Chapter 10. Layered Architecture Style The *layered* architecture, also known as the *n-tiered* architecture style, is one of

the most common architecture styles. This style of architecture is the de facto standard for most applications, primarily because of its simplicity, familiarity, and low cost. It is also a very natural way to develop applications due to **Conway's law**, which states that organizations that design systems are constrained to produce designs which are copies of the communication structures of these organizations. In most organizations there are user interface (UI) developers, backend developers, rules developers, and database experts (DBAs). These organizational layers fit nicely into the tiers of a traditional layered architecture, making it a natural choice for many business applications. The layered architecture style also falls into several architectural anti-patterns, including the architecture by implication anti-pattern and the accidental architecture anti-pattern. If a developer or architect is unsure which architecture style they are using, or if an Agile development team "just starts coding," chances are good that it is the layered architecture style they are implementing. Topology Components within the layered architecture style are organized into logical horizontal layers, with each layer performing a specific role within the application (such as presentation logic or business logic). Although there are no specific re-

strictions in terms of the number and types of layers that must exist, most layered

architectures consist of four standard layers: presentation, business, persistence,


and database, as illustrated in <u>Figure 10-1</u>. In some cases, the business layer and

persistence layer are combined into a single business layer, particularly when the persistence logic (such as SQL or HSQL) is embedded within the business layer components. Thus, smaller applications may have only three layers, whereas larg-

Persistence Layer

Topics

er and more complex business applications may contain five or more layers. **Presentation Layer Business Layer** Persistence Layer **Database Layer**



Database Layer Database Layer Database Layer Figure 10-2. Physical topology (deployment) variants Each layer of the layered architecture style has a specific role and responsibility within the architecture. For example, the presentation layer would be responsible for handling all user interface and browser communication logic, whereas the business layer would be responsible for executing specific business rules associated with the request. Each layer in the architecture forms an abstraction around the work that needs to be done to satisfy a particular business request. For example, the presentation layer doesn't need to know or worry about how to get customer data; it only needs to display that information on a screen in a particular format. Similarly, the business layer doesn't need to be concerned about how to format customer data for display on a screen or even where the customer data is coming from; it only needs to get the data from the persistence layer, perform business logic against the data (such as calculating values or aggregating data), and pass that information up to the presentation layer. This separation of concerns concept within the layered architecture style makes it

easy to build effective roles and responsibility models within the architecture.

Components within a specific layer are limited in scope, dealing only with the log-

ic that pertains to that layer. For example, components in the presentation layer

only handle presentation logic, whereas components residing in the business lay-

er only handle business logic. This allows developers to leverage their particular

technical expertise to focus on the technical aspects of the domain (such as pre-

sentation logic or persistence logic). The trade-off of this benefit, however, is a

lack of overall agility (the ability to respond quickly to change).

Persistence Layer

Business Layer

Persistence Layer

er, rules layer, services layer, and database layer, making it difficult to apply changes to that domain. As a result, a domain-driven design approach does not work as well with the layered architecture style. Layers of Isolation Each layer in the layered architecture style can be either *closed* or *open*. A closed layer means that as a request moves top-down from layer to layer, the request cannot skip any layers, but rather must go through the layer immediately below it to get to the next layer (see Figure 10-3). For example, in a closed-layered architecture, a request originating from the presentation layer must first go through the business layer and then to the persistence layer before finally making it to the database layer.

Presentation Layer

Business Layer

Figure 10-3. Closed layers within the layered architecture

Notice that in <u>Figure 10-3</u> it would be much faster and easier for the presentation

layer to access the database directly for simple retrieval requests, bypassing any

unnecessary layers (what used to be known in the early 2000s as the *fast-lane*

Closed


Closed

Closed

Closed

Persistence Layer **Database Layer**

Request



chitecturally the presentation layer has access to the business layer, and hence has access to the shared objects within that layer. **Presentation Component Presentation Layer** Closed **Business Business** Business **Business** Component Component Component Component

Business Layer


Closed

Closed

Open

Closed

Closed



Business

Component

Business Layer

Services Layer

Persistence Layer

Database Layer

Figure 10-5. Adding a new services layer to the architecture

Leveraging the concept of open and closed layers helps define the relationship be-

Business

Component

Shared Component

situations with very tight budget and time constraints. Because of the simplicity and familiarity among developers and architects, the layered architecture is perhaps one of the lowest-cost architecture styles, promoting ease of development for smaller applications. The layered architecture style is also a good choice when an architect is still analyzing business needs and requirements and is unsure which architecture style would be best. As applications using the layered architecture style grow, characteristics like maintainability, agility, testability, and deployability are adversely affected. For this reason, large applications and systems using the layered architecture might be better suited for other, more modular architecture styles. Architecture Characteristics Ratings

Deployability

Elasticity

multithreading, internal messaging, and other parallel processing practices, techniques this architecture isn't well suited for. However, because the layered archi-

tecture. We gave it only two stars because the architecture style simply does not lend itself to high-performance systems due to the lack of parallel processing, closed layering, and the sinkhole architecture anti-pattern. Like scalability, performance can be addressed through caching, multithreading, and the like, but it is not a natural characteristic of this architecture style; architects and developers have to work hard to make all this happen. Layered architectures don't support fault tolerance due to monolithic deploy-

chitecture causes an out-of-memory condition to occur, the entire application unit is impacted and crashes. Furthermore, overall availability is impacted due to the high mean-time-to-recovery (MTTR) usually experienced by most monolithic applications, with startup times ranging anywhere from 2 minutes for smaller applications, up to 15 minutes or more for most large applications.

Fundamentals of Software Architecture Mark Richards, Neal Ford Software Published by O'Reilly Media, Architecture **Software Architecture** Approx. 8 hours left 24% complete Topology Layers of Isolation Adding Layers Other Considerations Why Use This Architecture Style Architecture Characteristics Ratings 11. Pipeline Architecture Style 12. Microkernel Architecture Style 13. Service-Based Architecture Style 14. Event-Driven Architecture Style 97% complete 15. Space-Based Architecture

Q

*

:<u>=</u>

The layered architecture is a *technically partitioned* architecture (as opposed to a domain-partitioned architecture). Groups of components, rather than being grouped by domain (such as customer), are grouped by their technical role in the architecture (such as presentation or business). As a result, any particular business domain is spread throughout all of the layers of the architecture. For example, the domain of "customer" is contained in the presentation layer, business lay-

reader pattern). For this to happen, the business and persistence layers would have to be open, allowing requests to bypass other layers. Which is better—open layers or closed layers? The answer to this question lies in a key concept known as layers of isolation.

and the use of the <u>business delegate pattern</u>). For example, you can leverage the layers of isolation concept within the layered architecture style to replace your older JavaServer Faces (JSF) presentation layer with React.js without impacting any other layer in the application. Adding Layers While closed layers facilitate layers of isolation and therefore help isolate change within the architecture, there are times when it makes sense for certain layers to be open. For example, suppose there are shared objects within the business layer that contain common functionality for business components (such as date and

string utility classes, auditing classes, logging classes, and so on). Suppose there is


an architecture decision stating that the presentation layer is restricted from us-

the dotted line going from a presentation component to a shared business object

in the business layer. This scenario is difficult to govern and control because *ar*-

ing these shared business objects. This constraint is illustrated in Figure 10-4, with

Shared Component Shared Component Shared Component Persistence Layer Closed **Database Layer** Closed Figure 10-4. Shared objects within the business layer One way to architecturally mandate this restriction is to add to the architecture a new services layer containing all of the shared business objects. Adding this new



back up the stack with no additional processing or logic to aggregate, calculate,

and processing, impacting both memory consumption and performance.

apply rules, or transform the data. This results in unnecessary object instantiation

Every layered architecture will have at least some scenarios that fall into the ar-

chitecture sinkhole anti-pattern. The key to determining whether the architecture

Evolutionary	\Rightarrow
Fault tolerance	\Rightarrow
Modularity	\Rightarrow
Overall cost	***
Performance	$\Rightarrow \Rightarrow$
Reliability	$\Rightarrow \Rightarrow \Rightarrow$
Scalability	\Rightarrow
Simplicity	***
Testability	☆☆
Figure 10-6. Layered architecture characteristics ratings	

tire regression test suite (even if such a thing were to exist in the first place), par		
ticularly along with dozens of other changes being made to the monolithic appli-		
cation at the same time. We gave testability a two-star rating (rather than one		
star) due to the ability to mock or stub components (or even an entire layer),		
which eases the overall testing effort.		
Overall reliability rates medium (three stars) in this architecture style, mostly du		
to the lack of network traffic, bandwidth, and latency found in most distributed		
architectures. We only gave the layered architecture three stars for reliability be		
cause of the nature of the monolithic deployment, combined with the low rating		
for testability (completeness of testing) and deployment risk.		
Elasticity and scalability rate very low (one star) for the layered architecture, pri		
marily due to monolithic deployments and the lack of architectural modularity.		
Although it is possible to make certain functions within a monolith scale more		
than others, this effort usually requires very complex design techniques such as		

ments and the lack of architectural modularity. If one small part of a layered ar-

10. Layered Architecture Style

hole anti-pattern. This anti-pattern occurs when requests move from layer to layer as simple pass-through processing with no business logic performed within each layer. For example, suppose the presentation layer responds to a simple request from the user to retrieve basic customer data (such as name and address). The presentation layer passes the request to the business layer, which does nothing but pass the request on to the rules layer, which in turn does nothing but pass the request on to the persistence layer, which then makes a simple SQL call to the database layer to retrieve the customer data. The data is then passed all the way

sinkhole anti-pattern is at play is to analyze the percentage of requests that fall into this category. The 80-20 rule is usually a good practice to follow. For example, it is acceptable if only 20 percent of the requests are sinkholes. However, if 80 percent of the requests are sinkholes, it a good indicator that the layered architecture is not the correct architecture style for the problem domain. Another approach to solving the architecture sinkhole anti-pattern is to make all the layers in the architecture open, realizing, of course, that the trade-off is increased difficulty in managing change within the architecture. Why Use This Architecture Style The layered architecture style is a good choice for small, simple applications or websites. It is also a good architecture choice, particularly as a starting point, for

the specific architecture characteristic isn't well supported in the architecture, whereas a five-star rating means the architecture characteristic is one of the strongest features in the architecture style. The definition for each characteristic identified in the scorecard can be found in **Chapter 4**. **Architecture characteristic Star rating** Technical Partitioning type Number of quanta

A one-star rating in the characteristics ratings table (shown in <u>Figure 10-6</u>) means

Reliability	$\Rightarrow \Rightarrow \Rightarrow$	
Scalability	\Rightarrow	
Simplicity	****	
Testability	$\Rightarrow \Rightarrow$	
Figure 10-6. Layered architecture characteristics ratings		
Overall cost and simplicity are the primary strengths of the layered architecture style. Being monolithic in nature, layered architectures don't have the complexities associated with distributed architecture styles, are simple and easy to understand, and are relatively low cost to build and maintain. However, as a cautionary note, these ratings start to quickly diminish as monolithic layered architectures get bigger and consequently more complex.		
Both deployability and testability rate very low for this architecture style. Deploy-		
ability rates low due to the ceremony of deployment (effort to deploy), high risk,		
and lack of frequent deployments. A simple three-line change to a class file in the		
layered architecture style requires the entire deployment unit to be redeployed,		
taking in potential database changes, configuration changes, or other coding		
changes sneaking in alongside the original change. Furthermore, this simple		
three-line change is usually bundled with dozens of other changes, thereby in-		
creasing deployment risk even further (as well as increasing the frequency of de-		
ployment). The low testability rating also reflects this scenario; with a simple		
three-line change, most developers are n	ot going to spend hours executing the en-	

tecture is always a single system quantum due to the monolithic user interface, backend processing, and monolithic database, applications can only scale to a certain point based on the single quantum. Performance is always an interesting characteristic to rate for the layered archi-

8h 41m remaining