Technical Session 1: The firefighter drone - Part 2: Safety

Thierry Lecomte, Pedro Ribeiro



11th March 2025





Agenda

- Safety issues
- Hazard analysis
- Safety function



Safety Issues




Safety is about things that happen 1 in 1,000,000





Failing Software-Based Systems



Safety for Unmanned « flying things »

SAFETY INTEGRITY LEVELS DESIGN ASSURANCE LEVELS

DAL B/SIL3: 10⁻⁷/h CATASTROPHIC

DAL A/SIL4: 10⁻⁹/h FAILURES

CERTIFICATION

NL safety demonstration
Convince responsible human expert
Formal methods in dev cycle

SYSTEMATIC FAILURES

Specification
Design
Implementation
Environment
Exploitation

STRONG / ONGOING STANDARDS

General aviation standards:

DO-178C (SW), DO-254 (HW), ARP4761 (Safety)

Unmanned Aircraft Systems:

ASTM F3266-20 (Design)
ASTM F3178-16 (Loss of control)
Specific Operations Risk Assessment
European Union Aviation Safety Agency
DO-326A (Cybersecurity)

RANDOM FAILURES

Execution machine Entropic hardware



Formal Methods to Handle Failing Systems

RoboSim specification for system level modelling exploitation procedure


B specification

for C&C

non-threaded

program

safety software





CLEARSY SAFETY
PLATFORM
Binary code



Hazard Analysis



Preliminary Study

- Dreaded events (what situation do we want to avoid ?)
 - [1] Firefighting erratic flight
 - Hypothesis: behaviour is supposed « correct »
 - Adding functional redudancy (duplicate computer, software, and sensors) against the lightweight / lowcost design principles
 - > [2] Collision with environment or human being
 - Hypothesis: Lightweight drone -> probably no incidence
 - [3] Loss of the drone
 - Requires safeguard to avoid drone to get out of reach /lost



Preliminary Study

- Dreaded events (what situation do we want to avoid ?)
 - [1] Firefighting erratic flight
 - Hypothesis: behaviour is supposed « correct »
 - Adding functional redudancy (duplicate computer, software, and sensors) against the lightweight / lowcost design principles
 - [2] Collision with environment or human being
 - Hypothesis: Lightweight drone -> probably no incidence
 - [3] Loss of the drone
 - Requires safeguard to avoid drone to get out of reach /lost



Hazard	Accidental event	Probable cause	Preventive actions
Loss of communication	Inability to control drone (mission	ECM, fuzzing, emitter down, receiver	If no signal is received during a given
	interrupt)	down, obstacle, signal attenuation	period, flight software is triggered to
			"return to base"
Invalid communication	Mission maintained with no valid	Wrongly received signal from	Messages contains some liveness and
	remote control	another source	dynamic information to discriminate from
			"random sources"
Low energy	Inability to maintain communication	Battery low, leak current	External device measures remaining
	link, inability to ensure flight		charge and trigger alarm if half charge +
			constant is reached. Also takes into
			account the loss of charge over time.
Insufficient propulsion power	Inability to maintain flight profile,	Environmental conditions (wind),	Out of scope
	collision with ground objects/human	interaction with environment	
	beings	(cables), engine failure	
Inaccurate flight computer		ECM, shots, failing hardware	Out of scope
	with objects/human beings		
Safety function not active		No energy on the safety computer,	Safe position should correspond to "safety
	interrupt)	failing safety computer	computer powered and running OK"

ROBOSTAR


Safety Function



Safety Check

- Verifying that a communication link is maintained during the whole mission. This communication link, from ground base to drone only, is used to interrupt the mission if decided remotely by human supervisors and/or if some on-board conditions are not met. Recovering the communication link re-enables the mission.
- Checking that the battery has sufficient charge. Insufficient charge implies to recharge the battery of the drone that is the only way to cancel the "low battery" alarm.
- If the safety-check fails, the flight software is contained in a mode where a return-to-base is mandatory.
- Need to know when the mission starts (Start of Mission, or SoM)
- Operational exported constraint: drone cannot be operated from a moving base

Safety Check



It takes three inputs:


- Communication link represents the transformation of analogic radio signal into digital signal (bit stream). The frequency of the signal and bit alternation is constant. The transmission pattern must be determined. When communication link is down, the mission is not maintained until either the communication link is reestablished, or the drone reaches base and is reset/restarted.
- Powered battery represents the capability of the drone to return to its base, as it is supposed to start its mission with full charge. The data required for the low battery alarm is usually complex (real value fluctuating over time). For this case study, the Boolean input signal represents the fact that the output voltage is greater than a threshold. If it is lower than this threshold during a delay delay₁ then the low battery alarm is raised. Once a low battery alarm is raised, the return-to-base is forced until the drone returns to base and is reset/reenergized/restarted
- Start of Mission represents the first moment when the safety check must be ensured. This event is characterized by the first rising edge of this input.

and calculates one output:

Maintain mission represents the ability to continue the mission.



Inputs, Outputs, and Safe Position




- Restrictive position ("return to base") should correspond to "absence of power"
 - Maintain mission should be powered to maintain the mission
 - Powered battery indicates enough energy when powered
 - Start Of Mission requires some energy to start the mission
 - Communication Link not energized indicates no communication activity

When you observe a system you don't know if everything works OK or not



An example of scenario



Next

The RoboSim model

Anna Cavalcanti, Pedro Ribeiro

