# DATA.STAT.770

# Exercise set 14

### H2:

Kamada-Kawai cost function

$$Cost = \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{2} k_{ij} (|y_i - y_j| - l_{ij})^2$$

#### where

 $l_{ij} = L * d_{ij}$  (desired on-screen length of edge (ij))  $k_{ij} = \frac{K}{d_{ij}^2}$  (strength of the spring/edge)  $d_{ij}$  = length of the shortest parth from i to j  $y_i$  = on-screen pos. of node i

# Sammon mapping cost function

$$\sigma_r = \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{p_{ij}} (p_{ij} - d_{ij}(X))^2$$

### where

 $p_{ij} = d(y_i, y_j)$  (original distance between samples)  $d_{ij}(X) = d(x_i, x_j)$  (distances after projectoin on X)

# Similarities between cost functions:

- Both methods compare projected distances to original ones simply by L2-norm
- Both methods prioritize the preservation of small distances In both methods, the cost effect related to 'small distance' errors is relatively larger than in case of 'large distance' errors ( $k_{ij}$  vs  $\frac{1}{p_{ij}}$ ).
- In general, both methods can be thought to be following a force-directed updating cycle in optimization stage.

# Differences:

- The target distance  $p_{ij}$  in Sammon mapping is more 'well defined' since it has a solid ground truth value. However, in Kamada-Kawai, the target on-screen distance has to be estimated based on scaled length of the shortest path between nodes.
- In Sammon mapping we are always dealing with same kind of metric space ( $\mathbb{R}^d$ ), but in Kamada-Kawai our original space and projection space are completely different (space defined for graphs vs  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , where  $d \in [2,3]$ )
- Kamada-Kawai introduces some hyperparameters that have large effect in end results.
- Kamada-Kawai uses separate factor  $k_{ij}$  to prioritize small distances, instead of  $l_{ij}$ . Sammon mapping uses simply the inverse of the original distances. Because  $k_{ij}$  increases more rapidly in small distances compared to  $l_{ij}$ , Kamada-Kawai empathises small distance preservation relatively more than in Sammon mapping.