CIFAR-10 – Bayesian classifier (better) (20 points)

Screenshot that summaries the results:

```
trainDataDict = unpickle(root+'cifar-10-batches-py/data_batch_1
            trainX=trainDataDict["data"].reshape(100
trainY=np.array(trainDataDict["labels"])
                                                             0, 3, 32, 32).transpose(
            for i in range(2,6):
    fn='cifar-10-batches-py/data_batch_%i'%i
                trainDataDict = unpickle(root+fn)
                 tx=trainDataDict["data"].reshape(10000, 3, 32, 32).transpose(
                 ty=np.array(trainDataDict["labels"])
                 trainX=np.concatenate((trainX,tx))
                trainY=np.concatenate((trainY,ty))
            return trainX, trainY

    def load testset():
            testDataDict = unpickle(root+'cifar-10-batches-py/test_batch')
testX = testDataDict["data"].reshape(10000, 3, 32, 32).transpose
testY = np.array(testDataDict["labels"])
     Line 22, Column 72
Naive Bayes classifier Classifying...
32x32 Gaussian Bayes-classifier accuracy: 36.23000000000004%
32x32 Gaussian Naive Bayes-classifier accuracy: 29.75999999999998%
Total running time: 618.9189963340759s
Bayes classifier accuracies: [24.79, 31.05, 40.22, 41.73, 43.46, 36.23]
Naive Bayes classifier accuracies: [19.54, 22.52, 26.04, 28.59, 29.48, 29.76
Gtk-Message: 01:46:27.735: Failed to load module "appmenu-gtk-module"
[tuomas@localhost Ex3]$ python task2.py
Estimating model parameters...
Evaluating model accuracy...
Gaussian Bayes-classifier accuracy: 24.79 %.
[tuomas@localhost Ex3]$
Q Search and Replace 🗏 Current Project 🗾 Terminal
```

So the accuracy that I got for Bayesian classifier and 1x1 images were 24.79%. Slightly better than Naive Bayes implementation, where we assumed that values of color channels (rgb) were independent of each other (which clearly is not the case in real applications).