Matthew

Monday, October 19, 2015 10:26 AM

Static organization is not good Need to optimize where data is going based on changing system condition

Different types of I/O from the NNSA perspective

POSIX is global shared memory abstraction Can have false sharing Locks are coarse Consistency semantics are strong

Attributes suck in keeping metadata alive

Lazy attributes would speed performance

Sirroco is a clean sheet redesign Sirocco object store (SOS) Low level object based system System level api It is storage not a file system Hierarchical fixed depth Storage and security only

On top of this are smart clients that know how to use the object system Posix or hdf Etc

Complicated data management would be hidden by the smart client Object sotrage point of view they are client From the user point of view they are the service

Construction sirroco is based on the LWFS philosophy

HDF is a data model

You provide the very basics of storage Clients bring their own services And use whatever is available Not human friendly names in sir

Clients can work with object ids

Inspired by unstructured p2p systems

Servers can come and go at anytime
Clients can come and go at anytime
Clients are leeches
This is fully elastic
Can you take out portion of the service at anytime

Can servers push information about reliability/performance to the client?

Clients can be informed about busyness

Servers can exit/leave during writes

Methods to maintain consistency

You can explicitly define location or do a p2p overlay