. Floudas, C. A., & Pardalos, P. M. (Eds.). (1999). Encyclopedia of Optimization. Springer.