

**Ali Abdullah Ahmad**

20031246

Assignment 1

CS 600

Prof. Reza Peyrovian

### **REINFORCEMENT Questions**

1) Order the following list of functions by the big-Oh notation. Group together (for example, by underlining) those functions that are big-Theta of one another.

**Ans 1.6.7-**

The order from lower to higher functions:

1.  $1/n$
2.  $2^{100}$
3.  $\log \log n$
4.  $\sqrt{\log n}$
5.  $\log^2 n$
6.  $n^{0.01}$
7.  $\sqrt{n}$ ,  $3n^{0.5}$
8.  $2^{\log n}$ ,  $5n$
9.  $n \log_4 n$ ,  $6n \log n$
10.  $2n \log^2 n$
11.  $4n^{3/2}$
12.  $4^{\log n}$
13.  $n^2 \log n$
14.  $n^3$
15.  $2^n$
16.  $4^n$
17.  $2^{2n}$

2) Bill has an algorithm, find2D, to find an element  $x$  in an  $n \times n$  array  $A$ . The algorithm find2D iterates over the rows of  $A$  and calls the algorithm arrayFind, of Algorithm 1.3.2, on each one, until  $x$  is found or it has searched all rows of  $A$ . What is the worst-case running time of find2D in terms of  $n$ ? Is this a

linear-time algorithm? Why or why not?

**Ans 1.6.9-** In the worst-case scenario, element  $x$  is the very last item in the  $n \times n$  array to be examined. In this case, FIND2D calls the algorithm arrayFind  $n$  times. During each call, arrayFind must search through all  $n$  elements until  $x$  is found.

As a result,  $n$  comparisons are performed for each arrayFind call. This leads to  $n \times n$  operations in total, resulting in an  $O(n^2)$  runtime complexity.

The worst-case runtime complexity of FIND2D is  $O(n^2)$ , as it operates as a quadratic algorithm

3) Show that  $n$  is  $o(n \log n)$

**Ans 1.6.22**

To show that  $n$  is  $o(n \log n)$ , we use the definition of little-o notation. A function  $f(n)$  is  $o(g(n))$  if:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0$$

Here,  $f(n) = n$  and  $g(n) = n \log n$ . Let's compute the limit:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{n \log n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n}.$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\log n \rightarrow \infty$ , so:

$$\frac{1}{\log n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{n \log n} = 0,$$

which confirms that  $n$  is  $o(n \log n)$ .

4) show that  $n^2$  is  $\omega(n)$

**Ans 1.6.23-**

To show that  $n^2$  is  $\omega(n)$ , we need to use the formal definition of little omega notation, which is:

$$f(n) = \omega(g(n)) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \infty$$

In this case, we want to show that:

$$n^2 = \omega(n)$$

**Step 1: Compute the limit**

We start by computing the limit:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^2}{n}$$

Simplifying the expression:

$$\frac{n^2}{n} = n$$

Thus, we need to evaluate:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n$$

As  $n$  tends to infinity,  $n$  also tends to infinity. Therefore, the limit is:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n = \infty$$

**Step 2: Conclusion**

Since the limit is infinity, we have shown that:

$$\frac{n^2}{n} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

Therefore, by the definition of little omega notation, we can conclude that:

$$n^2 = \omega(n)$$

This completes the proof.

5) show that  $n^3 \log n$  is  $\Omega(n^3)$

**Ans 1.6.24**

To show that  $n^3 \log n$  is  $\Omega(n^3)$ , we apply the formal definition of Big-Omega notation, which states that there exist constants  $c > 0$  and  $n_0$  such that:

$$f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n) \quad \text{for all } n > n_0$$

Here, we have:

$$- f(n) = n^3 \log n - g(n) = n^3$$

We need to show that:

$$n^3 \log n \geq c \cdot n^3$$

Dividing both sides by  $n^3$ :

$$\log n \geq c$$

Now, for  $c = 1$  and  $n_0 = 2$ , this inequality holds true because for all  $n > 2$ ,  $\log n \geq 1$ . Therefore, for all  $n > 2$ , the inequality  $n^3 \log n \geq n^3$  is satisfied.

Hence, we conclude that  $n^3 \log n$  is  $\Omega(n^3)$  with  $c = 1$  and  $n_0 = 2$ .

This completes the proof.

6) Suppose we have a set of  $n$  balls and we choose each one independently with probability  $1/n^{1/2}$  to go into a basket. Derive an upper bound on the probability that there are more than  $3n^{1/2}$  balls in the basket.

**Ans1.6.32**

Based on Chernoff Bounds,

$$\mu = E(X) = n \cdot (1/n^{1/2}) = n^{1/2}.$$

Then for  $\delta = 2$ , the upper bound is

$$\Pr[X > (1 + \delta)\mu] < (e^\delta / (1 + \delta)^{(1+\delta)})^\mu \Rightarrow \Pr(X > 3\mu) < \left[ \frac{e^2}{3^3} \right]^{\sqrt{n}}$$

### CREATIVITY Questions

7) What is the total running time of counting from 1 to  $n$  in binary if the time needed to add 1 to the current number  $i$  is proportional to the number of bits in the binary expansion of  $i$  that must change in going from  $i$  to  $i+1$ ?

**Ans1.6.36**

In binary representation:

- A bit changes when the number  $i$  "rolls over" from 1 to 0.
- The least significant bit (LSB) changes with every increment.
- The second least significant bit changes every 2 increments.

- The  $k$ -th bit changes every  $2^k$  increments.

The total number of bit changes across all increments from 1 to  $n$  can be calculated as:

$$\text{Total Changes} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor} \frac{n}{2^k}.$$

Simplifying the summation:

$$n \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^{\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor}} \right).$$

This is a geometric series with a sum approaching:

$$n \cdot 2 = 2n.$$

Thus, the total running time for counting from 1 to  $n$  in binary is  $O(2n)$ , which simplifies to  $O(n)$  because constants are ignored in Big-O notation. So, the total running time is  $O(n)$ .

8)

the following recurrence equation, defining a function  $T(n)$ :

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ T(n-1) + n & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Show, by induction, that  $T(n) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ .

**Ans1.6.39**

It is given that  $T(n) = 1$  when  $n = 1$ , and otherwise:

$$T(n) = 2 \cdot T(n-1).$$

Let's compute a few values of  $T(n)$ :

$$T(2) = 2 \cdot T(1) = 2 \cdot 1 = 2^1,$$

$$T(3) = 2 \cdot T(2) = 2 \cdot 2 = 2^2,$$

$$T(4) = 2 \cdot T(3) = 2 \cdot 2^2 = 2^3.$$

By observing the pattern, for  $n = k$ :

$$T(k) = 2 \cdot T(k-1) = 2 \cdot 2^{k-2} = 2^{k-1}.$$

For  $n = k + 1$ :

$$T(k+1) = 2 \cdot T(k) = 2 \cdot 2^{k-1} = 2^k.$$

Thus, the formula holds true for all  $n \geq 1$ .

9) show that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \log_2 i$  is  $O(n \log n)$ .

**Ans1.6.52**

To prove that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \log_2 i$  is  $O(n \log n)$ , we proceed as follows:

**Definition of Big-O**

We know that  $f(n)$  is  $O(g(n))$  if:

$$f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n),$$

for some constant  $c > 0$  and sufficiently large  $n$ .

**Expanding the Summation**

The summation  $\sum_{i=1}^n \log_2 i$  can be written as:

$$\log_2 1 + \log_2 2 + \log_2 3 + \cdots + \log_2 (n-1) + \log_2 n.$$

This is equivalent to:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \log_2 i = \log_2 (n!).$$

**Comparing with  $n \log n$**

Using the properties of logarithms, we know:

$$n \log n = \log n + \log n + \cdots + \log n \quad (\text{repeated } n \text{ times}).$$

Thus:

$$\log_2 1 + \log_2 2 + \cdots + \log_2 n \leq c \cdot (\log n + \log n + \cdots + \log n),$$

where  $c = 1$ .

**Final Condition**

For  $c = 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ , this inequality holds. Hence:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \log_2 i = O(n \log n).$$

10) Consider an implementation of the extendable table, but instead of copying the elements of the table into an array of double the size (that is, from  $n$  to  $2n$ ) when its capacity is reached, we copy the elements into an array with  $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$  additional cells, going from capacity  $n$  to  $n + \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ . Show that performing a sequence of  $n$  *add* operations (that is, insertions at the end) runs in  $\Theta(n^{3/2})$  time in this case.

**Ans1.6.62**

The size of the array is expanded from  $N$  to  $N + \lceil N^{1/2} \rceil$ .

Based on the amortization, each insertion will cost:

$$\frac{N + N^{1/2}}{N^{1/2}} = 1 + N^{1/2} \text{ cyber dollars.}$$

So, total insertion cost is as follow:

$$1 + 1 + \text{SQRT}(N) = 2 + \text{SQRT}(N) = 2n + \text{SQRT}(N) \text{ from } N=1 \text{ to } N=n$$

that we can get it is no more than:

$$(2/3)n^{3/2} + (1/2)n^{1/2} - 1/6 \text{ but no less than}$$

$$(2/3)n^{3/2} + (1/2)n^{1/2} + 1/3 - (1/2)2^{1/2}.$$

So, the total cost of the array operation is  $(n^{3/2})$ .

## APPLICATION Questions

11) Given an array,  $A$ , describe an efficient algorithm for reversing  $A$ . For example, if  $A = [3, 4, 1, 5]$ , then its reversal is  $A = [5, 1, 4, 3]$ . You can only use  $O(1)$  memory in addition to that used by  $A$  itself. What is the running time of your algorithm

**Ans1.6.70**

**Algorithm reverseArray( $A$ ,  $n$ ):**

**Input:** An array  $A$  storing  $n \geq 1$  integers

**Output:** The reverse of an array  $A$

```

middlePoint  $\leftarrow \frac{n}{2}$ 
for  $i \leftarrow 0$  to middlePoint - 1 do
temp  $\leftarrow A[i]$ 
 $A[i] \leftarrow A[n - i - 1]$ 
 $A[n - i - 1] \leftarrow \text{temp}$ 
return  $A$ 
```

**Steps:**

1. We will be using the array data structure to store the  $n$  elements. Declare an array  $A$  and store the  $n$  elements.
2. Set the start variable as 0 and the end variable as  $n - 1$ .
3. Run a loop.
4. Inside the loop, declare a temp variable and store the value of  $A[\text{start}]$ .
5. Copy the value of  $A[\text{end}]$  into  $A[\text{start}]$ .
6. Copy the value of **temp** into  $A[\text{end}]$ .
7. Repeat Steps 4 to 6 until start is not equal to end.
8. Return or print the array  $A$ .
9. Stop.

Given an integer  $k > 0$  and an array,  $A$ , of  $n$  bits, describe an efficient algorithm for finding the shortest subarray of  $A$  that contains  $k$  1's. What is the running time of your method?

**Ans1.6.77**

**Algorithm:** `shortestSubarrayWithKOnes(A, k)`

**Input:** An array  $A$  of  $n$  bits, and an integer  $k$  ( $k > 0$ )

**Output:** The length of the shortest subarray containing exactly  $k$  1's.

**Step 1:** Declare an array  $A$  of size  $n$  to store the elements.

**Step 2:** Declare a variable  $i$  and set its value to 0.

**Step 3:** Find the first occurrence of 1 in the array  $A$  from index  $i$  to  $n - 1$ , and store its index in  $i$ .

**Step 4:** Declare a variable  $j$  and start scanning from  $i$  to  $n - 1$  until you find  $k$  1's.

**Step 5:** Once  $k$  1's are found, calculate the length of the subarray as  $j - i + 1$ .

**Step 6:** Discard the leftmost 1 at index  $i$ , and continue scanning the array until the next 1 is found.

**Step 7:** Continue scanning from index  $j$  to  $n - 1$  until you find  $k$  1's again.

**Step 8:** If the new subarray length is smaller than the previous one, update the length, i.e.,  $\text{new\_length} = j - i + 1$ .

**Step 9:** Return the shortest subarray length.

**Time Complexity:**  $O(n)$

**Space Complexity:**  $O(1)$